GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case No. 17429

ST. PATRICK'S FOXHALL PROJECT

Tuesday, June 13, 2006

Hearing Room 220, South 441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17429 by the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened at 9:30 a.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441  $4^{\rm th}$  Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Geoffrey Griffis, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

GEOFFREY H. GRIFFIS Chairperson CURTIS ETHERLY, JR. Board Member

JOHN A. MANN, II Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

JOHN PARSONS Commissioner (NPS)

This transcript constitutes the Minutes of the Public Hearing held on June 15, 2006.

## AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER:
Geoffrey Griffis 3
PRELIMINARY MATTERS:
Phil Feola 8
ANC-3D
Alma Gates
Jay Hebert
<del>-</del>
Edward Lyle
John Forrer 19
ADDITORION NO. 17400 OIL DAIDTORIO DOVINI DECIDI
APPLICATION NO. 17429: ST. PATRICK'S FOXHALL PROJECT
Discort Montinons
Direct Testimony
Phil Feola 24
John Delaney
Peter Barrett 35
Alan Ward 45
Anthony Barnes 54
Marty Wells 116
Terry Armstrong
<u>Cross Examination</u>
PERSONS IN SUPPORT:
Jeffrey Keffer 213
Christine Carter 216
Kankunda Klingenberg 221
Robert Fennigan 224
GENERAL CONCERNS AND COMMENTS:
Sidney Ferguson 233
Robert Avery, Foxhall Citizens Assoc 237
Edward Lyle, Colony Hill Association 246
John Forrer 249
Jay Hebert
Mrs. Hebert
REBUTTAL:
Phil Feola 259
Scott Rosen
Phil Feola
Mark Burchick
Ed Murphy
na rarpity 2//

1	P-R-O	-C-F	_F_D.	_ T _ N _	C_ S
_	P-K-U	/- C - E	- <u>-</u> - D	- T -I/ -	G-5

- 9:38 a.m.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: A very good morning
- 4 to everybody.
- 5 Let me call to order our Public Hearing of
- 6 13<sup>th</sup> of June 2006. This is, of course, the Board of
- 7 Zoning Adjustment of the District of Columbia. My
- 8 name is Geoff Griffis, Chairperson.
- 9 Joining me today is Mr. Parsons,
- 10 representing the Zoning Commission and Mr. Mann,
- 11 representing the National Capital Planning Commission.
- 12 Mr. Etherly is running a little bit late. He will
- 13 join us shortly. I'm going to do my opening very
- 14 slowly so he won't miss much, but we'll get right into
- 15 it.
- The Vice Chair has unfortunately been
- delayed out of town and will not be joining us today.
- 18 We will figure out at the end of the day whether she
- 19 would participate with the record or not. However,
- that's detail we'll get into.
- 21 With that, let me say a very good morning
- 22 to everybody. I'm going to dispense with saying you
- 23 can pick up an agenda which is located where you
- 24 entered into the hearing room. However, if you're
- 25 here for the second case of the day, you're in the

- 1 wrong place.
- That being said, getting right to it, I'm
- going to ask everyone to turn off their cell phones,
- 4 beepers, any electronic transmitting devices. It has
- 5 come to my understanding that actually your
- 6 Blackberries also disrupt transmission, so if it's
- 7 possible you could turn those off also.
- 8 The order of procedure for the special
- 9 exceptions and variances in all our hearings and for
- 10 today, the special exceptions is as follows: We will
- 11 hear from the Applicant in the presentation of their
- 12 case.
- We will then go to any Government reports
- 14 attendant to the application. I think we are joined
- 15 by several if not many Government agency
- representatives today to present their reports.
- We will move third to the Advisory
- 18 Neighborhood Commission.
- 19 Fourth will be persons or parties in
- 20 support of the application.
- 21 Fifth would be the persons or parties in
- 22 opposition to the application.
- 23 Sixth, finally, we will return to the
- 24 Applicant for rebuttal testimony, witnesses, and/or
- 25 closing remarks and conclusions.

We do have time constraints on everything that we do. We'll try and set up some for today as we move forward. But most important, of course, what

takes up and occupies a great deal of time is cross

5 examination.

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Cross examination by our regulations is,

  of course, able to be directed by myself. It should

  be germane to the point, succinct. I will give

  specific direction as we get into that as is needed.
- course, 10 Of the ANC within which the property is located is automatically a party in the 11 case and will, therefore, be able to conduct cross 12 examination. Other parties we've established already 13 14 as we entered into this case this morning in this hearing have been set. Those parties are also able to 15 conduct cross examination. 16
  - The record will be closed at the conclusion of the hearing on this case. We fully anticipate as we've set the entire day for this that we would conclude today, possibly even before dinnertime. But we'll see as we run through as we get into all the very important and pertinent information.
- However, when the hearing does close it should be clearly understood that the record is closed and no additional information is accepted into the

- 1 record, except for any material, of course, that we
- 2 would request to be submitted into the record. And we
- 3 will be very specific if additional information is
- 4 needed.
- 5 It's important to understand that because
- 6 what we're doing here today in this contested case, as
- 7 all cases are before the Board of Zoning Adjustment is
- 8 creating the official record. The official record is
- 9 created in the open and before the public as in
- 10 accordance to the Sunshine Act, also in accordance
- 11 with our rules, regulations and procedures.
- 12 We do often enter into Executive Sessions
- both during or after hearings on cases. That's used
- 14 for our purposes to review records and possibly to
- 15 deliberate on cases. As I've said, that is in
- 16 accordance with the Sunshine Act and our rules and
- 17 regulations.
- 18 However, the important piece to understand
- 19 is that the record we create today in this hearing
- 20 room is the basis of which all our decisions will be
- 21 made in this case.
- So, we ask several things. First of all,
- 23 to make sure that everything gets into the record that
- 24 is germane and important for our deliberation. We
- 25 also ask that people not engage Board members in

- 1 private conversations today if we're in a recess or
- off the dias at any point so that we do not give the
- 3 appearance of receiving information outside of the
- 4 public record.
- 5 Let me say a very good morning to Ms.
- 6 Bailey who is on my far left. Ms. Monroe, with the
- 7 Office of the Attorney General. Mr. Moy is joining us
- 8 and will be either on my right or left as is his
- 9 custom.
- 10 And with that, let me ask that everybody
- 11 here present this morning that is anticipating or will
- 12 be providing testimony before the Board if you would
- 13 please stand and give your attention to Ms. Bailey.
- 14 She's going to swear you in.
- MS. BAILEY: Would you please raise your
- 16 right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the
- 17 testimony that you will be giving today will be the
- 18 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 20 you all very much.
- 21 At this time then, we're ready for
- 22 preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those
- 23 which relate to whether a case will or should be heard
- 24 today. We have one case on the agenda today, of
- 25 course. So, I would entertain any preliminary

- 1 matters.
- 2 Preliminary matters are those such as
- 3 whether proper and adequate notice has been provided
- 4 or if there are any other sticklers that we need to
- 5 look at before we move forward.
- A very good morning to you. If you would,
- 7 introduce yourself for the record?
- 8 MR. FEOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Phil
- 9 Feola, Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, on behalf
- of the Applicant.
- We do request that the Board accept some
- 12 amendments to our application today. Pleased to
- 13 report that the Applicant and the three opposing
- 14 parties have come to a resolution of their issues.
- 15 And with the amendments we are proposing today, we
- 16 will hopefully not have any parties in opposition as
- we go forward.
- 18 Those amendments real briefly, some of
- 19 which we will cover in our presentation involve a
- 20 modification to the Foxhall Road right-of-way, which
- 21 the Department of Transportation has approved as
- 22 you'll hear later. A reduction in the number of
- 23 houses in the theoretical subdivision from 19 ro 18 to
- 24 address a concern of the National Park Service. A few
- other minor changes on the edges of the property that

- 1 have been a request of the National Park Service. And
- 2 two pieces of information that are updates from what
- 3 is in our pre-hearing submission.
- 4 The first is a new Operations Plan that
- 5 has been negotiated with the Colony Hill Neighborhood
- 6 Association and individual parties in opposition in
- 7 the Foxhall Community Citizens Association, which
- 8 would replace in its entirety Exhibit C of our pre-
- 9 hearing submission which is dated February 9, 2006.
- 10 It's an enhanced, more restrictive operations plans as
- it affects the independent school that we're proposing
- 12 here today.
- 13 And finally, there is as part of our pre-
- 14 hearing submission, I'm sorry, the Operations Plan.
- 15 Get the right exhibit number. I apologize.
- 16 The Operations Plan was actually Exhibit K
- of our pre-hearing submission dated February 9<sup>th</sup>.
- 18 The final change, if you will, or
- 19 amendment to our application is to Exhibit C of that
- 20 document which is the Proposed Homeowner Documents,
- 21 Architectural Guidelines and CCRs for the entire
- 22 development including the nine lots on Hoban Road
- which are matter-of-right lots. And I think I had
- 24 given staff copies of these exhibits for your
- 25 consideration.

- 1 So, basically, we have enhanced the
- 2 Operations Plan, made it more restrictive on the
- 3 Applicant. We have enhanced the architectural
- 4 controls on the proposed new houses and we have
- 5 modified the Foxhall road right-of-way, as well as
- 6 reduce the number of houses in the theoretical
- 7 subdivision by one.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Total number
- 9 of theoretical units then, if it's reduced by one?
- MR. FEOLA: Eighteen.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: With the new
- 12 Operations Plan you said that it was modifying Exhibit
- 13 C.
- 14 MR. FEOLA: No. No. I apologize. I gave
- 15 you the wrong exhibit. It's actually Exhibit K --
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
- 17 MR. FEOLA: -- of the pre-hearing
- 18 submission.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. "K" I got
- that and then this is what you've put in now is just
- 21 to replace the entire?
- MR. FEOLA: Yes. In its entirety.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. I see.
- Okay. And we'll get through all that.
- 25 Excellent.

- 1 Anything else?
- 2 MR. FEOLA: That's it.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Let's take up
- 4 a couple of other things attendant to that.
- 5 First of all, how much time do you need to
- 6 present your case this morning?
- 7 MR. FEOLA: Probably will need about 75
- 8 minutes.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I will let
- 10 you know when you get close to that. We won't run a
- 11 clock on that, however. Hopefully, we'll stay to that
- 12 presentation time.
- Now, a quick preliminary question from the
- 14 Board. Of course, we're here for two special
- exceptions to 2116 and 206. They are of different
- criterium and of course deal with different things.
- 17 You have put in one application for both
- 18 of those reliefs requested. My question to you is,
- 19 how are you wanting the Board to treat those? For
- instance, are the theoretical lots going to be tied to
- 21 any specifics that the school would be tied to, or is
- there some sort of separation? How are we wanting to
- 23 proceed with this?
- MR. FEOLA: We would prefer that the Board
- 25 approach this as a single application with two sub-

- 1 parts as if they were a roof structure special
- 2 exception and a read-yard variance so to speak. But
- both developments are separate, if you will. They are
- 4 not dependent on either in terms of moving forward
- 5 except for the financial tie that they're all in
- 6 single ownership --
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 8 MR. FEOLA: -- at this point in time.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. However, if
- 10 you had a roof relief on a single building, it would
- 11 be tied somewhat to moving forward.
- MR. FEOLA: That's correct.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let me see if a
- 14 suggestion from the Board might be useful in moving
- 15 this ahead.
- We look at this as a single application
- 17 and obviously the information is tied together. It's
- important to have one hearing on the same base facts
- 19 and information. However, it seems to me that there
- is a bright-line separation.
- 21 For instance, if this was approved, I
- don't think you're saying that the owners of the new
- lots crated would be tied to the conditions that might
- be imposed on the schools or --
- MR. FEOLA: That's correct.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- may be supported
- on the school. So, if it was falling into non-
- 3 compliance, the housing wouldn't need to be torn down.
- 4 MR. FEOLA: That's correct.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So, in our
- 6 sense, I think what we'll want to do is not separate
- 7 them into two mini hearings but bundle these together
- 8 as the facts are the same.
- 9 I would anticipate though that we would
- 10 take up two votes. That we will vote separately on
- 11 2516 and separately on 206 for our matter. And then
- 12 procedurally I may request that we issue separate
- orders under the same application number, perhaps an A
- 14 and B. I think we will have differing fact-findings
- 15 on each --
- 16 MR. FEOLA: Yes. I agree with that.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- that would be
- 18 relevant.
- 19 Okay. So, with that, what I would ask is
- that and for all the participants also in terms of the
- 21 parties that are involved in this. And specifically
- 22 the Applicant as you frame these bringing clarity of
- 23 differentiation is going to be important so that we
- 24 can be clear and obviously everyone can understand
- what we're looking at. Okay.

- 1 Anything else then? Any other quick
- 2 clarifications?
- 3 MR. FEOLA: Would you like to hear from
- 4 the parties, Mr. Chairman?
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Pardon me?
- 6 MR. FEOLA: Would you like to hear from
- 7 the parties about my suggestion that they may switch
- 8 to be party supporters?
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Yes. I want
- 10 to get everything on of a preliminary nature at this
- point before we bring them up. But I think that may,
- in fact, be it.
- So, with that, let's have the ANC. I
- 14 believe the ANC is here. If they would come up and
- the three parties. Colony Hill, Herbert.
- Is Ms. Firster here? Okay. Excellent.
- 17 A very good morning. Let's start with the
- 18 ANC. We can just turn the microphone on. Of course,
- 19 I'd skip through this very quickly, but you would just
- need to state your name and address for the record and
- 21 first introduce yourself to the Board.
- 22 Also, there are witness cards that are
- probably on the table and they are in front of you.
- 24 If you are going to present testimony or address the
- Board, we're going to ask that you fill those out.

- 1 Those go up to the recorder, hopefully prior to
- 2 coming forward, but at this point we'll get them over
- 3 there when they need to be.
- 4 Very well. We have a couple of things.
- 5 First of all, of course, the additional
- 6 information, the changes in the application, if
- 7 there's comments on that, we'll take that also. If
- 8 you want to indicate how much time and then position
- 9 if it's changed, I'll let you speak.
- MS. GATES: ANC-3D. It's represented this
- 11 morning by Alma Gates, the Chair, and by Ann Haas who
- is the single member district commissioner.
- 13 I think both Commission Haas and I support
- 14 the agreements that have been worked out between the
- 15 community and St. Patrick's. This certainly leads to
- a much easier hearing. We wish that all proceedings
- 17 work this well.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let's say that when
- 19 it's over. Okay. Good.
- MS. GATES: We're all here together and no
- one has on a flack jacket.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's good. That's
- 23 good. Okay.
- 24 And we do have two exhibits from the ANC
- 25 that are submitted. You don't have any other

- 1 additional submissions this morning at this time. Is
- 2 that correct?
- 3 MS. GATES: Correct.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. We have
- 5 Exhibit 51 and 83 if my notes are correct.
- 6 Very well. Let's move on down. Who do we
- 7 have?
- 8 MR. HEBERT: My name is Jay Hebert, at
- 9 1717 Foxhall Road, previously referred to as Herbert.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm sorry.
- MR. HEBERT: That's fine.
- We have reached an agreement. We
- 13 appreciate greatly the Friends of St. Patrick's and
- 14 the school working with us in the neighborhoods in
- 15 trying to resolve the issues that we faced a few
- 16 months ago. We think we've reached very good
- 17 agreements. They've been hard-fought and hard-
- 18 negotiated and represent compromise on both sides.
- 19 And we really greatly appreciate Friends of St.
- 20 Patrick's and the school working with us.
- I will say that our agreement is
- 22 contingent upon DDOT support for the new Foxhall Road
- configuration you'll see today. And the letter that
- 24 we received to date is a little bit ambiguous as to
- what DDOT's no objection is in respect of.

- 1 So, assuming that DDOT confirms what we
- 2 believe they're going to confirm, we will be
- 3 withdrawing as a party in opposition.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So, at this
- 5 point you haven't confirmed that your position has
- 6 changed in terms of your standing or rather in terms
- 7 of your case presentation?
- 8 MR. HEBERT: I can't confirm until I hear
- 9 DDOT testify.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- MR. HEBERT: I can't confirm it. But --
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And you have an
- 13 agreement.
- 14 MR. HEBERT: -- I have no reason to
- 15 believe that DDOT is going to say something other than
- 16 what we expect them to say in which I'm going to shout
- 17 hallelujah and --
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Understood.
- 19 MR. HEBERT: -- withdraw as a party in
- 20 opposition.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. HEBERT: And ask to be listed as a
- party in support.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And your
- 25 agreement that has been struck between the Applicant

- and yourself, contingent on that DDOT is something
- 2 that has its own standing outside of what we do. Is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 MR. HEBERT: Yes. It is a contract so
- 5 that's correct.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Very well.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 So, conceivably after you hear the
- 9 presentation of DDOT's agency report, you would not be
- 10 presenting a case. Is that my understanding?
- MR. HEBERT: Yes, sir.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. HEBERT: That's correct.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. Yes.
- MR. LYLE: My name is Edward Lyle. I live
- at 1805 45<sup>th</sup> Street, Northwest, in Washington, D.C. I
- am the Vice President of the Colony Hill Neighborhood
- 18 Association.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 20 MR. LYLE: And here as their
- 21 representative today.
- 22 As Mr. Feola has reflected, we have had
- 23 extensive negotiations as has Mr. Hebert and the other
- 24 parties with the Applicant in this case and that has
- 25 brought about certain changes which Mr. Feola has

- 1 asked to be admitted here at least in terms of the
- 2 application.
- And in light of that, we would like to
- 4 change our position from a party in opposition to a
- 5 party in support, based on the agreements that we have
- 6 reached.
- 7 I also, on behalf of the Colony Hill
- 8 Neighborhood Association would like to thank the
- 9 Applicant. We've had very extensive negotiations and
- 10 they have been willing to discuss with us everything
- 11 that we wanted to put on the table and been very
- 12 cooperative in that way.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- MR. LYLE: And on the whole, it's been a
- 15 very good experience and I think we've reached good
- 16 agreements.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Well, thank
- 18 you very much. I appreciate that.
- 19 And do you have any other filings that are
- 20 coming in today then?
- MR. LYLE: No, sir. We will not be
- 22 putting on a case.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Okay.
- 24 Yes.
- MR. FORRER: My name is John Forrer. I

- 1 live at 1714 Hoban Road, Northwest.
- I can simply second what has been said by
- 3 Mr. Lyle. I too have been extremely pleased with the
- 4 manner in which, sometimes difficult and contentious
- 5 negotiations were handled by both sides. And I am
- 6 very pleased to say that I am with the amendments that
- 7 are submitted this morning, am happy to change from a
- 8 party in opposition to a party in support of the
- 9 agreement.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
- MR. FORRER: I will not be making any
- 12 presentation, although I will reserve the right to ask
- 13 questions if the Board should raise issues.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Okay.
- 15 Excellent. Thank you very much.
- I believe that goes through our parties in
- 17 the ANC.
- 18 Anything else then? Very well. I think
- 19 this is excellent. Obviously, an awful lot of work
- 20 has happened outside of our hearings. And so it's
- just left up to us to do our job. Well, there it is.
- There's an awful lot that we have to get through
- 23 obviously.
- I think it's very important and I'll just
- 25 take a brief moment just to state that I think it's

1 excellent to come in with support having had worked and addressed certain issues. Be it very clear, of 2 course, that any application that comes before us be 3 it a special exception or a variance, is not based on 4 how much popularity one brings or how many people it 5 stacks the hearing room with. But rather we look at 6 7 either in support and in opposition, I think the 8 substantive elements that are brought. My meaning is You may have 100 people in support and one in 9 10 opposition and that one person's opposition may have such great substance that it would be key to our 11 decision and to the basis of our decision. 12 And so I thin kit's excellent that the substantive work has 13 14 happened in this particular case. And now we need to look at all of that in terms of the criterion with the 15 issues and the elements of the zoning requirements in 16 order for us to move forward. 17

It is obviously very important not to be redundant to make sure that those people that are surrounding an Applicant and surrounding the area have their elements and issues addressed. And I think it's been done successfully from what I understand.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, with that, let's move ahead unless there's any questions or clarification of the Board members of anybody else? Yes, Ms. Haas?

- 1 MS. HAAS: Would you like our addresses?
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Your addresses?
- 3 MS. HAAS: Right.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No. I think we're
- 5 okay.
- 6 MS. HAAS: Okay.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Very
- 8 well.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Chairman?
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Mr. Parsons.
- 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I want to make sure
- 12 I'm not confused. You all talk of an agreement. Mr.
- 13 Feola has submitted something called an Operations
- 14 Plan. Is this something you have become signatories
- 15 to or you just reviewed it and are happy with it
- 16 waiting for DDOT?
- 17 This is the documents you're talking
- 18 about?
- 19 MR. FORRER: Speaking for myself, sir, we
- 20 have an agreement to which the Operations Plan is an
- 21 exhibit. The Operations Plan to which we agreed is
- remarkably similar to that one, not quite identical.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But you have a
- 24 separate document that went between you and the
- 25 Applicant in which you're signatories.

- 1 MR. FORRER: Yes, sir.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's right. So,
- 3 we won't necessarily see --
- 4 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No. I understand.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 6 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But I just want to
- 7 make sure we're all talking about this June 6<sup>th</sup>
- 8 document.
- 9 MR. FORRER: Right. I think that one of
- 10 the other sets of documents that's referred to were
- 11 the architectural control documents, homeowner
- 12 association documents and so forth.
- 13 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes.
- 14 MR. FORRER: That Mr. Feola was
- 15 referencing earlier.
- 16 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right. Thank
- 17 you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. So, we
- 19 have the HOA and the Operations. Okay.
- 20 Anything else? Good. Thank you all very
- 21 much. We'll hear the Applicant's openings and their
- case.
- Obviously, I will still call, as you're
- 24 still parties in this case. I'll call your forward
- for cross examination if you would like. And also for

- 1 presentation of case as we get into that time.
- 2 However, first, whenever you're ready.
- MR. FEOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And
- 4 again for the record, Phil Feola of Pillsbury
- 5 Winthrop Shaw Pittman on behalf of the Applicant.
- As the Chair correctly pointed out, this
- 7 is an application for two special exceptions on a 17.3
- 8 acre tract of land that is in the R-1B zone district
- 9 at 1801 Foxhall Road.
- 10 And I have to say kind of as an editorial
- antidote if you will that in almost 27/28 years I've
- been before this body I've really not been part of an
- application that has been so thoroughly, thoughtfully
- 14 evaluated by a whole team of professionals. Most of
- them are in the room. Hopefully, some of whom you
- 16 won't have to hear from. But we've done wetland
- 17 studies and environmental assessments, archeological
- 18 phase 1 analysis. The team has had hydrologist and
- 19 wetland specialists and storm water management
- 20 specialists. And we've worked hard, we think, with
- 21 the city agencies, the National Park Service and the
- 22 community to try to come to a balance of how to
- develop this rather unique piece of property in D.C.
- 24 And I have to say virtually every
- 25 discipline that we've had and we've evaluated we've

- 1 had peer reviews. So that we are comfortable that
- what we present to you tonight or this afternoon or
- 3 this morning, rather, is solid in a sense of having
- 4 been looked at by a whole set of other eyes to make
- 5 sure that it's okay.
- 6 The application is really for three large
- 7 theoretical lots. The first is for a 7.72 acre lot
- 8 for an independent school, a private school, that we
- 9 hope t house 440 students with 100 faculty and staff.
- 10 It's a middle school of 120 kids plus or minus and an
- 11 upper school of 320, a high school of 320.
- We are proposing as I indicated before a
- 13 second lot, 8.1 acre lot for a theoretical subdivision
- 14 upon which there will be 18 theoretical lot homes and
- nine matter-of-right homes that front on Hoban Road as
- 16 you'll hear in a second. And a third lot of a 1.53
- 17 acre open space that is you'll hear part of our
- 18 environmental analysis of the site.
- 19 So, what we hope to prove this morning is
- 20 a test under 206, which is the private school special
- 21 exception that there will be no adverse impact caused
- 22 by the school because of noise, traffic, number of
- 23 students and other potential objectionable conditions.
- 24 And under a theoretical subdivision under 2516 that
- 25 we meet all the tests and standards in the zoning

- 1 regulations for that test.
- 2 I'd like to say a couple of things about
- 3 how we anticipate effectuating this development if
- 4 we're lucky enough to get it approved by this Board.
- 5 This is a big undertaking. The fact that
- there is 17 acres that need to be graded and utilities
- 7 put in and the like, it's going to take some time. We
- 8 expect that the residential development will go
- 9 forward fairly quickly if this Board were to approve
- 10 it and though the normal permitting process. And so
- we're looking at the school probably starting a little
- 12 bit later than the residential development because the
- 13 school has to do a lot of things including raising
- money to accommodate the concerns.
- So, we're going to ask the Board that it
- 16 allow a phasing of this development probably over the
- space of five or seven years to allow the completion
- of the entirety of the development.
- 19 For example, we would anticipate that
- 20 maybe the first phase of the school wouldn't include
- 21 the auditorium, which is something that we would love
- to have, but may not have the funds to do. So, you're
- 23 going to hear some discussion about that through out
- 24 witnesses.
- What we present in direct, really we only

- 1 have a handful of witnesses that represent just a
- 2 piece of our expertise on developing this site. And
- 3 we're going to ask Mr. John Delaney, who is a
- 4 representative of the owner who put this property
- 5 together. Peter Barrett who is the head of St.
- 6 Patrick's -- current St. Patrick's school. Alan Ward
- 7 from Sasaki Associates and Anthony Barnes from Barnes
- 8 Vance Associates to explain how the site has been
- 9 organized and some of the land-use issues. Marty
- 10 Wells is going to give us an overview of the traffic
- impact and Terry Armstrong is going to talk about the
- school Operations Plan which we have just enhanced and
- 13 turned in.
- So, with that, I'd like to ask Mr. Delaney
- 15 -- and if we might could have the lights, it might be
- 16 -- because we're going t use the PowerPoint if that's
- okay.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Ms. Bailey,
- if you wouldn't mind, we can dim the lights.
- MR. DELANEY: Thanks, Phil.
- 21 My name is John Delaney. I am one of the
- co-managing members of the Friends of St. Patrick's,
- which as you know is one of the applicants here today.
- 24 And I was also the immediate past chair of the Board
- 25 of Trustees of St. Patrick's Episcopal Day School, the

- 1 other Applicant here today.
- I recently concluded a two-year term as
- 3 chairman of the board of St. Patrick's and I served
- 4 seven years on that board as a parent volunteer.
- I have two children in the school now and
- 6 my third child, my oldest, graduated from the school
- 7 last year.
- 8 My remarks are introductory in nature,
- 9 which means they're brief. And I intend to really
- 10 discuss two things.
- 11 First, the history of this property. In
- other words, to try to answer the question, why are we
- 13 here? And then the second thing I'd like to share
- 14 with you is some of the goals that we set for
- ourselves when we began this project and explain to
- 16 you how we believe we've met these goals which I think
- sets the stage for my colleagues who will go a much
- 18 deeper dive as to the features and attributes of the
- 19 project.
- So, let's start with the history.
- It all started with a woman named Betty
- 22 Brown Casey who in 2001 acquired this property from
- the Brady Estate who had owned the property obviously
- 24 for several years. And her plan with this property
- 25 was to build a mansion on it and give the land and

- this mansion to the City of Washington for residents of the District of Columbia. I suspect most of you
- 3 are familiar with this story.

21

22

23

24

25

- By 2003, after some realization that that 4 plan wasn't going so well, she decided to sell the 5 property and she didn't sell the property the way most 6 7 people sell properties which is to put a for sale sign 8 on it. She decided to hold an auction. And the terms of that auction were fairly specific. You had a short 9 10 time frame to submit a big. The minimum price for the property was set at \$25 million. If you didn't want 11 to pay that amount of money, you shouldn't submit an 12 And then she also wanted to know what you plan 13 14 on doing with the property.
- So, at the time, this is the end of 2003,
  early 2004. I was the vice chair of the board of St.
  Patrick's and my friend and colleague Katherine
  Bradley, who is the other co-managing members of the
  Friends of St. Patrick's with me, was the chair of the
  Board of Trustees.
  - And during Katherine's term as chair, we had successfully expanded to a seventh and eighth program with a clear eye that we should ultimately, the school should go through grade 12. But that decision would be largely dependent upon finding the

1 right piece of property.

- And so I think Katherine and I together
  with other leaders in the school made a decision that
  this was too good of an opportunity to pass up, that
  as fiduciaries of the institution, an institution we
  obviously care deeply about, that we needed to seize
  this opportunity and acquire this property for the
  school.
- The probably was really two problems. Number one, the property is 17 acres and we didn't need all that property. And number two, the minimum price was beyond the schools financial means at the time and was also in excess of what parent donors were willing to give to the school to acquire the land. And Mrs. Casey wasn't interested in selling a part of the property.

So, we made the decision that what we would do is put together a group of parents, initially the Bradley and the Delaney family, and then joined by other families at the school and we formed this entity called Friends of St. Patrick's. And the goal of Friends of St. Patrick's was to pool some donations that we planned on making, borrow some money, buy the property, figure out how much should be used for the school, how much we should sell, give the part that we

- 1 thought appropriate to the school to the school and
- then sell the remaining parcel for residential use.
- 3 In effect, we were bridging this land for the school.
- 4 We submitted our bid and we won. There
- 5 were 17 bids submitted, I think was the number. And
- it's our sense, we don't know this for sure, that the
- other bidders were all residential developers who were
- 8 proposing some residential development at a density
- 9 greater than we're proposing here today.
- 10 And so that was kind of step one. Step
- 11 two was to determine what to do with the property.
- 12 And as Phil indicated we put together a large, kind of
- 13 multi-disciplinary team of experts. You'll hear from
- 14 many of them today to analyze this. And the result of
- that work is what you see before you here today. I
- tend to think of it even though it's three parcels, I
- tend to think of it as two parcels, a school parcel
- 18 and a residential parcel.
- 19 We gifted the school parcel to the school.
- 20 And then in September of 2005, we filed the
- 21 application you see before you here today.
- 22 And I can say that that application was
- 23 certainly the result of thousands of hours of work in
- 24 its preparation and analysis and I would say prior to
- 25 filing that application and then after filing that

- application, we have engaged in a comprehensive and very significant outreach effort, not only dealing with all the city agencies, but also spending time with all of the kind of required steps that you would take in reaching out to neighbors both in large groups and small groups.
- 7 We also set for ourselves a fairly high 8 standard for transparency and we established a website, 1801foxhallroad.com where we would post all 9 the relevant information about the property so that 10 any interested stakeholder would have an opportunity 11 to see what we're doing. 12
- And so that's how we arrive here today.

  That's the history of this project from when we became involved with it.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The goals of the projects, there are really two stakeholders in this project. There's the school and then there's the Friends of St. Patrick's.

The goals for the school, and Peter will obviously go much deeper on this than I will, but the goals of the school I would say were really twofold. Number one, to design a campus that would be appropriate for a middle and high school -- what will also be the only co-educational Episcopal high school in the City of Washington.

And the other goal for the school was to
design a project and then ultimately operate a project
or a school that will have minimal impact with the
neighborhood, consistent with our track record of
being a good neighbor, which I think has been welldocumented.

7 The goals for the Friends of St. Patrick's 8 were similarly straightforward. We wanted to come up with a plan, a low-impact residential plan, that would 9 10 meet our financial objectives for this project. financial objectives for this 11 our project were relatively modest. We had borrowed some money to buy 12 the land. We were only interested in paying off that 13 14 debt. There was no profit motivation at all, no family involved in this project who were receiving any 15 The Friends of St. Patrick's 16 proceeds. established specifically for a charitable intent. 17 And 18 so I think that's always been a positive attribute of this project in that the people that the various 19 neighbors have been dealing with they've never had a 20 for profit orientation. And so we've sought a density 21 just got us to a level that satisfied this 22 financial objective we have and no further. 23

And then the final goal of the Friends of St. Patrick's was to identify a partner, a residential

24

25

- development partner, that was both very experienced and had a reputation for quality and integrity and we
- 3 believe we found that in Elm Street Development.
- And so as we sit here today, we believe 4 we've achieved all these goals. We believe this 5 project meets or exceeds all of the standards set 6 7 forth in the zoning regulations. We're obviously very 8 pleased that the ANC supports this project. And we thank the ANC for all of their work. It was certainly 9 10 a detailed and thorough ANC process and I think it helped make it a better project. And I think the ANC 11 12 thoughts to some extent are summarized in a quote that appeared in the Northwest Current that was one of the 13 14 ANC members where they said, I think a school and St. Patrick's in particular, will be an asset to the 15 This is a school that has an excellent 16 community. academically 17 reputation, both and within the 18 community. That really means something. So, obviously very pleased and very proud about that. 19
- We are proud of this project. We think
  we're doing good work here. We obviously care deeply
  for this school and we think we're helping establish
  it's missing or allowing it to carry out its mission
  on a larger scale across the next many years.
- We're also proud of this project. We

- 1 believe when we pass this project as it unfolds across the next several years, we'll be able to hold our head 2 high and point to it and say we were part of that and 3 be proud of that. And we're also proud obviously, and 4 you heard these comments at the beginning of today's 5 session, and it's obviously most relevant for today, 6 7 that the parties that filed in opposition are now 8 supportive of this project. And I think that not only speaks very well for our team and the commitment they 9 10 made to satisfy these concerns, but it obviously speaks very well of those parties who approached this 11 12 in a constructive and productive manner. And I think we've all ended up with a better project as a result 13 14 of it.
- So, those are all my comments and I'd like to now turn it over to Peter.
- 17 MR. BARRETT: Excellent. Thank you.
- Thank you, John. Good morning. My name is Peter Barrett. I'm head of school at St. Patrick's Episcopal Day School.
- In the 2006/2007 school year, St.

  Patrick's Episcopal Day School will celebrate its 50<sup>th</sup>

  Anniversary, a half century of providing a superb

  nursery and elementary school and more recently middle

  school education for the children of the District of

- 1 Columbia and its suburbs.
- 2 St. Patrick's Episcopal Church itself, the
- 3 parish that brought the school to life as a nursery
- 4 school in 1956, has been part of the Foxhall and
- 5 Palisades section of the District for more than 90
- 6 years, beginning in a small red brick chapel across
- 7 from Grenich Parkway on Foxhall Road.
- 8 Close to 30 years ago, the day school
- 9 moved to the current site of its main campus at 4700
- 10 White Haven Parkway where the nursery school and the
- 11 church joined it eight years later. The day school
- began adding elementary grades in 1967, graduated its
- first sixth grade class in 1974 and has now graduated
- 14 33 such classes.
- 15 In September 2001, the day school
- inaugurated its grade seven and eight program near by
- on MacArthur Boulevard, graduated its first grade
- 18 eight class in 2003 and has now graduated four such
- 19 classes.
- In full, the day school educates
- 21 approximately 480 students. Four hundred and forty on
- the White Haven campus and 40 on the MacArthur campus.
- 23 St. Patrick's episcopal Day School has
- 24 been located in and committed to the Foxhall/Palisades
- community for decades. We have educated the children

- community, enabled 1 of them that to share our classrooms with children from neighborhoods throughout 2 the District of Columbia, as well as from suburban 3 Maryland and Northern Virginia and looked well beyond 4 those classrooms as we have cultivated grateful hearts 5 and habits of service among our students, parents and 6 7 teachers in serving the various communities of which 8 we are a part.
- When the Day School Board of Trustees and 9 10 church vestry approved the creation of a grade 7 and 8 program to open in the fall of 2001, they also 11 committed themselves to a study of the possibility of 12 establishing a program for grades 9 through 12, which 13 14 we have pursued across the last five years. There is no co-educational Episcopal secondary school option 15 located in the nation's capital, a city that would 16 benefit from another strong, independent high school 17 18 of any sort. But particularly, I would suggest, an Episcopal high school that would extend a 19 tradition of providing a rigorous academic program for 20 21 children of all races, creeds and cultural St. Patrick's Episcopal Day School is 22 backgrounds. institution poised to create 23 clearly the educational option in the District of Columbia. 24

In considering the question of a St.

- 1 Patrick's high school, studied we have the demographics of the greater Washington area, run a 2 range of financial models and looked at a number of 3 pieces of real estate, all of them located in the 4 District of Columbia, given our deep commitment to the 5 6 city which has been our home for half a century and to 7 the city's children and families.
- For much of the 2005/2006 academic year I

  was on sabbatical in part to visit independent high

  schools across the country to learn more about the

  best practices that shape these institutions.
- During my sabbatical I visited more than
  20 high school, including long-established schools, as
  well as schools that have only recently added
  secondary school programs or are adding them now.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Our goal is to create an exceptional, academically rigorous college preparatory program that has to equal any high school now located in the Washington area, home of some of the finest schools in the country, all in the context of the kind of balance and thoughtfulness that characterize St. Patrick's program for students in nursery through grade 8.
- We were exceedingly fortunate during the period of our high school study to have the property at 1801 Foxhall Road become available twice and

- 1 realized that we couldn't pass on it the second time
- 2 around. Of course, we could only have made that
- 3 realization with the generosity of the group of
- 4 parents callings themselves the Friends of St.
- 5 Patrick's Episcopal Day School, an astounding
- 6 demonstration of support for the day school program
- 7 and commitment to extending that program through the
- 8 high school years.
- 9 Located just a short walk from the main
- 10 White Haven campus, the beautiful property at 1801
- 11 Foxhall Road would allow us to serve our children and
- 12 families most effectively across their years of
- 13 schooling on two distinct, yet nearby campuses, each
- 14 tailored to the specific intellectual and academic,
- 15 social and emotional, moral and spiritual and physical
- 16 needs of the age groups that call it their school
- 17 home.
- 18 One important consideration in this
- 19 hearing is the number of students proposed by St.
- 20 Patrick's for the campus at 1801 Foxhall Road. We are
- seeking a school size of 440 for grades 7 through 12.
- I would like to set that requested school size into
- 23 some reasonable context.
- 24 First, you may know that high school
- 25 reform is a hot topic these days. Discussion of high

- school reform quickly intersects with the literature
- 2 concerning the effectiveness of small schools, so that
- 3 much of the focus is on creating new, small high
- 4 schools or breaking of existing high school into
- 5 smaller components.
- 6 The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is
- 7 the most significant private supporter of small public
- 8 high school projects. In a 2002 article, the
- 9 executive director of the foundation's educational
- 10 initiative states "Researchers vary in how they define
- 11 small schools, but what we've seen, high schools with
- 12 no more than 100 students per grade level create the
- 13 kind of rich learning environment that leads to
- 14 success."
- 15 St. Patrick's proposes a high school 320
- students, 80 per grade, by any measure a small school.
- We arrive at the 440 with 120 students, 60 per grade,
- in grades 7 and 8.
- 19 Second, looking at the Washington area
- independent schools that St. Patrick's graduates have
- 21 been attending, we see an average high school size of
- 22 349 students, almost 30 students more than St.
- 23 Patrick's is proposing. The kinds of high school
- 24 programs in which our families and students have been
- 25 most interested then tend to be larger on average than

- 1 St. Patrick's has proposed, not smaller.
- 2 And I might note, we deliberately omitted
- from the close to 20 schools we looked at, two schools
- 4 to which some of our graduates have only recently
- 5 moved on, the roughly 900 student Gonzaga and 1,100
- 6 student St. John's, so as not to skew the numbers.
- 7 Third, and this point is related to the
- 8 previous point. It's important to recognize an
- 9 inextricable link between size of school and the depth
- and breadth of the educational program that school can
- offer.
- 12 An educational program of the depth and
- 13 breath that St. Patrick's envisions on the Foxhall
- 14 Road campus requires a certain enrollment, certainly
- more than 300, to support the range of courses in the
- 16 humanities and languages and the sciences and
- 17 mathematics and in athletics.
- I have one final point.
- 19 The grades 4, 5 and 6 classes on St.
- 20 Patrick's White Haven campus, which represent the
- 21 leading edge headed for the Foxhall Campus average
- 22 roughly 50 students per grade. Over time, we intend
- 23 to keep all the St. Patrick's students which must
- 24 attend other schools, as well as build in some modest
- 25 growth as virtually every comparable school does from

- grade 6 to grade 7 and/or from grade 8 to grade 9, so
- 2 that we can also welcome Washington area students
- 3 whose families have chosen to wait until middle and
- 4 high school to attend independent schools.
- 5 Even more important that modest growth
- from grade 6 to grade 7 and grade 8 to grade 9 would
- 7 enable St. Patrick's to achieve an even greater
- 8 measure of diversity drawn from a wider area within
- 9 the District of Columbia as families look further
- 10 beyond their neighborhoods from middle school and high
- 11 school choices for their growing children.
- 12 Approving St. Patrick's at a size smaller
- than proposed would require us to put out or send
- 14 elsewhere students who are already with us, rather
- 15 than allowing them to continue in the place in which
- they have thrived for many years. And not allow us to
- build in some modest growth in enrollment as virtually
- 18 every other school does from grade 6 to grade 7 and
- 19 grade 8 to grade 9 and prevent us from achieving a
- 20 greater measure of diversity that modest growth would
- 21 enable. Therefore, we seek approval of a school size
- of 440 students on the Foxhall campus, 320 in the high
- 23 school and 120 in the middle school.
- That concludes my remarks. Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank

- 1 you very much.
- 2 A lot of good points and very substantive.
- 3 A quick question on the enrollment. You were saying
- 4 that you're what sixth and seventh or your seventh and
- 5 eighth enrollment number is going to be larger than
- the grades below. Is that correct?
- 7 MR. BARRETT: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, if I understood
- 9 your last comment, it's in order to bring in let's say
- 10 new blood --
- MR. BARRETT: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- to the school?
- 13 And you said that most schools do that?
- MR. BARRETT: Yes. Virtually, every
- comparable school grows from grade 6 to grade 7 and/or
- 16 from grade 8 to grade 9.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 18 Excellent.
- I noticed in your opening also as part of
- your other processes on seventh and eighth and the
- 21 outgrowth of, I guess, the Board, in establishing
- seventh and eighth was to look at the future where we
- 23 are now --
- MR. BARRETT: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- going into the

- 1 high school level. Obviously, we're familiar with
- 2 other applications for those levels.
- What's happening with those other
- 4 facilities then as this progresses?
- 5 MR. BARRETT: The current grades 7 and 8
- 6 campus, is that what you refer to?
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Correct.
- 8 MR. BARRETT: We would no longer have use
- 9 for that and we would anticipate that we would sell
- 10 that property --
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 12 MR. BARRETT: -- once the move is made to
- the Foxhall campus.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, right now the
- 15 plan is, of course, that all those students would be
- incorporated into this facility.
- 17 MR. BARRETT: That's correct.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Does it make any
- 19 sense to even keep that as another facility for
- 20 classes or any other --
- MR. BARRETT: No.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- sort of other
- 23 use?
- 24 MR. BARRETT: No. It's a half mile in the
- 25 other direction. That is, a half mile from our main

- 1 campus.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- 3 MR. BARRETT: And so it makes little sense
- 4 to us to maintain that property for school use.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 6 you very much. It's excellent points in opening.
- 7 And lastly, you made a comment which I
- 8 think goes directly to what Mr. Delaney was saying.
- 9 It's an incredible and impressive commitment but
- 10 you've obviously been able to garner with all the
- 11 folks that are pulling this together.
- But that's all I have at this point,
- 13 unless there are any other quick questions from the
- 14 Board, let's move ahead.
- MR. WARD: I'm Alan Ward. I'm a principal
- 16 at Sasaki Associates. We are planner, landscape
- 17 architects based in Watertown, Massachusetts. And
- 18 we've initiated the planning concepts for the site,
- 19 working with Barnes Vance Architects.
- 20 The site is located -- maybe we should dim
- 21 the lights please.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you, Ms.
- 23 Bailey.
- 24 MR. WARD: The site is located east of
- 25 Foxhall Road shown here. There's parkland to both the

- east, the Glover Archibald Park and Whitehaven Park to
- the north. The existing St. Patrick's and lower
- 3 school is to the west and to the north. The Reservoir
- 4 is to the south.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Before we go
- 6 too far on this two points.
- 7 First of all, is this document in the
- 8 record at this point? Do we have anything that we can
- 9 pull up close?
- The other is obviously all this is going
- 11 to be submitted into the record. Is that correct?
- MR. WARD: Yes. That's correct.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Do we have
- 14 this aerial?
- MR. BARRETT: We do not have this one.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 17 MR. WARD: This aerial is not in yet.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Go ahead.
- 19 MR. WARD: One of the key issues in the
- 20 development of this site is how to fit the school and
- 21 the residential into the distinctive topography. And
- there's a significant slop from the west to the east
- on this site. So, as part of our planning process we
- 24 looked at other residential neighborhoods, single
- 25 family neighborhoods in this part of the District,

- such as Valley Spring, Wesley Heights to the north,
- 2 Colony Hill to the south, to learn some examples about
- 3 how to fit the residential into the topography of the
- 4 site.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. This is
- 6 mostly looking at the theoretical lots and how you
- 7 would look to utilize the specific slope of this site?
- 8 MR. WARD: That's right.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And contextually how
- 10 it's done elsewhere?
- MR. WARD: That's correct.
- 12 These other neighborhoods show graceful,
- 13 curving walls to accommodate the slope. Many of those
- 14 principles have been applied to how to fit the
- 15 residential as well as the academic buildings onto
- 16 this site.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And the
- 18 numbers on that diagram are the different
- 19 neighborhoods that you looked at?
- 20 MR. WARD: Those are the different
- 21 neighborhoods that were looked at as kind of
- 22 precedents for this particular size.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Do you know
- 24 what the neighborhoods are or are you going to get to
- 25 that?

- 1 MR. WARD: It was Valley Springs, Wesley
- 2 Heights to the north. Spring Valley, Wesley Heights
- 3 to the north and there is a couple -- Colony Hill to
- 4 the south.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 6 MR. WARD: The site itself slopes from the
- 7 west to the east, but within that distinctive
- 8 topography, there are some important differences.
- 9 There are two areas of high ground, two ridges
- 10 outlined in red. This is a rather simple and crude
- 11 diagram of these key features, but it summarizes the
- 12 kind of key features of the site.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- MR. WARD: So, the high land is on this
- ridge, a central ridge, where the existing house once
- 16 stood. And another ridge to the north. And there are
- 17 two valleys. The more important of those valleys is a
- 18 central valley here. It's actually quite beautiful.
- 19 We call it the Dell because there are existing trees,
- 20 black walnut, tulip popular, other native species.
- Our sense is this land has not been modified. It's
- 22 the original topography. Perhaps it was used for
- grazing and the topography hasn't been adjusted. But
- 24 it's certainly worthy of preservation. It's the most
- 25 beautiful feature of the site.

- 1 The other valley to the south is land that
- is more gently sloping and it shows evidence of having
- 3 been modified and adjusted for agricultural purposes,
- 4 perhaps, and it's a zone which it doesn't really have
- 5 either the vegetation or the topographic beauty of
- 6 this central valley. This is an area that is suitable
- 7 for development in our view.
- 8 This is a photograph of that central
- 9 valley, the Dell as we call it. There are some
- 10 invasive species which are appearing here which could
- 11 be simplified. It is quite a stunning, beautiful
- 12 feature of the site, clearly worth of preservation as
- the central part of the open space.
- 14 There a group of conifers which were
- 15 planted as part of the house -- the previous house on
- the site, which we are preserving as part of the plans
- 17 that are an integral part of the residential
- 18 neighborhood.
- 19 By preserving this central valley or Dell
- 20 as we call it, there's an interesting larger open
- 21 space pattern that emerges here. There's a
- 22 counterpart to the north in this development, so you
- 23 get kind of reciprocal relationship of a larger
- 24 connected open space here. So, it has higher
- 25 environmental value by having this larger connected

- 1 open space which leads down through Whitehaven Park
- 2 and then to Glover Archibald Park.
- 3 This shows the plan developed in more
- detail with the 27 lots to the south. And as a land-
- 5 use strategy, this becomes a logical extension in
- 6 land-use terms of the Colony Hill neighborhood to the
- 7 south.
- 8 The athletic field is shown in a portion
- 9 of the site which is reasonably level which that kind
- 10 of large area can fit with some modification of
- 11 topography. That combined with the preserved central
- open space makes a larger central green area that then
- divides the residential from the campus to the north.
- 14 The campus is divided into several
- 15 components. The upper school with its own quadrangle.
- 16 The middle school with another quadrangle. And then
- 17 auditorium and gymnasium. This location, of course,
- 18 has the closest proximity to the existing church and
- 19 lower school.
- So, from our point of view, the kind of
- 21 planning framework which is defined here is really a
- 22 logical outgrowth of the distinctive characteristics
- of the site. It preserves the best of the natural
- 24 areas, the central Dell, locates the school where it
- 25 has the proximity to the existing academic facilities

- 1 and church. And then the residential is located near
- 2 the park and adjacent to an existing residential
- 3 neighborhood.
- 4 Now, Anthony Barnes will describe each of
- 5 these elements and many of the edge conditions which
- 6 are so critical in more detail.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, let me ask you
- 8 because you are doing the big picture, the land
- 9 planning, in terms in ingress and egress and
- 10 circulation throughout.
- 11 Can you talk a little bit about why the
- decisions were made as they have been laid out here?
- 13 MR. WARD: Yes. We actually have a far
- more detailed presentation just on the access.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- MR. WARD: It's quite a significant issue.
- 17 But maybe just to simply that.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- 19 MR. WARD: I mean, one primary means of
- 20 egress where it's possible and works best on Foxhall
- 21 Road. The length of this route is partly due to the
- 22 amount of stacking for pick up as required for the
- 23 school, as well as just fitting that roadway
- 24 circulation, providing emergency access.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But in a single use

- 1 like the high school, it's not inappropriate to have
- 2 a single ingress and egress?
- 3 MR. WARD: No. It's not.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I understand
- 5 that.
- 6 Let's get to the residential which is
- 7 perhaps more of a concern of mine.
- 8 We have a single ingress and egress to all
- 9 of the lots. Is that correct?
- 10 MR. WARD: That's right.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Was there a
- decision that -- is there an opportunity to have more
- or why is there not an opportunity?
- 14 MR. WARD: In our judgment, this is
- adequate to serve this residential neighborhood.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's all well and
- good to be adequate, but here we are talking the big
- 18 picture and plan.
- 19 What were the other opportunities? Are
- 20 there any other opportunities? Or are there
- 21 opportunities that are not available because of --
- 22 MR. WARD: Well, there are limitations
- 23 because of site lines and spacing of intersections on
- 24 Foxhall Road, significant limitations.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.

- 1 MR. BARNES: Mr. Chairman, can I comment
- 2 on your question?
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- 4 MR. BARNES: We did start off, Mr.
- 5 Chairman, with a second means of egress proposed onto
- 6 Foxhall Road, but the Department of Transportation in
- 7 a working meeting earlier on said they didn't feel it
- 8 was necessary. And it also became clear with further
- 9 study of the grades, it was virtually impossible to
- 10 make the grade up there. There's quite a bit of grade
- 11 change, probably 40 feet from there to the 45<sup>th</sup> Street
- 12 access. This is the extension of 45<sup>th</sup> Street here.
- One might of contemplated a second entrance onto Hoban
- 14 Road but it was again more disruptive for this
- 15 neighborhood and the similar means of egress was
- deemed to be perfectly sufficient for the Department
- of Transportation.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And going out
- 19 the other way, obviously, has its own complications.
- MR. BARNES: Indeed. In going around the
- 21 school?
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- 23 MR. BARNES: Something like that? Yes.
- 24 You'd have to cross the Dell with significant grade
- 25 change or something like that.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 2 MR. BARNES: So, there's environmental
- 3 damage here.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good.
- 5 What else?
- 6 MR. BARNES: Thank you. Anthony Barnes.
- 7 Barnes Architects to residential and institutional
- 8 work. We are very familiar with the site. We worked
- 9 for awhile with Mrs. Casey early in her tenure here.
- 10 We're delighted to work with St. Patrick's school and
- our colleagues who you'll see today developing the
- 12 property.
- 13 I will just point out the little notes
- 14 that you can barely read around the drawing are really
- 15 just to illustrate that these diagrams, although
- 16 consistent with what you have in your packet have been
- amended with a few of the changes that you heard about
- 18 a little bit earlier from Mr. Feola. There really are
- 19 five changes that I wish to point out.
- 20 Firstly, in this northeast corner,
- 21 although this graphic currently shows four residential
- 22 sites here, we have reduced that to three after
- 23 discussions with its impact on the park with the
- 24 National Park Service, so it reduces our total lot
- 25 count to 27. It increases our average lot size to

- 1 10,363 square feet.
- 2 Secondly, we had a series of grade changes
- 3 that are right against the park where there are
- 4 perimeter retaining walls and we have moved these back
- 5 18 inches from the property line so that someone could
- 6 get down there and maintain these over time during the
- 7 life of the project once it's built.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do we have a graphic
- 9 that's being submitted?
- 10 MR. BARNES: I will show you in more
- 11 detail of that.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good. While
- 13 I mark up this one, is it my understanding then Lot 11
- is going away?
- MR. BARNES: I think we dropped Lot 13. I
- 16 will show in more detail an exhibit which has the lot
- 17 numbers on it.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well.
- 19 MR. BARNES: As part of my presentation.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. BARNES: The next point is that we
- 22 were showing as part of our pedestrian circulation in
- 23 here. We have sidewalks on one side. We have access
- 24 into these alley systems. And we actually had a
- 25 series of stairs shown here to invite folks from the

- neighborhood to walk in the park, those folks at the
  Park Service who deal with trail management and
  degradation of the park environment, so they would
  prefer us to not introduce any new trails into the
  park. So, we have, in fact, agreed to take that
  entrance suggestion off of the plan.
- 7 Also, in response to some comments from 8 the National Park Service, we have a unique storm water management system below this field which was 9 10 previously shedding its load directly after control and -- quality and quantity control into the Dell in 11 12 the north here. There was a concern that in an extraordinary event, I think, a 250 storm was credited 13 14 to us as a possibility that we would not want to be 15 flooding this more sensitive and more fragile northern stream system. So, in fact, have now incorporated an 16 overflow system that will share the overflow 17 18 extreme events to both the southern and northern 19 drainage systems.

Then in response to some concerns from both the ANC and from some neighbors, there's been a chance in Foxhall Road in the way that the traffic pattern moves so that there will now be unimpeded two-lane traffic possible at all times in both directions.

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we have added a small right turn lane

- 1 at this point here and we have made the blend from
- 2 two-lanes to one lane that currently exists at the
- 3 Whitehaven intersection. We have left it there as it
- 4 exists today. This will be detailed a little bit later
- 5 in the presentation.
- I'm going to use the model to -- we've
- 7 built a working model to actually study the site and
- 8 come up together with solutions and to accommodate the
- 9 ANC so they can see the pictures.
- 10 The site is divided into three sections.
- 11 The parcel is 17.3 acres and we've decided that 7.72
- 12 acres, this portion here that includes the field and
- 13 the campus, is appropriately small but adequate for
- 14 the school purposes.
- The green space, the Dell that you've
- heard about, is 1.53 acres and then we have 8.05 acres
- 17 left for the residential development over here.
- As we rotate the model around, you can
- 19 begin to see the challenges that go with the grade and
- just to be clear in all of these presentations, north
- is up towards Whitehaven Park here.
- 22 There's about 100 feet of fall from east
- 23 to east as Alan mentioned earlier. There are these
- 24 two drainage areas. There's the northern Dell and
- 25 what we call the southern swale running down here

- 1 which feed existing stream systems in the National
- 2 Park System.

- The old mansion site is the raised area right in the middle here and we have introduced a loop road system that comes down and shows some frontage to the park and loops back up around using some of the old service road behind the mansion and gets back to
- 8 45<sup>th</sup> Street here at Hoban Road.
- As we now move around to the north side

  you can begin to see some of the considerable slopes

  that are a characteristic of the northern Dell. It's

  part of its beauty and it makes it challenging for

  development as well. So, this is, you know, one of

  the contributing reasons to us feeling that this

  should be kept open.
  - You can also see a really significant attribute of the current site as you drive up Foxhall Road. When you look to the right you can see all the way through to the park through the open space in the middle. And with the location of the field together with this Dell, that green view which will be maintained with a lot of these existing mature trees, the conifers and the other trees in the Dell, and the openness of the field itself will clearly be a view that's been preserved as you go up Foxhall Road. And

- people have been used to this being a relatively open
  site all of this time.
- The edges to the park in a couple of cases

  are very benign and beautiful like this which we would

  leave open and natural just as they are today. And

  other that are more challenging where terrain makes

  the development close to the park more challenging.
- 8 And what we've done again in consultation with Mr. Murphy of the National Park Service and other 9 10 environment organizations like the Friends of 11 Whitehaven Park is we're proposing a series of retaining walls. The one closest to the property line 12 as I said would be no closer than 18 inches and it 13 14 would be no higher than five feet so that this is something that can be planted and softened. 15 Where grade changes call for a further grade change than 16 five feet, we will have a planted slope bank and a 17 18 second retaining wall further away.
- I have some more details of that as we get further into the proposal.
- Okay. Looking at the school design itself, the campus as Alan described is a fairly tight grouping of buildings, so that we do not use too much of the land. This, one of the two ridges was clearly a prime candidate for development. It is also closest

- 1 to the existing campus on this corner here. So, we
- 2 have broken it into a building that would hold
- 3 essentially the high school and the group of buildings
- 4 that would hold a middle school behind it, each of
- 5 which has a small courtyard or quadrangle. The two
- form together to make a greater urban space that I
- 7 think will be very memorable core memory for the uses
- 8 of the school and the children that go through there.
- 9 Our circular road loop to drop off the kids will
- 10 actually pass through it, so it's an experience
- 11 everyone one would have visiting the campus.
- 12 And then to further enliven this, our
- 13 little performing art center is right here and we have
- 14 a gymnasium at this corner. The gymnasium at its
- lower level, its basement level through vomatorium
- 16 below the roadway, does give you direct access to the
- 17 field.
- 18 And below this central campus we also have
- 19 all of our parking. You notice there's no open
- 20 parking structure here. We've made the commitment to
- 21 go underground, more than 170 spaces which is quite a
- 22 bit more than is required. There will be incidental
- parking along the road loop as well.
- 24 The character of the buildings themselves
- 25 is going to somewhat referential to the existing

brick exteriors with cable walls, perhaps a limestone base, metal roofs, but a slightly cleaner, more contemporary take, but still a reference to the more

school and church complex down the road. It would be

traditional feeling of the architecture that exists

6 down at that point.

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do have these two significant open spaces, one of which is the little courtyard here and the other one you can see there's а nature amphitheater that works with the grade on the site which makes a wonderful place for informal or formal gatherings at the school. And then we have a campus green which is a big green open space which is on the east side where the buildings essentially look out at So, we have these really rather the forest beyond. nice open spaces that are part and parcel to the school design.

plan for the school We to being friendly efficient in environmentally and compactness of its plan. High performance buildings with low energy use, natural day lighting and so on. Clearly, one can't put the cart before the horse with a BZA hearing, but the planning of the scheme is open to this and it's the intention to move forward with this type of designing.

1 Let me mention that we do comply with the zoning regulation in Section 206 for the school. 2 a noise point of view, the school buildings are 640 feet from the existing neighbors to the south, about 350 to a single neighbor on the north. More than 250 5 feet from the German Ambassador's residence across 7 Foxhall Road and from a noise point of view, it's also significant that we were able to tuck these buildings in and the field against the existing embankment of Foxhall Road moving noise away from those neighbors.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From a traffic point of view, you'll see much more detail as we go, but we have widened Foxhall Road as necessary to deal with the needs of turning lanes and so on into the campus. We have the onsite car pool loop that you'll hear more about again which should keep stacked cars off of the public roadway. We've gone to an underground parking system accommodate the number of students which will be very few who could drive and the staff members, visiting And these really support the parents and so on. smaller class size in this educational mission that was described by Peter Barrett. So, the school is not any bigger than we feel it needs to be to meet educational goals.

Parking, as I mentioned, is ample and more

- than is required, most of it below grade.
- 2 And other conditions that really do meet
- 3 the intent of the zoning is because the buildings have
- 4 been integrated with the site tucked into the hill,
- 5 kept in a fairly tight grouping over here is not the
- 6 over-development of the site related to the buildings.
- 7 We have significant green set asides that are part of
- 8 the planning for the school use.
- 9 Now setbacks from the park which we take
- 10 very seriously as a neighbor are at least 50 feet here
- 11 with the school buildings.
- 12 The storm water provisions are also beyond
- those required by the D.C. regulations.
- 14 I'm now going to switch to the residential
- 15 portion a little more.
- 16 This is an extension of the existing
- 17 zoning map which you're all familiar with. The
- 18 property boundaries are shown in broken lines here and
- 19 you can see the existing co-linear streets of the 41-
- 20 home Colony Hill sub-development at this point here.
- In relationship to the park we do have two
- 22 edges of the residential that abut the park and
- 23 essentially are a little piece of private parkland if
- 24 you will in the Dell on the north as well.
- 25 So, we've tried to integrate the

- residential section very tightly with the community that exists in Colony Hill and it is a very beautiful neighborhood that was well planned at the beginning.
- Colony Hill, as you heard from Alan Ward
  earlier is one of those neighborhoods in Washington
  with substantial grade change and the Washington grid
  street system has been broken so that the road grades
  can more closely match the topography and make sense
  of it.
- We have followed the same inspiration with
  the loop road that really works with the topography
  moving around from highland on the west to low land on
  the east at this point here.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- In the Architect Horace Peaselee's original development plans for the Colony Hill neighborhood together with landscape architect Rose Greely, they had originally planned about a 70-house development in "early American and Georgian styles." And so we see this really as the completion of their plan with the addition of about 27 houses here.
- As you look at our development plan, our intention to provide a new open garden neighborhood very much like the model neighborhoods that we have studied that are much admired in northwest Washington nearby. We will have sidewalks on at least one side.

- 1 There is no use of, you know, fences or gates or
- 2 markers of the neighborhood of any kind. It's
- 3 essentially an open neighborhood.
- 4 We have consistent front yard setbacks and
- 5 street scape taken as a goal here. We have
- 6 voluntarily extended the 15-foot building restriction
- 7 line on Hoban road to 25 feet here. And, of course,
- 8 within the single family portion that's inside the
- 9 lot, we're required to have a 25-foot front yard
- 10 setback. So, we plan to have this produce a nice
- 11 consistent street scape which is one of the attributes
- of these older neighborhoods.
- 13 So, it might interest you that the setback
- on Hoban Road where we've voluntarily gone further
- 15 back, means that the distance from our house fronts to
- 16 those on Hoban road will be around about 110 feet
- 17 which is actually the existing setback you see here
- 18 across 45<sup>th</sup> Street that exists in the neighborhood.
- 19 So, it makes for a fairly wide front yard street scape
- on both sides. In the 18 theoretical lot home sites
- 21 we, of course, have a similar consistent street scape
- we should be able to provide as the loop road moves
- around.
- 24 We also comply with the Zoning Regulation
- 25 section 2516 for the residential here. This is an R-

- 1 1B district which means there's a 5,000 square foot
- 2 minimum lot requirement with a 50-foot minimum width.
- Our lot sizes go from a minimum of 7,600 roughly to
- 4 18,500 in its largest. As I said earlier, an average
- of 10,363 square feet, which is a little more than 50
- 6 percent of the allowed density. So, we're trying to
- 7 make the density as low as possible.
- 8 Colony Hill out of interest averages about
- 9 8,400 square feet. So, we're comparable bit a little
- 10 bit lighter in density. Our lots sizes are bigger.
- We do have less than 40 percent lot
- occupancy on the planned homes and we would observe
- 13 the three-story maximum on story limit. We do provide
- 14 25-foot rear yards, 8-foot side yards and 25-foot
- 15 front yards throughout.
- The private roads in the system are 28
- 17 feet wide as planned, wider than the 25-foot minimum
- 18 requirement that's comprising two 10-foot travel lanes
- 19 and an 8-foot parking lane on one side.
- The loop road accommodates the needs of
- 21 emergency vehicles to turn around. Clearly we don't
- 22 need a cul-de-sac because emergency vehicles are able
- 23 to run around the loop if they then need to leave the
- 24 development in a hurry.
- 25 We feel there's no adverse effect on the

- 1 neighborhood because of our modest density, our low
- 2 traffic impact and the pocket parks and other
- 3 amenities that we offer within the development. So,
- 4 let me highlight those for you.
- 5 You've heard about the Dell which is on
- 6 this side over here. But we have other areas which
- 7 are set aside that are not uniquely part of any lot.
- 8 The conifers that Alan Ward mentioned here, some of
- 9 those trees are way over 100 years old and probably
- 10 were even planted when Valley View Farm was in
- operation which pre-dated the Brady Mansion. Some of
- them are in tree wells that were built at the time of
- 13 the Brady Mansion. They are significant. They are
- 14 not all native but they're extraordinary trees.
- 15 They're visible from Foxhall Road. In fact, in the
- 16 winter, it's the one big piece of green that you see
- 17 as you look across the field. And the level of our
- 18 field is almost exactly at the base level of those
- 19 trees. So, they'll be highly visible.
- That will be kept set aside as a little
- 21 park. It's a wonderful shaded overlook at all times
- if you're into the Dell here.
- 23 We also have, you can see there's a
- 24 slightly unusual little loop or twist to our road as
- 25 you come into the development here. And that's

because the larger green circled you see there is an enormous Sycamore, terrific Sycamore, right on access with 45<sup>th</sup> Street now, together with a companion tree close to it, we felt it was really worthy of The Department of Transportation preservation. because of the modest size of the development just said they're happy for the road to go this way to save the tree.

so, we actually have a little park area around there with a stair that we can drop people down into there. There's a tremendous grade change that exists on the site there. People will be able to walk in there and walk a loop that they goes up through our alley system at the back here.

On the east side over here, there is actually a small ephemeral stream. It's really just an open ditch that, of course, runs when there's rain fall. This as part of our wetland study we showed all of our findings to the Corps of Engineers and then in a meeting about a year ago on site that took jurisdiction of about a 40-foot stretch of this which we're obviously preserving and we are offering the D.C. preferred 25-foot buffer around it. So, that's another green area at the edge of the park that we're able to offer.

We also have in this condition here,
excuse me, 15-feet of a space between our road bed and
the park grater than we originally started for, but

something that was asked for by Mr. Murphy.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Another green space that we've kept is mansion wall. riaht here below the There's beautiful cox of mature trees on a grass bank and we're keeping that as a set aside as well. So, in fact, this is the development of what has been traditionally a very big open green space at the edge of the park. And we've tried hard to respect that in the development os that a user or a visitor to the neighborhood would drive in around this big tree, go straight towards an intersection when you see these beautiful trees up on the hill, take a right and you go down there are actually some magnificent Park Service trees there that we've aimed our road towards, which we're happy to look at. And then as we turn around here, there's obviously public enjoyment of the edge of the park. As soon as you take the left, you can see the Dell loop around it on your way out. Again, you're on your way past some of the green space that's in there.

Let me see if I have anything here.

25 We believe that we're in keeping with the

- 1 R-B1 intent in that, of course, the minimum lot within
- an area have been exceeded. It is a single-family
- 3 home neighborhood and the front, read and side yards
- 4 are all in compliance.
- 5 I'm going to make a very small comment, if
- I may, on the OP report, Office of Planning Report.
- 7 We did pay several visits to them and followed
- 8 suggestions of theirs along the way.
- 9 In their letter to the Board, they
- 10 mentioned that there are CCRs and architectural
- 11 guidelines that would apply to all of the houses. In
- 12 fact, that is slightly different. They are more
- 13 restrictions on the Hoban Street houses, the nine
- 14 matter-of-right houses that face the existing
- 15 neighborhood. And also there's a comment that all
- 16 front doors would face Hoban. I'm sure what they mean
- 17 is that all front doors would face streets, because we
- 18 have internal streets as well.
- 19 But we do plan to have a compatibility of
- 20 the architecture in what's provided throughout the
- 21 development, but in particular, in these nine matter-
- of-right houses that face Colony Hill. And there was
- 23 a long and constructive series of meetings that have
- 24 applied some extra architectural restrictions on that
- 25 side.

We will have houses of 4,000 feet above 1 grade and to the first and second floors. And we have 2 conditions 22 that initial 3 proffered cover construction which are proposed and we then further 4 have architectural guidelines proposed for the entire 5 6 development which include 11 more restrictive 7 architectural guidelines that are offered for these 8 matter-of-right houses on Hoban. And these would be permanently monitored and enforced by the Homeowners' 9 10 Association. And I believe it would be our suggestion that you should incorporate these into your motion n 11 the product as a whole. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Little more detail on the lot design itself. This is one of the lots on Hoban Road and in this particular case, the property line actually includes an alley on the south side and actually on the north side. I'm going to go back and just point out that the grade changes and the tree saving intentions along Hoban road made it appropriate to consider a rear access alley system for those houses in particular.

Furthermore, where there's a tremendous grade challenges in this inside loop over here, we have also incorporated a second alley system over there. This is very like the vew places, for example,

- in Spring Valley and Wesley Heights where the grade is
- 2 so extreme that you can't get a car up to the front of
- 3 these houses and the alleys are introduced in those
- 4 neighborhoods where it is necessary.
- 5 So, on our developed lot here, we've also
- 6 taken care with a proposed footprint to still include
- 7 a landscaped rear garden. There's about 30 by 40 feet
- 8 that would be for the enjoyment of those homeowners as
- 9 well as the private right of way that just serves a
- 10 few of the neighbors uphill on the side over here.
- There's obviously a front yard as well
- 12 which is quite large together with the public space
- 13 which is 15 feet and our 25-foot front yard setback,
- there's 40 feet of green space from the street before
- 15 you see the house.
- 16 We have a small handful of more
- 17 challenging access sights within the development which
- 18 may end of having driveways from the front, but we
- 19 would still have a landscaped front yard and obviously
- 20 landscaped rear yard. These are typical development
- 21 landscape plans for these two houses in working with
- 22 EDAW, the landscape architect who are consulting on
- the project.
- 24 Just see if I've got covered all of these
- 25 points here.

- 1 Yes. The steeper lots that I mentioned
- 2 before are really the reason that we have the alley
- 3 systems going there.
- I'm sorry, I seem to have lost my place
- 5 and I just want to be sure where I am here. Thank
- 6 you.
- 7 In conclusion, having shown you all the
- 8 details, it has been our intention to provide a low
- 9 density garden suburb which is open and accessible in
- 10 plan and really a natural extension of the existing
- 11 Colony Hill neighborhood.
- 12 I'm now going to talk about the
- 13 environmental considerations that we're taking into
- 14 account as we worked on the design. This is a really
- 15 beautiful site. It's been open for a long time.
- 16 We've tried to treat it with great respect. We
- 17 appreciate many of its natural attributes.
- 18 What we've done is tried to seek a balance
- 19 between the needs for development of this private land
- 20 to go with the preservation of the most valuable
- 21 natural resources of the site wherever it's possible.
- 22 And these include the preservation of the Dell that
- you've seen up here, the preservation of the three
- 24 pocket parks that were described a little earlier in
- my testimony, the preservation of the ephemeral stream

- which is on the eastern side in the southern swale.
- 2 And with open access to green spaces, parkland and
- 3 view as you tour through the site as I showed you
- 4 earlier.
- 5 There will be a lot of sharing of these
- 6 amenities by people that use, not only live there, but
- 7 people that visit the site and walk through it and use
- 8 it.
- 9 We are also taking a very careful look at
- 10 the preservation of views from the park as you look
- 11 back. What we're offering up, although we have two
- 12 sites, this site and this site here, that actually
- have a side yard facing the park which would normally
- 14 be an eight-foot buffer, we are actually offering to
- 15 make that a 30-foot restriction -- building
- 16 restriction line. So, essentially we're providing a
- 17 30-foot building restriction line all the way around
- 18 the residential portion which is clearly beyond the
- 19 25-foot rear yard requirement and way beyond the
- 20 eight-foot side yard requirement. Our park conditions
- 21 do include these higher walls and so on, retaining
- 22 walls as I mentioned earlier.
- 23 In our discussions in several meetings
- 24 with Mr. Murphy ane others from the park Service, they
- asked us to detail the park edge conditions for them

- 1 so that they could understand exactly what we were
- 2 offering. And this is a detailed plan that was
- offered to Mr. Murphy. This is a revised version. We
- 4 have three park edge conditions I'd like to highlight
- 5 for you.
- Where you see the blue line up in the
- 7 northwest corner over here, is a small pedestrian
- 8 prints, that's a three or four foot metal fence that
- 9 would exist just to control students from running up
- onto the site where there's no grade change. We have
- one residential property back here in the southeast
- 12 corner which also has a natural grade change to the
- 13 site. And we would offer that fence up as the
- defining edge of the boundary as well.
- 15 And let me say that when you drive past
- the site now, there's actually an eight-foot security
- fence on all three sides, west, south and east, which
- 18 we will take down. This was a security fence Mrs.
- 19 Casey had planned for the mayor's mansion. And we
- 20 will also come back with some small pedestrian
- 21 controlling fence on Foxhall Road. It will be nothing
- like what you see there today.
- As we worked our way around the park edge
- 24 conditions, then there are other conditions as I
- 25 showed you in the model where we have a need for one

- or perhaps two retaining walls that are staggered, set
- 2 back one from another with planted banks. I'll show
- you a couple of these sections. This condition you
- 4 see if wherever these sort of mustard colored walls
- 5 indicate here.
- 6 Of course, our happiest conditions against
- 7 the park are those where we offer green space with no
- 8 change of grade and no built environment at all. We
- 9 have a long stretch here on the Dell. We also have a
- 10 long stretch here where the ephemeral stream is and we
- also have a stretch here where the roadway is 14 feet
- 12 away from the park edge of the bottom of the road
- where we would just have a planted edge as well.
- So, you know, we are approaching almost
- 15 half of the perimeter where there would no fence to
- 16 the park. And we also feel that the retaining wall is
- 17 a gentler edge than just some larger fence.
- Let me bring you in detail to a couple of
- 19 these conditions.
- 20 Firstly, just to highlight the change from
- 21 four to three, you can see we actually dropped --
- there's 11, 12, 13 so I actually don't know which lot
- 23 number we dropped, but we dropped one of them. We
- 24 dropped 14. Okay.
- 25 You can see that there's a natural ridge

that occurs under Lot 11 which makes it a candidate
for development with a more normal less wide and
deeper lots that we have in the development and one of
our houses that fits elsewhere would go in that spot

there.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 6 However, the change that we made in 7 substantially widening and sharing the extra land 8 available by dropping in Lots 12 and 13 mean that we're able to build a much shallower house closer to 9 10 the road. And you can see from the broken line contours that exist below there. This is the area of 11 the most challenging grade at all. I will show you a 12 section through this area here. 13
  - I will point out that we have a Park Service tree down there that we are carefully in consultation with our tree specialist, Keith Pitrid, staying away from and being very careful to monitor the health of all the mature trees that we're planning to keep.
  - This is a typical section that shows how the staggered walls would occur. We've been calling the lower single retaining wall and it's on haha wall which would be the landscape term for the users on the uphill side because they would see nothing but green to green. So, it's a very gentle transition for their

1 use.

2.0

If during permitting a fence is required 2. on these walls it's not always the case, it's sort of a landscape decision. We would plan instead to offer a three-foot hedge. If there's a fence requirement it could be put in at that point, set further back and this distance is as far as we can always make it. other grade changes here. We might have as much as a nine-foot wall further away at this point that we've offered, you know, planting slope at that point. it softens this condition. 

Obviously, our most benign conditions are these like in the Dell where essentially the forest edge continues and without a fence there, the park user would certainly feel the benefit of this extra acre and a half of open space. This is actually the stream channel that exists down in the Whitehaven Park that turns into a wetland and public space. And our property line is about 75 feet away from there.

This is the slide that shows the change we were able to effect in the park conditions by dropping from four to three houses. The broken line indicates the condition that we had first proffered when there were four sites there. And by moving to the three sites we were actually able to move the house 18 feet

- 1 back from the park edge there and get another five feet away for a second wall so that's actually 25-feet 2 away from the property line. 3 This represents the large tree that happens to be right near 4 condition and the broken line indicates the existing 5 grade at that point. So, you can see the field that 6 7 we've had to deal with there.
- 8 At the lower end of the southern swale, we do have some grade conditions that we have to deal 9 10 with that include storm water management at the lowest point of gravity at the site for that section, which 11 is covered by our alley. That's what you see in the 12 section there. There's about 17 feet of grade change 13 14 from the existing condition up there. So, that's broken into those two walls as well. That condition 15 takes place about 62 feet away from the park boundary 16 and this is our planted buffer area which is around 17 18 the ephemeral stream.
- I will now talk a bit about the tree saving efforts and the principles involved here.
- We had several goals in tree preservation.

  We were going to try and save groupings of trees where

  possible. We also planned to save beyond the D.C.

  special tree candidates only. This graphic does show

  you only the special tree candidates so they meet the

- 1 18-inch diameter requirement of this special tree
- 2 overlay in the district. But we actually surveyed
- 3 trees of eight inches and above that don't occur here.
- 4 But there are hundreds of trees that we're saving
- 5 that we care about.
- 6 We feel that saved trees actually add
- 7 value to the land. They will allow to more gentle fit
- 8 in the final development.
- 9 We are also considering transplant
- 10 candidates. We've done our own survey. We've brought
- 11 three separate subcontractors into look at access and
- trees that could be identified that could actually be
- 13 transplanted. So, as we go into final engineering, we
- 14 would work on that as well.
- Our side boundaries and our road layouts
- have been carefully laid out to save trees, existing
- trees, both those on the property and in the park as
- 18 well. We did survey these and have been tracking them
- 19 as well.
- Our goal is that more than 50 percent of
- 21 the healthy preservation candidates on this site would
- 22 be saved. And obviously details would be worked out
- 23 at permit time. The condition of trees at any one
- 24 point in time is clearly a changing thing. We have
- 25 had some disease and some lightening strikes on some

- 1 trees and we've had others that we've been able to
- 2 improve the health of. There's actually ongoing tree
- 3 health treatments at the moment within the property
- 4 and we're also with Mr. Murphy's knowledge, we're
- 5 going to do some work on the tree and the park right
- there which is part of one of our views that we care
- 7 about.
- 8 I'll now look at the storm water
- 9 management provisions for the property.
- 10 The storm water management has been
- 11 divided up because there is so much terrain change.
- 12 There are these two swale systems in the property.
- 13 It's being divided into four major sections and one
- 14 minor.
- 15 The school property in the northwest here
- there is a storage facility below our campus green
- 17 area that will have one out full here down into the
- 18 Dell. That out full is going to be a couple of
- 19 hundred feet away from the wetland which is down here.
- 20 And, of course, we get the added filtration of the
- 21 grasses and the natural terrain that exists within the
- 22 Dell.
- 23 We have a second system which is below the
- 24 field here which has its primary out full also in the
- 25 northern Dell. We clearly have looked at these

systems to make sure that we continue to feed the stream systems that are downstream from here so that none of those are starved of water. But in those extraordinary storm events, we do have an overflow pipe that will connect us to the southern swale system over here.

The third structure which is a small one is below the road on the east near the park because the road and front yard and roof drainage for these few houses here could not be run by gravity to the system here because of this ridge. So that's a small out fall which actually drops that as it does now down on the north side close to the open park system over there.

And these three lots that you see here would also have local bio-filtration systems just to deal with the storm water off the back of the lots and in the gardens. It's a very, very minor load and it does not include any of the road contaminants and so on.

The quantity management will be provided by the storage capacities that you see pointed out here, they will exceed the District's standards. We have quantity control for both the two-year and the fifteen-year storm events. And furthermore, for the

- 1 24 hour detention of a one-year storm event which is
- where most of the road contaminants and so on and the
- 3 quick flash rain would end up causing down stream
- 4 erosion and detriment.
- 5 So, the quality control is provided in
- 6 each of these storage facilities to sand cartridge or
- 7 some of the filter would be filtering out suspended
- 8 solids.
- 9 And in our outfalls and final engineering,
- 10 that typically are along the existing swale conditions
- 11 to maintain the status quo water flow that is designed
- to minimize the down stream erosion and to compliment
- 13 the natural environmental conditions that exist. And
- 14 consideration will be given to the use of, for
- 15 example, stones covered with top soil and native
- grasses, plunge pools, bio-retention areas, reinforced
- 17 turf and multiple outfalls as we go into final
- 18 engineering as is appropriate to each one of these
- 19 cases.
- I will now talk about the landscape design
- 21 principles. The landscape design was done jointly by
- 22 Sasaki in a bigger picture and looking at the school
- in particular and by the offices of EDAW locally here
- 24 from Alexandria.
- The goal here as I mentioned earlier with

- 1 regard to trees, we were saving existing trees in
- groves, trying to keep picturesque groups like we've
- pointed out in the Dell, remove under story invasive
- 4 species to open up the view and maintain the health of
- 5 these trees.
- 6 We're going to preserve the trees at the
- 7 edge of the property as much as possible to preserve
- 8 the parkland views and soften the transition from
- 9 built to open environments and to ease the fit into
- 10 this green context.
- There will be a tree planting program
- 12 along the drives to and through the site to provide
- 13 shade and definition to these routes. Different
- 14 species would be used on different routes consistent
- with the way the District does this.
- 16 There will be selected and well sited
- 17 trees planted in the school courtyards. You can see
- 18 some shade trees here to create the campus setting.
- 19 By the step down amphitheater for the outdoor events,
- 20 we'd have grass terraces. And there would be selected
- 21 areas at the edges of the campus that would have
- 22 flowering trees, groundcover and low shrubs to
- 23 stabilize the slopes and transition into the more
- 24 natural areas beyond the site of the park and the
- 25 Dell.

- 1 We'll have a path system to make
- 2 connections and trails. Some of these could be made
- of pervious materials. It's a good candidate for
- 4 those materials.
- 5 Special places would be created on site,
- 6 benches and sculpture, etcetera, to enhance or
- 7 terminate views and offer areas of contemplation for
- 8 the users of the school.
- 9 We want to offer a new street planting
- 10 along Foxhall Road and Hoban Road where there doesn't
- 11 seem to have been -- certainly aren't any remnants of
- 12 a proper tree scape that's planned and so we plan to
- 13 plant those at the cost of the Applicant,
- incorporating existing trees where possible.
- 15 Now, the new street tree plantings along
- these interior roads would likely be ornamental trees.
- 17 We are bearing all our utilities so it gives us a
- 18 little more freedom for street variety trees than you
- 19 see on many of the public streets.
- There would be, of course, new screen
- 21 planting at the park edges that I mentioned together
- 22 with our retaining walls that you saw in the section
- and clearly we plan to preserve and enhance the Dell
- 24 as well.
- 25 Evergreen and deciduous plantings would

- screen the buildings and the field at the school from
- 2 Foxhall Road. So, our goal here to have planned a
- 3 balanced environmentally sensitive use of the land.
- 4 And I want to just deviate for a moment.
- 5 I mentioned earlier that we would use some porous
- 6 paving systems in the park systems. There had been a
- 7 question raised by the Office of Planning about
- 8 whether this couldn't be used on any of the roads
- 9 within the school or the residential complex. And if
- 10 we could we would, but we want to refer to an EPA
- 11 position paper on porous pavement put out in September
- of 1999. And when they studied this, the EPA came to
- 13 the conclusion that it is appropriate for flat or
- 14 gentle slopes and one should really field verify the
- 15 permeability rates of the soil to be greater than a
- half inch per hour to make this appropriate.
- 17 Unfortunately, neither of these criteria
- 18 can be met at 1801 Foxhall because of both the
- 19 existing and proposed topography is characterized by
- 20 moderate to steep slopes and field tested permeability
- 21 rates have been very low. They've been from zero to
- .39 inches per hour and a technical engineer in there,
- 23 chief technical survey, said that infiltration is not
- 24 considered feasible at this site, unfortunately.
- In addition, the EPA fact sheet does say

- 1 that porous pavement has a high failure rate,
- 2 approximately 75 percent and it's not suitable for use
- for heavy vehicles like trash trucks or moving vans
- 4 and clearly we'd have those sort of services within
- 5 all of the roads planned in the development. And they
- 6 require frequent maintenance. The EPA recommends
- 7 vacuum sweeping at least four times a year followed by
- 8 high-pressure hosing. So, we can see using these on
- 9 some pedestrian parts, but it doesn't seem appropriate
- 10 for either the residential or school roadways per se.
- 11 That concludes my testimony.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 13 you very much. Some detail to your testimony, I must
- 14 say.
- MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let's get some
- 17 questions while it's fresh because it is an awful lot
- of information. I appreciate actually the chronology
- 19 of which you set it up looking at the site and then
- the architectural design details, getting into some of
- the environmental issues and then lastly, of course,
- the landscaping.
- 23 A quick side question. What is a tree
- 24 health treatment plan?
- 25 MR. BARNES: We have already found that

- some of the trees were stressed on the property that
- 2 we inherited that were preservation candidates. Trees
- 3 that are, let's say, in fair condition but have a
- 4 blight or some other kind of attack. And what we've
- 5 done is actually treated some of these trees with some
- 6 success. Keith Pritchard can tell you more about that
- 7 in detail.
- 8 We have noticed that the one red oak which
- 9 is on the eastern side that I mentioned down here at
- 10 the edge of our road scape. My pointer seems to have
- 11 died. The large tree to the east down here. This guy
- here is showing some sort of signs of some sort of
- infestation and we plan to treat that as well just to
- 14 keep it healthy.
- So, we consider the maintenance of the
- 16 trees now and during the development to be an ongoing
- 17 responsibility and Keith Pritchard, the team member,
- 18 has tremendous experience in working with trees that
- 19 are kept alive during developments and after.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- MR. BARNES: So, he's very carefully
- looked at the position of the walls, the roads,
- 23 utilities and so on and there's been a tremendous
- 24 amount of work done --
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.

- 1 MR. BARNES: -- to make sure that we
- 2 really can keep these trees alive.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And another
- 4 aspect of the tree. You have the tree planting
- 5 program in the Foxhall. That's on the public space.
- 6 Is that correct?
- 7 MR. BARNES: It is in a public space. It
- 8 clearly would need to be worked together with the
- 9 public space and all those folks. But, yes, we want
- 10 to offer that because that tree scape is very meager
- 11 right now.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 13 Starting from the beginning then. I note
- 14 the diagram and the model are not exactly similar and
- 15 you've talked about this terracing amphitheater.
- 16 That's what is being proposed now. Is that correct?
- 17 MR. BARNES: The amphitheater is actually
- 18 -- the amphitheater shown here, we are just not
- 19 showing -- I need to get a pointer that works.
- We're not showing all the grades here but
- 21 that is consistent in both schemes. Actually, the
- 22 model really represents the same design.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Okay. I
- thought that was fascinating.
- 25 From that level, let's call that the grade

- 1 level at the high school.
- MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is there a
- 4 connection on the grade level to the field or do you
- 5 have to go below grade and come out at the field
- 6 grade?
- 7 MR. BARNES: You have two options because
- 8 the grades are so challenging at that point. There is
- 9 a point, of course, at which our campus green here is
- 10 at the same level of the roadway which has a sidewalk
- on one side of it so one can cross the road and we
- would plan a path just through the Dell which would
- 13 pretty much be a contour path that could bring you
- 14 from that campus green to the field without going
- 15 underground.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And I would
- 17 assume that the faculty number has not changed. Has
- 18 the parking count changed from the 170 that was
- 19 originally proposed?
- MR. BARNES: No. That's what we provide.
- 21 The low grade and there's the additional overflow
- 22 parking that Marty will speak to around the road loop
- as well.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. And
- 25 laying this out, the ingress and egress into the

- 1 parking lot is where?
- 2 MR. BARNES: Oh, excuse me, I omitted to
- 3 point that out. A good question.
- 4 Right here we go underground after running
- 5 around the loops because this roadway can be used for
- the daily stacking needs of pick up and drop off. You
- 7 go underground at this point and there is a parking
- 8 ramp below these two buildings and then the rest of
- 9 the parking is below the main section of the high
- 10 school building at that point.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. You indicated
- in terms of the residential that you meet all the
- 13 criteria of 2116. There is a comment that we will
- 14 hear later. You are firm in your testimony that you
- meet 2116 6B which is the width dimensions for the
- 16 access to each of the residential?
- MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And I note
- 19 that the alley system, which I think is an incredible
- 20 aspect to do in something of this nature. I think
- it's a most valuable piece.
- It feeds all the buildings except for now
- 23 six. Is that correct?
- 24 MR. BARNES: There's a small handful that
- 25 not in the alley. These three here, those three

- 1 there. That's six. All the rest of them, yes,
- 2 essentially, they're all fed by the alley.
- 3 I'm sorry. These two on top of the
- 4 mansion are essentially -- they've got a shared drive
- in the back right there. So, it's essentially another
- 6 little alley.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Rear access.
- 8 MR. BARNES: But they all have that rear
- 9 alley access but for those six.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 11 Excellent.
- MR. FEOLA: Mr. Chairman, we've just
- 13 turned in the revised engineering plans that reflect
- 14 the changes that Mr. Barnes and Mr. Ward talked about,
- including the change in the northeast corner from four
- lots to three.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 18 MR. FEOLA: And Ms. Bailey has them to
- 19 mark them as an exhibit.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. You had
- 21 a mustard colored diagram with the lines. It was the
- 22 edge condition that was talking about the retaining
- 23 walls. But obviously as it was labeled, Edge
- 24 Condition Key Plan, didn't get into the retaining
- 25 walls in the site. Is that correct?

- 1 MR. BARNES: Yes. I have them in the back
- of the tray if you'd like to see them. But the
- 3 principles employed were the same and I thought it
- 4 would gobble up too must testimony.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. The largest
- one being the one that surrounds the athletic field.
- 7 MR. BARNES: Yes. That's a single wall
- 8 which -- in fact, I might use the model. Could you
- 9 get back to the model picture? The model might be the
- 10 best place. That's good.
- 11 You can see we picked the flattest area of
- 12 the saddle of land system that exists there now to
- 13 locate the field and then we set its elevation as
- 14 carefully as we could balancing between how big a
- 15 retaining wall would be at either the highest corners
- and its relationship to Foxhall Road and not wanting
- 17 to cut off the stem of those evergreens that exist at
- 18 that point here.
- 19 So, in our most extreme case here where we
- 20 have, again, private land, open land, we have about a
- 21 15 foot condition at this corner as I recall. We've
- 22 kept that to a single wall because we have great tree
- 23 saving candidates right below it. So, we didn't want
- 24 to do the step wall condition at that point. We've
- 25 put some native climbers on there and make it a stone

- 1 wall and we think that it will in the end soften it
- 2 into a nice condition.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So, you're
- 4 proposing that to be a stone wall and the retaining
- 5 wall, I guess that would be the west side?
- 6 MR. BARNES: The west side here. That
- 7 would be a stone wall against Foxhall. And we
- 8 actually have got some bleachers cut into the nature
- 9 terrain as much as we can and then we are upholding
- 10 with this wall the existing sort of curb and sidewalk
- 11 condition at Foxhall Road that exists now.
- We have a smaller wall and plated bank in
- 13 combination at the back of our residential here. It
- 14 faces the backyards and part of a little private alley
- of our own new residents. So, we've tried to make it
- 16 a controller and softened edge for those folks to look
- 17 at too.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I quess I'm
- 19 not understanding the relationship. The bleacher is
- 20 cut into the wall?
- MR. BARNES: The bleacher, excuse me.
- 22 Those contours there represent the bleachers which are
- 23 between the wall and the field.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. All right.
- 25 That makes more sense. So, the retaining wall

- 1 actually is more towards almost the property line or
- 2 more to the edge of the property?
- MR. BARNES: Exactly. It holds up the
- 4 road edge.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. And you made
- the point in your testimony that that enables to keep
- 7 that open space, that vista from the surrounding area?
- 8 MR. BARNES: As you drive on Foxhall Road,
- 9 particularly in the winter, we will have street trees
- 10 put in but in the winter you'll look through and see
- all the way through to the tree scape that goes all
- the way down to the Whitehaven Park.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. Okay.
- 14 MR. FEOLA: Mr. Chairman, we are turning
- in the edge condition that Mr. Barnes spoke to along
- 16 the park with all the sections -- he didn't speak to
- 17 all of them, but they are all in the package that Ms.
- 18 Bailey is passing out now.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. It's my
- 20 understanding that this is a private road that cuts in
- off of Hoban. Is that correct?
- MR. BARNES: Because it's a theoretical
- 23 subdivision and we're essentially building the road to
- 24 stand, but it's a private road system administered by
- 25 the Homeowners' Association.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And then the
- 2 private areas, the parks that you've cut out also
- 3 would then be managed by the Homeowners' Association?
- 4 MR. BARNES: Exactly. Exactly.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And this would have
- then private trash hauling or is this public?
- 7 MR. BARNES: Beg your pardon?
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Would it have private
- 9 trash hauling?
- 10 MR. BARNES: Yes. Private trash hauling
- 11 and snow removal.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I think
- 13 that's all I have.
- Others? Mr. Mann.
- MR. MANN: A couple of questions. You've
- 16 asked some of them.
- 17 The construction that's shown for the
- school, does that represent build-out or is there room
- 19 for future school construction?
- MR. BARNES: No. We feel it's built it.
- 21 You can see that our campus green to the east has
- 22 storm water management below it. You know, the school
- 23 spent considerable time and energy looking at school
- 24 size and they feel comfortable with the size of the
- building as it is now. It's about 175,000 square feet

- of built space. I forgot to mention that.
- 2 MR. MANN: Okay. Is the surface of the
- 3 playing field natural turf?
- 4 MR. BARNES: Thank you for asking. I
- 5 meant to say. We plan to make it an artificial turf
- field. We just feel with the kind of use that a field
- 7 like that gets in the afternoon. You know a school
- 8 like this would prefer to have two fields and we just
- 9 felt, although we studies two fields earlier with
- 10 Sasaki, it just became an inappropriate use of the
- 11 site. And there would be some tough edge conditions.
- 12 There's just too much grading challenges to meet, so
- 13 we've kept it to one field. Because of the use, it
- 14 would be almost impossible to keep a natural turf
- well, so we're going to artificial turf.
- MR. MANN: Are there any advantages of
- 17 disadvantages regarding the storm water management
- when you have artificial turf?
- 19 MR. BARNES: Well, yes and no. I think if
- you think of a large green area. I hard from the Park
- 21 Service, they consider a natural playing field to be a
- large source of chemical contaminants because of how
- hard people work to keep that grass alive.
- 24 But from a storm water management point of
- 25 view it is not considered an infiltration area,

- 1 although it is really a vast sand filter. I mean, if
- you think about it, there's non-contaminated rain that
- is then filtered by the sand bed below the field and
- 4 then further filtered in the storm water management
- 5 system.
- 6 So, from a storm water management point of
- 7 view, it's considered as if it were even paved. So,
- 8 collection rates and storage are based on those
- 9 calculations.
- 10 MR. MANN: Okay. What's the capacity of
- 11 the bleachers?
- 12 MR. BARNES: You know, I don't remember.
- I think it's probably in the 300 odd range.
- MR. MANN: Are we going to hear something
- 15 later about associated, I guess, with traffic or
- 16 attendance, anything regarding how many people we can
- 17 expect on site at one time that maybe would be related
- 18 to --
- 19 MR. BARNES: Yes. I think those issues
- are addressed by the operations agreements which have
- 21 been discussed in great detail and agreed to with the
- 22 neighborhood organizations and some of the neighbors
- 23 individually. And to Terry Armstrong of the school is
- 24 going to address those issues in his testimony that
- 25 follows.

- 1 MR. MANN: Okay. I'm not quite certain
- who can best answer this question. But I guess these
- 3 streets remain private in perpetuity. Is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 6 MR. MANN: Yes. And that's just part and
- 7 parcel of the whole theoretical lot subdivision?
- 8 MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 9 MR. MANN: That's just how it happens?
- MR. BARNES: Yes, sir.
- MR. MANN: But the ownership of the lots,
- that fee simple?
- 13 MR. FEOLA: Fee simple to the individual
- 14 owners. The Homeowners' Association will own the
- 15 common areas including the parks and the streets. But
- the individual owners will have their house.
- 17 MR. MANN: Okay. And what would prevent
- this community from becoming a gated community?
- 19 MR. BARNES: The OP Report for one.
- MR. FEOLA: Your order, if you're inclined
- 21 to approve that could stipulate, but it is the
- intention of the Applicant and the contract purchaser
- who is going to build these houses that it would not
- be. We have no gates planned.
- 25 MR. MANN: Okay. And the Dell that's open

- 1 to the -- will the Dell be open to the users of
- 2 Whitehaven Park?
- MR. BARNES: Sure. People will be able to
- 4 walk in unimpeded. There will be no fence there.
- 5 MR. MANN: Okay.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's a heck of a
- 7 hike.
- 8 MR. MANN: Yes. But none the less, it's
- 9 sort of physically open there.
- 10 You might have answered this earlier, but
- 11 you said something about the steeper lots are the
- reason for the alley system. Was that the only reason
- or were there esthetic or --
- MR. BARNES: There's another important
- 15 reason.
- On Hoban Road there are some existing tree
- 17 candidates, particularly down at the southeastern end
- over here in the front yards which we would certainly
- 19 have to lose a bunch if we did curb cuts on that side.
- 20 Also to respect the green edge that these other
- 21 neighbors have been looking at Hoban Road's green side
- 22 on the north for all these years, it seemed
- 23 appropriate to us to just go to the back. A
- 24 combination of those two.
- 25 MR. MANN: Okay. I think that's it for

- 1 now.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any questions?
- 3 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I want to
- 4 congratulate all of you. We haven't heard from Mr.
- 5 Wells yet. But, Mr. Barnes, I think you know this
- 6 property better than you know your own home. Which is
- 7 good. I mean, your comprehensive knowledge of what's
- 8 going on here is very impressive.
- 9 And there's so many things about this that
- 10 have been talked about in other cases that have not
- 11 come true that it's really special. The storm water
- 12 management, the roads adjacent to the parks where
- 13 you've been able to accommodate that. The tree
- 14 protection and so many other things, but I do have a
- 15 couple of questions.
- MR. BARNES: Sure.
- 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: This is an enormous
- grading program or grading challenge.
- MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And as Mr. Feola
- 21 said, the school will follow the residential.
- Is there a cut and fill balance here where
- 23 you would need to clear the whole site at one time so
- as to accommodate what needs to be done?
- 25 MR. BARNES: It's a good question. We

- have studied it. Obviously in final engineering, more 1 detail will be done. But our preliminary estimates 2 show that there will be a balance of some fill that 3 will leave the site. But we are able, for example, to 4 accommodate the needs of the fill and grading of the 5 6 residential site from the school. So, there's going 7 to be as much load sharing within the property as 8 possible. And we've spent quite a bit of time 9 thinking forward about where we would be staging 10 construction and how where we would get this dirt and move it into the area of the residential which has a 11 need for fill. 12
- 13 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: We might need to be
  14 careful how we structure these two orders. Obviously,
  15 at the beginning of time, the two projects are
  16 somewhat dependent on each other. Is that correct?
  - MR. BARNES: Yes. You could say that, although we have obviously thought through how if for some reason the school was delayed or something like that, we could also get a grading permit to take the soil from the school site and move it to the residential site.
- 23 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I see.

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BARNES: So, I mean, we see such eventualities might need to come to pass. We hope

- 1 not. But we've certainly thought through that in a
- preliminary fashion.
- 3 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Now there are two
- 4 valleys. One named the Dell and the other one
- 5 unnamed.
- 6 MR. BARNES: The Southern Swale.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No longer a valley.
- 8 MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: How much fill is
- 10 being placed into that valley? I mean, I can't really
- 11 read the contours well enough.
- MR. BARNES: It's very hard to tell. It
- 13 changes dramatically as you go down through here. I
- 14 keep losing the working slide pointer.
- The way that this sites works now is there
- 16 are a series of terraces that move down towards the
- 17 east and the fall is I'd say probably 85 feet from
- 18 Foxhall Road just straight down that little valley
- 19 system right now. A series of terraces will probably
- 20 go back to the farming of the Valley View Farm days.
- 21 What we plan to do here in this section
- down here in the lowest part is we plan to put in fill
- that's probably at its highest condition here is to
- 24 point out the end condition 17 feet of grade change
- 25 and which sounds like a lot and it is. And it's based

- on three primary goals that are met by doing that.
- 2 The first one is that we need to
- 3 accommodate the storm water management for the bulk of
- 4 this area in the lowest point of the site obviously to
- 5 have it work by gravity. So, that's a natural place
- 6 to put it.
- 7 Secondly, to be able to accommodate a rear
- 8 entry need that is at least somewhat close to the
- 9 basement level of these houses. Right now there's a
- 10 story and a half to a two story fall across the back
- of that site. There's a cliff at the back of these
- 12 sites that exist now.
- So, in filling it to some degree and this
- was a change we made during our discussion with Colony
- 15 Hill, we were able to start off with these five sites
- 16 serviced from the rear and it took quite a bit of
- 17 engineering and raising of this grade to be able to
- 18 serve these other sites from the rear as well. We
- 19 were first afraid we'd have to do front driveways.
- Thirdly, and perhaps most importantly from
- 21 a park point of view, it was the original plan to make
- 22 access through a bored underground tunnel, some 150 or
- 23 200 feet into the national park where there is a
- 24 sanitary sewer line that's active that we were
- 25 planning to take as our main out source for this

1 section of residential. We always had planned to take

- 2 the school in the upper Hoban houses to an existing
- 3 sanitary sewer that runs down Hoban Road.
- 4 Mr. Murphy, who is a persuasive gentleman,
- 5 made it clear that he would prefer we found another
- 6 way to deal with the sanitary needs. We went back and
- 7 looked at the engineering.
- 8 There happens to be a pretty steep fall in
- 9 the underground sanitary line that exists here and we
- 10 ran the numbers and realized that if we were to add
- 11 two or three feet more fill to this area down here in
- 12 the southern swale, we were able by gravity to
- 13 actually take all of our houses from a basement level
- 14 by gravity out to Hoban.
- 15 So, we are going to use the same jack and
- 16 bore drilling condition to run our sanitary sewer
- 17 along this property line below some terrific trees
- 18 that we're saving there to get down below Hoban Road.
- 19 So, there's a combination of those three
- 20 elements that have led us to the level of fill that we
- 21 have and with our staggered walls and the distance
- from the park boundary, I think it will be somewhat
- acceptable when we're done, but it is a change.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, this 17 feet of
- 25 fill then is retained by two retaining walls?

- 1 MR. BARNES: Two step retaining walls and
- 2 a planted bank. And that's the highest level. It
- gets to be much less as you move towards the west.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right. Now,
- 5 who will own these retaining walls? A condominium
- 6 association of the individual lot owner?
- 7 MR. BARNES: I would say the Homeowners'
- 8 Association will be owning them. Yes.
- 9 Wouldn't you say, Phil.
- 10 MR. FEOLA: Yes.
- MR. BARNES: I think they'd be owned by
- 12 the Homeowners' Association.
- 13 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right.
- MR. BARNES: And they'd be responsible for
- 15 maintaining them.
- MR. FEOLA: Yes. Of course, except for
- 17 the ones that are adjacent to the school which are on
- 18 the school's parcel.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Oh, sure.
- You show on sheet A3 and we don't need to
- 21 go to it. The footprint of each house which is the
- same thing that we did at Phillips as I remember it.
- 23 But that's the only drawing it appears on. In other
- 24 words, you've shown five footprints.
- MR. BARNES: Right.

- 1 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: The one on the
- 2 screen. So, what is the meaning of drawing A3? Is
- 3 that something you want to be --
- 4 MR. BARNES: I don't know that I have A3
- 5 in the slides. Perhaps, if I can take a look at
- 6 Exhibit A3? Okay.
- 7 From the pre-hearing statement, this was
- 8 an engineering drawing that we included at the time
- 9 that showed test fit studies. You know, because in
- 10 this case, unlike Phillips, we have a residential
- 11 developer that is actually going to be building this
- 12 development. They have houses in mind that are either
- 13 already designed or sort of in discussion, which have
- been test fit to make sure that they would work. So,
- we adjusted lot sizes, looked at grading and so on and
- 16 this is one of the reasons we were able to forecast in
- our earlier edge conditions that we were going to have
- 18 a house that would a bit of a tough sell to the park
- in the northeast corner.
- 20 And so we went back, when we went from
- 21 four to three in the northeast corner and looked at
- another house model that these folks have or have in
- 23 design development that could be a shallower and wider
- 24 house from left to right and so on.
- So, this was a test fit of likely house

- 1 sizes.
- 2 A3. The goal for A3 was actually really
- 3 as a parking plan. But it just happens to show in our
- 4 engineering test fit for these houses. So, they have
- 5 houses in mind for each of these sites. Plans that
- 6 fit.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm not sure I
- 8 understand.
- 9 Is this drawing to be taken literally? Is
- 10 this something that we want included as --
- MR. BARNES: We've shown four illustrative
- 12 house plans in our package. And these represents
- 13 footprints that are likely. Let's not say these are
- 14 the exact houses. They're not saying -- you know,
- 15 people will have some choices that come to these
- 16 sites. But they have model houses that do fit, that
- 17 do meet all the requirements that work with these
- 18 sites and grades.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right.
- MR. FEOLA: Mr. Parsons, are you speaking
- 21 about the external rectangles that are inside these
- 22 lots?
- MR. BARNES: I think you're talking about
- the green house footprints.
- 25 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Let me start with

- 1 what's on the wall. There are six yellow houses
- 2 shown.
- 3 MR. BARNES: Right.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: On this one there
- 5 are --
- 6 MR. BARNES: There are 28.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: 28.
- 8 MR. FEOLA: Got you. Okay.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, what we did at
- 10 Phillips is that was it. And I sense that isn't it.
- MR. BARNES: Well, at Phillips what was
- 12 different is we --
- 13 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm not pushing for
- 14 it. I just want to clarify if you want this A3 to
- 15 be --
- Mr. BARNES: We would be happy to submit
- 17 an A3 that shows the parking with the house layer
- 18 turned off because these are just test fits, not
- 19 necessarily what would be built.
- 20 And if that would improve the accuracy of
- the record, we'd be happy to make that exhibit change.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, I want to go
- to the dash lines around the house.
- MR. BARNES: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But before going to

- that, my understanding is that the Applicant prosing
- 2 is that any footprint of the house would comply with
- 3 the regulations. There's no variation in what you've
- 4 shown here in A3 which Mr. Parsons is showing is a
- 5 potential footprint that obviously meets all --
- 6 MR. BARNES: Exactly.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- those
- 8 requirements?
- 9 MR. BARNES: Yes, sir.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Now, the dash lines
- 12 around the house.
- 13 MR. BARNES: The dash lines surround the
- 14 house --
- 15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Is that simply
- reflecting zoning setbacks that are required?
- MR. BARNES: Yes. Exactly.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. So, there's
- 19 no reason for those to appear as a given if that's the
- 20 result.
- MR. BARNES: Again, I would say this
- 22 exhibit is not meant to be confusing but it has become
- 23 so. This engineering drawing which showed the
- 24 parking, we were also using in our studies of house
- 25 footprints, what would work and so on. And so it

- 1 seems to have muddied the waters in that regard.
- 2 MR. FEOLA: But that's probably one that
- 3 the Board should have in its record because it shows
- 4 the Zoning Administrator what setbacks the Board wants
- 5 to see, even though they are exactly what the zoning
- is as opposed to the housing.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I wanted to go to
- 8 the grading plan you just passed in, as well as the
- 9 landscape plan and it's very specific, but it's an
- 10 esthetic issue, I guess.
- This Dell is going to be a very special
- 12 place. Unfortunately, you've had to discharge
- drainage into it. So, in the middle of the Dell are
- 14 two pads shown at the outfall of the pipes, which
- 15 appear to be rip wrap.
- MR. BARNES: Do you mind finding that?
- 17 The storm water management plan might be the one to
- 18 look at.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: A little fill
- 20 advertisement here.
- MR. FEOLA: We need all the help we can
- 22 get.
- MR. BARNES: Okay.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: You see them there.
- 25 One coming from the school tank I'll call it and the

- 1 other one from the field.
- 2 MR. BARNES: Right.
- 3 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And the landscaping
- 4 plan doesn't seem to recognize that. I know it's
- 5 micro in level, but --
- 6 MR. BARNES: No. I'm glad you asked that.
- 7 As I mentioned briefly in my somewhat
- 8 dense testimony, we are certainly interested in
- 9 looking at various outfall solutions that would make
- 10 as gentle as possible the arrival of this water on the
- land and not cause any erosion and so on.
- 12 So, depending on very local special
- infiltration rates and so on, maybe a plunge pool, or
- 14 you know rip wrap covered by top soil and native
- 15 grasses, for example, which allow you to have
- substantially a green condition.
- 17 So, what we want to do is look down on the
- Dell and actually notice no change. So, we'll pick
- 19 whichever is best suited to the local condition when
- 20 we get there. It can't be determined right now. In
- final engineering, that's our intention.
- MR. FEOLA: If you like, Mr. Parsons,
- 23 Scott Roser, the storm water civil engineer.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Oh, that's all
- 25 right. I just wanted the landscaping plan to jive

- 1 with the engineering plan.
- MR. BARNES: Well, the landscaping plan,
- 3 it just shows green in there is what we intended you
- 4 to see. Not a bunch of riff-raf.
- 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Not to end up with
- 6 -- and I know they wouldn't. I shouldn't even have
- 7 gone there.
- I think that's all I have for now. Thank
- 9 you.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Oh, one more. I'm
- 12 sorry.
- 13 Are you submitting drawings -- I know you
- 14 submitted sketches. But drawings that will show these
- retaining walls have indeed been pushed back from the
- 16 property line?
- MR. BARNES: Yes. Within the package
- 18 they're shown accurately --
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That we just got?
- MR. BARNES: -- from the property. The
- 21 package you just got. Well, maybe not. Yes. The
- ones that were handed out. The edge condition package
- do show that. It's on a small scale, but yes. They
- 24 do.
- 25 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good Anything else?
- 2 All right. A quick question then.
- 3 On the submission that was sent in today
- 4 which are documents, architectural guidelines and
- 5 CCRs.
- 6 My general question is, what are we
- 7 supposed to do with this? The specifics are, we have
- 8 sections of compliance and enforcement. We have
- 9 conditions. Are we looking to be adopting or getting
- 10 through all of these specifics in terms of
- incorporation in any order that's issued?
- 12 MR. FEOLA: Yes. It would be the
- 13 Applicant's preference to have the entirety
- established as a condition of any BZA order issue.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, we've got some
- lunchtime reading for questions that come through on
- 17 this stuff.
- But let me ask specifically. We've talked
- 19 about the footprints of the houses that are proposed
- 20 and obviously staying within the area of the
- 21 requirements of the zoning regulations. Are the
- footprints addressed here in these? That's a very
- general question because I see there's an awful lot of
- 24 setback on the front. No more than 30 feet I think it
- is. No two houses can be identical on the Hoban Road.

- 1 MR. FEOLA: Right.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is there massing
- 3 elements that are --
- 4 MR. BARNES: There are two. We've
- 5 restricted the front facade to no more than two
- stories with an attic so there will be no three-story
- 7 facades.
- 8 We've also limited --
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The Hoban Road?
- 10 MR. BARNES: On the Hoban Road side.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But on the interior
- 12 that's not the case?
- 13 MR. BARNES: It's not the case, but
- 14 certainly all the houses that the developers looked at
- with us have been really two and a half stories.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. And why two
- 17 levels on -- is that --
- MR. BARNES: Well, all the existing houses
- on Hoban are two-story house.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
- MR. BARNES: With attics at best. So,
- we're trying to respect the scale.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, it's contextual
- on that street but not on the interior?
- 25 MR. BARNES: Well, we feel it's a

- 1 responsibility there that on the inside there might be
- 2 a deviation.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm sorry. What?
- 4 MR. BARNES: Well, on Hoban we feel the
- only responsible action is to build no more than a
- 6 two-story house. With the interior of the lot it's a
- 7 new environment, possibly a three-story house would be
- 8 built there but I think it's unlikely.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. All right.
- 10 So, this is something that would be -- all
- 11 right. I'll get through it more and maybe my
- 12 questions will be better.
- Okay. How many more witnesses?
- MR. FEOLA: Two, sir.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- MR. FEOLA: Next is Marty Wells who will
- 17 give us an overview of the traffic impact.
- MR. WELLS: Good morning.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good morning.
- 20 MR. WELLS: Phil is right. I am Marty
- 21 Wells. I'm President of Wells and Associates. And we
- 22 were retained by the Friends of St. Patrick's to
- evaluate the transportation impacts of the 440 student
- 24 middle and high school and 28 single family homes
- 25 which has since been reduced to 27.

- 1 I'd like to walk you through our
- 2 evaluation.
- 3 The scope of our traffic study initially
- 4 was established in consultation with DDOT. We looked
- 5 at 13 intersections, nine of which are on Foxhall
- 6 Road, four on Reservoir and MacArthur.
- 7 We looked at three peak hours. The
- 8 morning peak hour, school and commuter peak hour,
- 9 roughly 7:30 to 8:30. We looked at the school
- 10 afternoon peak hour, roughly 2:45 to 3:45. And then
- we looked at the afternoon commuter peak hour, 5:00 to
- 12 6:00.
- 13 We looked at existing conditions, of
- 14 course, and then future conditions with and without
- 15 this application.
- This series of five photos will illustrate
- 17 the existing character of Foxhall Road. All of these
- 18 photos are taken looking to the north.
- 19 Foxhall Road here just south of Garfield
- 20 Street is a two-lane road. There are no shoulders.
- 21 There are no sidewalks. The posted speed limit is 25
- 22 miles per hours.
- 23 A separate southbound left turn lane
- 24 recently was constructed at the new Field School
- 25 driveway. This lane is 160 feet long and has a

- 1 capacity to stack up to six cars.
- The driveway is controlled by a demand
- 3 actuated traffic signal.
- 4 Foxhall Road transitions from a two lane
- 5 road to a four lane road at Whitehaven Parkway. You
- 6 can see in this diagram or this photo that there are
- 7 marked crosswalks, ADA ramps and pedestrian signal
- 8 heads on all three approaches to this T intersection.
- 9 St. Patrick's intends to extend the
- 10 existing sidewalk on the south side of Whitehaven
- 11 Parkway to Foxhall Road and then to construct a new
- 12 six-foot sidewalk on the east side of Foxhall so
- 13 students can safely and conveniently walk from the
- 14 existing lower school campus to the proposed middle
- and upper school campuses.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But there's no
- ingress or egress vehicular from that intersection.
- 18 Is that correct?
- MR. WELLS: That's correct.
- I think everyone who has looked at this,
- they're first reaction is why don't we simply extend
- 22 Whitehaven Parkway across Foxhall Road to access the
- 23 school. I noticed Mr. Parsons' ears picked up because
- 24 that would use his property in which we are not
- 25 proposing to do.

- 1 Foxhall Road south of Whitehaven, indeed
- 2 along the entire frontage of 1801 Foxhall is four
- 3 lanes wide. Not two but four. There are two
- 4 southbound lanes, two northbound lanes. You can see
- 5 there's a sidewalk on the west side of Foxhall. There
- is no sidewalk on the east side of Foxhall.
- 7 These two diagrams show the existing and
- 8 proposed conditions on Foxhall Road between Hoban and
- 9 Whitehaven Parkway and I'll take just a couple of
- 10 minutes to describe how it operates now and how it's
- intended to operate in the future.
- 12 Southbound traffic transitions from one
- 13 lane to two lanes through the Whitehaven Parkway
- 14 intersection. That's what these red lines are
- intended to illustrate.
- 16 These two continuous southbound lanes
- 17 operate between Whitehaven Parkway and Reservoir Road
- 18 to the south.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Would you dim the
- 20 lights. It might be easier to see.
- MR. WELLS: That would be easier. Thank
- 22 you.
- The proposal is for southbound traffic to
- 24 continue to flow in two lanes past the proposed new
- 25 school driveway. This driveway, by the way, is

- 1 located at the crest of the vertical curve,
- 2 approximately 700 feet south of Whitehaven Parkway.
- 3 It's directly across the driveway to the German
- 4 Ambassador's residence here.
- 5 This location meets DDOT requirements and
- 6 ASTO guidelines for safe site distances. Safety was a
- 7 principal concern in locating this driveway.
- 8 Foxhall Road would be widened to the east
- 9 within available public right-of-way to construct a
- 10 separate southbound left-turn lane just as at the
- 11 Field School. So, traffic waiting to turn left would
- 12 stack in this lane without impeding southbound through
- 13 traffic. This lane would be roughly 175 feet long.
- 14 It would have a capacity to stack up to seven cars.
- Our map suggests that only a two to three
- 16 car capacity is required, but we're providing a
- 17 capacity for seven cars.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Two questions
- 19 on that stacking back. Those cars will be waiting to
- 20 go into the school?
- MR. WELLS: Well, from time to time. This
- new driveway would be controlled by a traffic signal.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And where is that
- 24 signal? Does that also control Foxhall?
- 25 MR. WELLS: Correct. The proposal is to

- 1 add a new signal. Today there are signals on Foxhall
- 2 to the north and Whitehaven to the south at
- 3 Reservoir. We're proposing a new traffic signal at
- 4 the school driveway.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 6 MR. WELLS: So, again, similar to the
- 7 Field School.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And that's what that
- 9 icon is on the proposed. Is that right?
- 10 MR. WELLS: That little guy right there is
- intended to indicate a signal.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is that also going
- 13 to be traffic sensitive?
- MR. WELLS: Demand activated. Yes. Which
- 15 means that either through loop detectors or video
- detection, if there is no car waiting to turn left,
- there's no reason to turn on that phase.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. Is the one
- 19 just north of this demand activated?
- 20 MR. WELLS: I believe at Whitehaven it is
- 21 fixed time. I believe at Field School it is demand
- 22 activated.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I've heard that's
- the longest light in the District, but nonetheless.
- 25 MR. WELLS: I think Ken Laden is here and

- 1 he's listening to you.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed.
- Okay. So, if I understand then. What
- 4 would keep cars queuing those three that you say is
- 5 probably up to seven if available on Foxhall. The
- 6 light? The signal? Or would it be that they couldn't
- 7 enter into the school?
- 8 MR. WELLS: The short answer is the
- 9 signal. The signal would operate on three phases.
- 10 First phase would be Foxhall Road would
- 11 have the green indication in both directions.
- 12 Second phase is northbound Foxhall would
- 13 receive a red indication. So, southbound traffic can
- turn safely in the left turn lane.
- The third phase would be the school
- 16 driveway. Traffic existing the driveway.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 18 MR. WELLS: And the signal would not
- 19 mindlessly go through all three of those phases at
- 20 every cycle. At every cycle the vehicle detection --
- 21 they're looking for the presence of vehicles either
- 22 wanting to turn out of the school on to Foxhall or
- 23 make the left turn.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. So, for the
- 25 non-engineers, basically if there's not a car waiting

- 1 to exit the school, then the signal won't give that
- 2 green?
- 3 MR. WELLS: Well said.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And then
- 5 what's the speed limit at that intersection?
- 6 MR. WELLS: The post speed limit is 25
- 7 miles per hour. That's at best a suggestion to the
- 8 drivers.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Is MPD here?
- 10 Okay. There is it. What else?
- MR. WELLS: Northbound traffic. And this
- was a matter of great interest to the neighbors.
- Northbound traffic on this section of
- 14 Foxhall Road also travels in two lanes. Signs direct
- 15 through motorists to stay in the right lane to go
- through at Whitehaven. If you're in the left lane at
- 17 Whitehaven, you're compelled to turn left. It's a
- 18 mandatory left turn lane.
- 19 St. Patrick's proposes to maintain these
- 20 two northbound lanes to Whitehaven Parkway and to
- improve the pavement markings and signage on Foxhall
- 22 Road to more assertively and appropriately direct left
- 23 turn traffic into the left lane and through traffic
- into the right lane.
- 25 Traffic turning right into the school

- 1 would have its own separate right turn lane. So,
- 2 traffic turning right onto the school site would
- decelerate and turn right in its own lane without
- 4 impeding northbound through traffic.
- 5 This blow up shows it in some detail. You
- 6 can see two southbound through lanes. There's the
- 7 left lane. This image highlights the northbound
- 8 traffic which will travel in two continuous northbound
- 9 lanes. You can see the third right turn lane which
- 10 would drop into the school site.
- This image also shows that there would be
- 12 a single wide inbound lane to accept the school
- 13 traffic. There, in fact, would be two outbound lanes,
- 14 a left turn lane and a right turn lane. And as we've
- 15 just discussed, this would all be controlled by a
- 16 demand-actuated traffic signal.
- 17 With regard to onsite circulation, this
- image shows access an egress to and from the garage.
- 19 Access to the garage would be provided by an 1,175
- 20 foot, 22-foot wide, two lane loop road and that loop
- 21 road would operate one way counter clockwise. his, as
- 22 Sasaki pointed out, this is the garage driveway so
- 23 motorists would come into the garage like this and
- 24 leave like this.
- The loop road would have the capacity to

- 1 stack 47 cars in one lane or 94 cars in two lanes.
- 2 This is an embarrassment of capacity. It's more than
- 3 enough capacity to meet the projected traffic demands.
- 4 Anticipate that traffic will not back up onto Foxhall
- 5 Road. Middle school students would be dropped off and
- 6 picked up on the right here. High school students
- 7 would be dropped off and picked up on the left.
- 8 This shows the familiar diagram of the 28,
- 9 now 27 single family detached homes. Access to them
- is provided either directly from Hoban Road or from an
- 11 extension of 45<sup>th</sup> Street.
- 12 As you might be able to see here, right-
- of-way has already been platted in anticipation of 45<sup>th</sup>
- 14 Street eventually being extended to the north across
- 15 Hoban onto the former Brady Estate or 1801 Foxhall
- 16 Road site.
- In this context diagram I'd like to point
- out that Hoban Road operates one way clockwise. So,
- 19 residents of Colony Hill are able to enter their
- 20 neighborhood from Foxhall Road either at Hoban or at
- 21 45<sup>th</sup> Street. These residents are able to exit the
- 22 community onto Foxhall Road at 45<sup>th</sup> or onto Reservoir
- 23 Road from Hoban. With the extension of 45<sup>th</sup>, those
- 24 access and egress choices would be the same for the
- 25 new residents.

- 1 Now DDOT and the ANC have recommended and
- 2 I would concur with this recommend that the one block
- of Hoban Road from Foxhall to 45<sup>th</sup> be converted from
- 4 one way eastbound or clockwise to a two-way street, so
- 5 that would give the opportunity to both turn on and
- off of Foxhall Road from that segment of Hoban.
- 7 St. Patrick's has or 1801 Foxhall has a 6
- 8 point travel management plan. It includes a car pool
- 9 initiative, a shuttle bus service plan, a parking
- 10 solution plan, student driving initiative and
- 11 controls, a walk bicycle initiative and staggered
- 12 arrival and dismissal times.
- 13 With regard to parking, 112 on-site
- 14 parking spaces are required by D.C. regulations for
- 15 the proposed school. St. Patrick's proposes to
- 16 provide at all times 170 spaces in a single level
- 17 below grade parking that Anthony described for us
- 18 earlier.
- 19 Up to 47 off peak spaces could be provided
- 20 along the onsite loop road. This total of 217 spaces
- is nearly doubled the number of spaces required by
- 22 regulation. The proposed parking supply will
- 23 adequately peak school parking demands without
- spillover parking into adjacent neighborhoods.
- With regard to trip generation, the 440

St. Patrick's middle and high school students and 100
faculty and staff would generate about 172 to 235 peak
hour trips. This includes very significantly 146
inbound trips during the A.M. peak hour. That's an
important number that was agreed to amongst the
community and St. Patrick's and Terry Armstrong will
speak to that in a moment.

- These estimates reasonably assume that about 38 percent of all middle and high school students will use the shuttle bus. Assumes an average vehicle occupancy of 1.75 students per vehicle which has consistently been achieved at the lower school campus. And that up to 20 percent of all high school students would drive if they earn the privilege and if they are accompanied by at least one other student.
- St. Patrick's general rates per student are similar to the trip generation rates that we actually observed and measured at the Field School. We think these estimates are conservative in at least three respects.

  They do not account for the synergy between the lower school and the middle and upper school. We know today that roughly a quarter of the middle school students who attend classes at the MacArthur campus also have a sibling at the Whitehaven campus.

- They do not account for St. Patrick's

  parents who may actually use Foxhall Road today to

  commute to or from work. If that is the case,

  obviously they could drop students off, maybe even

  pick them up. Drop them off in the morning, maybe

  even pick them up in the afternoon on their way to and
- And this also does not take credit for the trips, the modest number of trips generated by the current MacArthur campus.

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

from work.

- Twenty eight single family homes, now 27 11 will generate about 17 to 28 peak hour trips. 12 It's a small less than one trip every two minutes. 13 14 number. These estimates are based on standard 15 Institute of Transportation and Engineers generation rates, the same rates used in the recently 16 17 approved Phillips case.
  - So, what does all this mean? In terms of peak hour traffic, the proposed school and residents would add about 117 to 167 peak hour trips to Foxhall Road north of Whitehaven Parkway. That's the two lane section. This application would make up about eight to nine percent of all trips on that link.
- 24 The proposed school and residents would 25 add about 23 to 25 peak hour trips to Foxhall Road

- 1 south of Reservoir. This application would account
- 2 for only one to two percent of all traffic on that
- 3 link of Foxhall.
- In terms of delays, this application would
- 5 add at build out, full enrollment, would add 12
- 6 seconds of delay to motorists entering the Foxhall
- 7 Road/Whitehaven intersection during the critical A.M.
- 8 peak hour. Would have almost no effect during the
- 9 P.M. peak hour.
- 10 At Foxhall and Reservoir this application
- 11 would add about three to eight seconds of delays to
- 12 motorists entering the intersection at Foxhall and
- 13 Reservoir. These impacts I think by any measure are
- 14 modest.
- 15 And I'd like to conclude by noting that
- the proposed on and off site improvements will safely
- 17 and efficiently accommodate 1801 Foxhall traffic.
- 18 The proposed travel management plan will appropriately
- 19 manage that traffic.
- I would note that DOT, the ANC, the
- 21 Foxhall Community Citizens Association, the Colony
- 22 Hill Neighborhood Association, the CAMOA Bears and
- others support this transportation plan and I would
- 24 urge the Board to support it as well.
- Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank

- 2 you very much.
- 3 Questions? Clarifications? Mr. Mann.
- 4 MR. MANN: How will delivery and other
- 5 service vehicles serve the school?
- 6 MR. WELLS: The service and delivery
- 7 vehicles would use the loop road. We will manage that
- 8 to be during off peak periods, not when the car pool
- 9 program is at its peak in the morning and the
- 10 afternoon. And the loading area, and Anthony correct
- 11 me if I'm wrong, I believe is in this zone, in this
- 12 area.
- 13 MR. BARNES: There are two if I can borrow
- 14 this.
- 15 We propose two loading areas as necessary
- 16 based on needs. There would be one right -- a loading
- 17 bay just pulled in parallel to the roadway there to
- 18 service the cafeteria. And then there are two more
- 19 loading bay opportunities right there on the loop
- 20 road. And it's a double wide road at that point.
- MR. MANN: And there are school vehicles
- that would be stores on site presumably in the parking
- 23 garage?
- MR. BARNES: At the moment we don't expect
- 25 there to be any. But, yes, we would have enough

- 1 height to accommodate vans if the school owned them in
- 2 the first tray of parking as you enter.
- 3 MR. MANN: And the reason I ask that
- 4 because there was some mention of a shuttle bus so I
- 5 assumed that was a school vehicle.
- 6 MR. WELLS: It may or may not be. It may,
- 7 in fact, be a contract service. That has not been
- 8 determined. The commitment is there to operate the
- 9 system. And perhaps that could be operated in concert
- 10 with other near by school. So, that detail hasn't
- 11 been determined.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What about visiting
- 13 athletic buses that are coming in? Are they going to
- park on the circular road?
- MR. WELLS: The loop road is probably the
- 16 most appropriate place for visiting buses. It also is
- 17 close to the athletic field.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else?
- 19 Excellent.
- 20 Are we going to have those also today?
- 21 Those slides?
- MR. WELLS: Yes.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Okay.
- What else do we have?
- 25 MR. WELLS: Mr. Terry Armstrong, the chief

- 1 financial officer of the school will be our last
- 2 witness.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. It's
- 4 appropriate you're the last word. Right?
- 5 MR. ARMSTRONG: They put me last at all
- 6 the meetings.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's right.
- 8 MR. ARMSTRONG: People doze off.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's right.
- 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: I'm Terry Armstrong, Chief
- 11 Financial Officer of the school. Thank you very much
- for the opportunity to address the Board on behalf of
- the school and the Friends of St. Patrick's.
- In this statement I will discuss the
- 15 Operations Plan we have developed as a result of our
- 16 discussions with community representatives.
- 17 Since initiating the planning for this
- 18 project two years ago, the school has had over 30
- 19 meetings with neighborhood organizations and
- individuals. We've met with the ANC, with the Foxhall
- 21 Community Citizens Association, Colony Hill
- Neighborhood Association, Friends of Whitehaven Park
- 23 and countless numbers of individual conversations, e-
- 24 mails and informal meetings with members of these
- 25 groups.

- 1 As part of our community outreach, the
- 2 Friends of St. Patrick's and the school have conducted
- over a dozen tours of the site for neighbors and their
- 4 professional representatives.
- 5 During our community meetings we have
- 6 engaged in detailed discussion of the school's future
- 7 operating plans. The school, working closely with
- 8 CHNA and Foxhall has developed a revised Operations
- 9 Plan we are discussing today.
- 10 We appreciate the cooperation and hard
- 11 work of our neighbors in the development of this
- operating plan and we are pleased that Colony Hill and
- 13 Foxhall citizens have accepted and agreed to the
- 14 operating plan.
- 15 Also, as was mentioned earlier, the
- 16 Friends of St. Patrick's and the school have
- 17 established a website, 1801foxhall.com which was
- 18 established to the benefit of the community and it's
- 19 had over 1,000 visitors. On this site we've placed
- 20 the BZA filing documents, our environmental
- 21 assessments, archeological studies and other
- information as it's become available so the community
- 23 could access that and see the work that was being
- done.
- The Operating Plan itself covers issues

- 1 related to parking, school operations, student driving
- 2 facilities and field use management. And I will
- 3 mention some of the highlights of this plan.
- 4 As Marty mentioned, the inbound morning
- 5 peak hour traffic is capped at 146 trips. This is an
- 6 11 percent reduction from the school's original travel
- 7 management plan and is reflective of our desire to
- 8 work with the community and to minimize the traffic
- 9 impact on the community.
- 10 The St. Patrick's Day School will require
- 11 all students, employees and visitors to park on
- 12 campus, even if they have a valid Ward 3 parking
- 13 permit. We will establish a parking sticker program.
- 14 Students and employees who qualify will be required
- to register their vehicles and a parking sticker will
- 16 be issued. No student can drive to the school without
- 17 a permit and parking stickers may not be issued to
- 18 students under 17 years of age.
- 19 For all events, visitor parking will be on
- 20 the Foxhall campus or when additional parking is
- 21 needed, parking will be permitted on the Whitehaven
- campus.
- 23 In the event that parking demand for an
- 24 event exceeds this capacity, the school will arrange
- for satellite parking and shuttles to the campus. We

- will use signage and if necessary personnel to direct
- 2 visitors expected during sporting or other events to
- 3 park on campus.
- 4 Students will not be permitted to drive
- off campus for lunch or during normal school hours
- 6 except in case of emergency or to attend scheduled
- 7 appointments.
- 8 The school will not permit students to
- 9 walk off campus during the day except as required for
- 10 academic activities. We will have a closed campus.
- 11 However, the school reserves the right to return to
- the BZA to request approval of an open campus plan at
- 13 such time in the future as the school deems it
- 14 appropriate.
- 15 Students violating these requirements in
- 16 parking and driving are subject to revocation of their
- 17 driving privileges. And in the case of a repeated
- violation, to suspension of expulsion from the school.
- 19 Students and/or parents failing to abide
- 20 by the travel management plans or these parking
- regulations may be denied re-enrollment to the school.
- Games, practices and other organized uses
- of the field will not be scheduled to start after 5;30
- 24 p.m. Practices and other organized uses of the field
- 25 except games will conclude at the earlier of dusk or

- 7:00 p.m. Games, practices and other organized uses
- will not be regularly scheduled on Sundays and will
- 3 not be held on Sundays unless required by the school's
- 4 athletic league for make-up games involving the school
- or unless on an emergency basis.
- 6 The school will not install or permit to
- 7 be installed lights on the field for nighttime
- 8 athletic use. The school will not use or permit the
- 9 use of sound systems or any amplified sound on or near
- 10 the field.
- We have agreed with the community that we
- 12 will be permitted to use a temporary amplification
- 13 system at special events no more than three times per
- 14 year.
- When the field and grounds are not being
- 16 used by the school or the church, the school will
- permit neighbors to use the field and walk the grounds
- 18 during reasonable hours and subject to reasonable
- 19 rules and regulations.
- 20 We will not rent or permit outside
- 21 individuals or groups to use the athletic fields
- 22 except as noted.
- The school is limited to 10 major non-
- 24 athletic events. These events will conclude by either
- 25 10:00 p.m. Sunday through Thursday or 11:00 p.m.

- 1 Friday and Saturday except for a limited number of
- 2 late evening events.
- We are further limited to five events per
- 4 year that are not directly related to the business or
- 5 activities of either the middle or upper school and
- 6 for which the number of attendees is expected to
- 7 exceed 50. None of these events will be held on the
- 8 athletic field which is located closest to Colony
- 9 Hill.
- 10 The school will be limited to five events
- during the summer that conclude after 7:00 p.m. and
- 12 for which the number of attendees is expected to
- 13 exceed 50. Again, these events will not be held on
- 14 the athletic field.
- The school will be limited to a maximum of
- 16 six indoor, non-athletic evening events per year that
- 17 conclude after either 10:00 p.m. Sunday through
- 18 Thursday or 11:00 p.m. Friday and Saturday.
- 19 The school will be limited to a maximum of
- 20 four outdoor, non-athletic evening events that
- 21 conclude after 8:00 p.m. These events will conclude
- by either 10:00 p.m. Sunday through Thursday or 11:00
- p.m. Friday or Saturday. Only two of these events may
- 24 conclude after these times and these events will not
- 25 be held on the athletic field.

- 1 The school will organize regular meetings,
- 2 post construction, not less than semi-annually to
- 3 maintain open communications with the community. The
- 4 school agrees with residents that there may be times
- 5 when more frequent meetings are necessary and we will
- 6 work together to schedule these meetings as
- 7 appropriate.
- 8 Further, the school will not rent or
- 9 permit outside groups to use the buildings.
- 10 The school will not at this time operate a
- 11 summer school or camp program. However, the school
- 12 reserves the right to return to the BZA to request
- approval of a summer program or camp program at such
- time in the future as the school deems it appropriate.
- 15 The Friends of St. Patrick's and the
- 16 school believe that our proposed Operating Plan, as
- 17 changed to reflect community comments, is reasonable
- and responsible. It is a plan which has been agreed
- 19 by Foxhall Community Citizens Association and the
- 20 Colony Hill Neighborhood Association. We believe it
- 21 is sensitive to obligations to the surrounding
- 22 community and to our desire to create a quality
- 23 educational institution.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank

- 1 you very much.
- 2 Questions? Mr. Mann.
- 3 MR. MANN: You mentioned a couple of times
- 4 that you'd like to reserve the opportunity to come
- 5 back t BZA to request certain things.
- 6 Are those items also covered in the
- 7 agreements that you've signed outside of the purview
- 8 of sort of the Zoning Board?
- 9 MR. WELLS: Yes. This is consistent with
- 10 the agreements we've made with the community.
- 11 Mr. MANN: So, if you would reserve the
- 12 right to come back to BZA to request something, that
- 13 means that we would have to impose some condition that
- 14 would require you to come back.
- 15 MR. WELLS: If you adopt the Operating
- 16 Plan as it's submitted, we would be required to come
- 17 back. It's in the Operating Plan.
- 18 MR. MANN: So, I guess then your request
- 19 is that we adopt the Operating Plan precisely as
- 20 submitted?
- MR. WELLS: Correct.
- MR. MANN: Okay. Even though there may be
- 23 items in there that are not necessarily mitigating
- factors that we would take into consideration?

- 1 MR. WELLS: We've agreed to operate under
- these terms and conditions with the community. And we
- 3 would prefer to have the BZA incorporate the Operating
- 4 Plan.
- 5 MR. MANN: Okay. Can you tell me again
- 6 what you mean by a closed campus?
- 7 MR. WELLS: It means that the students
- 8 will arrive in the morning. And unless they have a
- 9 need -- an academic need or a scheduled appointment,
- 10 they will not leave the campus until the close of the
- 11 day.
- MR. MANN: And you're not confining that
- just to automobiles? You mean --
- 14 MR. WELLS: I mean they won't walk off
- 15 either.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's a lock down.
- 17 MR. MANN: All right. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: To the modification
- 19 of -- you have to come back to us to modify this order
- if it was approved in order to go to an open campus
- 21 only because the closed campus is part of the
- 22 Operating Plan?
- MR. WELLS: Correct.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I think Mr.
- 25 Mann was stepping into a concern that the Board has

had in numerous applications, especially special exceptions, of course. And that is when we condition something, certainly we are able to incorporate proffered conditions. And we perhaps take less time to go through all those, but on all of them there's a basic need for us to understand at least what the

is trying to eliminate

8 mitigate.

adverse condition it

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- So, with that, open and closed. I think
  maybe we have some questions on that. I have some
  specific questions on the outside use. You indicated
  that the field were not to be used except as noted for
  outside users. I'd like to know a little bit about
  who those outside users might be and why it would need
  to be or how it is limited.
  - Also, the buildings. You've indicated that they would not be rented or used by outside users. I think we've seen in the city in the past several years, the need for and the benefits for sharing facilities, especially something as grand as what's happening here. So, I think the Board probably needs a little bit more detail on why this has been such an accepted condition as part of the plan.
- MR. FEOLA: If I might, Mr. Chairman. I think what we are creating here is a school out of

1 And there's no -- there's nothing there to test it against. And I think the community is 2 correctly concerned about not that summer camps are 3 bad things or that use of outside facilities might he 4 bad things. But there is so much happening on this 5 6 site that has been pristine for so long that they have 7 taken a position which I don't think is inappropriate, 8 to let's do this in stages. Let's see how the school goes and let's see if the school can manage itself 9 10 before we allow it to expand to see if it could also have some other sharing facilities or use of the 11 athletic field. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I don't think that's an inappropriate position to take to judge an adverse impact. an existing school this were that was already everybody could operating and say was operating according to everything was okay, it would be different scenario. So, I think there's a concern that we're trying to fit into and before we go open up a summer camp, we want to make sure and the community wants to make sure that we can operate the school like we said we could.

23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's fine. And
24 I'm not looking to push all those participants in this
25 application in a direction that they haven't already

- 1 taken. However, I disagree with you limitedly on some
- of your comments in that this may be a new site, but
- 3 this is not a new function. I mean, Mr. Barrett who
- 4 took a year sabbatical setting best practices,
- 5 certainly understands how others function.
- 6 Certainly this board has maybe a limited
- 7 but some idea of the other functions. I guess I just
- 8 don't -- it seems to me that too often it seems it's a
- 9 negative occurrence that I have heard sitting here in
- this position that these schools aren't being able to
- 11 be used for anything else. So, it's like of shuttered
- 12 and closed when just the enrolled students aren't
- 13 there. Just to put it out there.
- I mean, I think we all know one perfect
- example or maybe we don't all. But it resonates in my
- mind when we have the sniper incident that was running
- around and there was a need for interior space. And
- 18 there was not enough in ample space for the
- 19 surrounding schools, surrounding neighborhood or
- 20 afternoon activities. Is that something that would be
- 21 precluded? I guess that's what it is is more of the
- 22 irrational episodes rather than certainly insuring
- that we are continually pristine. But that's just my
- limited opinion on that.
- 25 Okay. But let's talk about the athletic

- 1 field then. Obviously, it's being built and the
- primary use is for the school's athletic program.
- This 7:00 hour again, help me understand
- 4 that so I can gain a little bit of overtime match.
- 5 7:00 comes. The whistle blows. Everyone leaves the
- 6 field.
- 7 MR. WELLS: The way the Operating Plan is
- 8 written, and I may not have articulated it clearly in
- 9 my statement. We recognize in the Operating Plan that
- 10 games are a little bit difficult because the official
- 11 shows up late or the game goes overtime as you
- 12 suggest. And so the games are not restricted to
- 13 ending at those times. What we've committed to ending
- 14 at 7:00 p.m. are scheduled practices and organized
- 15 events that we can control that --
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
- MR. WELLS: -- I think we can manage.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And as it
- 19 reads, practices or other organized uses of the field,
- 20 except for game, will conclude at early dusk or 7:00
- 21 p.m. So, that's insuring that --
- MR. WELLS: Correct.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- in the middle of
- 24 a penalty shot the whistle doesn't blow and we all
- 25 have to go home?

- 1 MR. WELLS: Yes. We're not --
- 2 MR. FEOLA: Only if it's the other team
- 3 taking the penalty shot.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's right. Yes.
- 5 That is the home field advantage there. Okay. All
- 6 right.
- 7 Again, and you know, just having this just
- 8 in front of us, I haven't read through extensively all
- 9 of these but I wanted to bring that to light because
- 10 Mr. Mann I think was appropriately going in that
- 11 direction. Two levels that we need obviously is a
- 12 base understanding of what the conditions are and two
- 13 that base understanding of what it is trying to
- 14 mitigate or address.
- MR. WELLS: Sure.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Anything else
- 17 then?
- 18 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I just have some
- 19 general questions.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 21 Excellent. Anything? Other witnesses, Mr. Feola?
- 22 That would be good. Thank you very much. We do
- 23 appreciate it. We're going to go to Mr. Parsons'
- 24 quick questions, I think, generally. You wanted to
- 25 sum up the case presentation now or do you want --

- 1 MR. WELLS: I can do that.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- or are you
- 3 wanting to make a concluding statement at the end of
- 4 the hearing? You know, wrap this up.
- 5 MR. WELLS: I'd like make a concluding
- 6 statement at the end. There are a couple of points I
- 7 wanted to make as part of the direct presentation.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good. We'll
- 9 take Mr. Parson's quick questions and then to give
- 10 everyone a quick idea of when our blood goes back into
- our legs. We'll take a break after this and then
- we'll come back after a lunch break and we'll do cross
- 13 examination of all the witnesses so people can kind of
- 14 get their questions together. We'll bring the entire
- panel back. We'll go quickly into Government reports
- 16 because I note that we do have quite a few
- 17 representatives here that we need to get to. And then
- 18 we'll move on.
- 19 Okay.
- 20 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Feola, there's
- 21 three diagrams showing lots A, parcel A, parcel B,
- 22 parcel C.
- MR. FEOLA: Yes.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Who will manage and
- 25 operate parcel B?

- 1 MR. FEOLA: That will ultimately be the
- 2 school.
- 3 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. In the
- 4 Phillips Park case, in the order of that case, it
- 5 states "The Applicant shall take measures to control
- 6 soil erosion to protect the natural drainage channel
- 7 and the adjacent parkland subject to the approval of
- 8 the District of Columbia, Department of Health. And I
- 9 wondered if you would have objection to that being
- 10 included in this order?
- MR. FEOLA: Not at all.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
- MR. FEOLA: I'd just like to talk briefly,
- very briefly about some of the other results of what
- 16 I've seen as an extraordinary community outreach and
- 17 an outreach of Government agencies as well, in
- 18 addition to the things that Mr. Armstrong talked
- 19 about. And I'd just repeat some of the things you've
- 20 heard, but the project now has morphed into something
- 21 that, I think, is even better than it was when we
- 22 started and we were pretty proud of it when we
- 23 started.
- We dropped an interior lot as it
- 25 approaches the park in the northeast corner. As Mr.

- 1 Barnes said, we re-routed the residential sanitary
- 2 sewer connection into a public line on Hoban Road.
- 3 We've created the six point traffic management plan
- 4 you've heard Mr. Wells and Mr. Armstrong talk about.
- 5 We've revised the Foxhall Road entrance and specific
- 6 consultation with the neighbors and DDOT. We've
- 7 created this 25 foot no build zone around the
- 8 ephemeral stream in the southern Dell. We've
- 9 increased the side and rear yard setbacks abutting the
- 10 parkland. We've moved all retaining walls back from
- 11 the parkland 18 inches. So, there are some physical
- 12 things that have come out of this outreach that I
- 13 think have made the project a better and more whole
- 14 project. And we're please then that OP, DDOT and the
- 15 ANC support this application, as well as the Foxhall
- 16 Citizens Community Organization and the previously
- 17 opposed parties.
- 18 With that, I'd like to save a little time
- 19 for rebuttal and concluding remarks. That ends our
- 20 direct.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Absolutely. Okay.
- 22 Good.
- 23 And well done. I think that's an awful
- lot of information we've gotten.
- 25 And the last question just for

- 1 clarification on this reserving the right piece,
- because it's a little odd.
- 3 Let me understand. If we were to
- 4 incorporate language close to that or maybe of that.
- What you're saying is, you don't want to be dealt with
- 6 when you bring a new special exception or a change or
- 7 whatever, the new application for this, you don't want
- 8 to have to address the fact that someone says you said
- 9 you wouldn't do that. Is that correct?
- 10 MR. FEOLA: We're making it clear in our
- 11 agreement with the community that this is an agreement
- we're making but that we have the right to come back
- and request changing that and they've agreed to that.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, my understanding
- is that clearly you haven't promised that that's a
- 16 permanent situation --
- 17 MR. FEOLA: Correct.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- but it may in
- 19 fact fluctuate? So, we're not creating another
- 20 process of some sort that you guys have some sort of
- 21 different treatment and that we've reserved a place
- 22 for you to --
- MR. FEOLA: No.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Because that would
- 25 be very difficult. Okay.

- I think we're at a good breaking point
- 2 now. Let's take a quick lunch.
- 3 Yes?
- 4 MR. FEOLA: We could submit the PowerPoint
- 5 and some other materials if you care to look at that.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think we should.
- 7 Let's have that in. We'll get that as we're taking a
- 8 break so we save everyone's time. Let's have that
- 9 obviously to the parties and the ANC so they have that
- 10 as part of their cross.
- And we'll reconvene. We're at 12:15 now.
- 12 Give us some time to look at this while we grab
- 13 something to eat. We will reconvene at 1:30 and go
- 14 right into cross.
- MR. FEOLA: With the Chair's permission,
- one of the exhibits we're going to submit right now is
- 17 not indifferent from what's in all the previous books.
- 18 But we though al the illustrated plans should be in
- 19 one place and marked as one exhibit so the Zoning
- 20 Administrator hopefully will have something to look at
- as opposed to paging through books.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- MR. FEOLA: Just so you know. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good organization.
- Thank you. We'll see you shortly.

```
1
                    (Whereupon, the hearing was recessed at
2
       12:21 p.m. to reconvene at 1:41 p.m. this say day.)
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
- 1:41 p.m.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well.
- 4 Hopefully, everyone got a few moments of sunshine.
- 5 Let's resume our case and hearing today.
- And we were going to go directly into
- 7 cross examination, but you have something else in
- 8 mind.
- 9 MR. FEOLA: If I may, Mr. Chairman, I'd
- 10 like to enter into the record the talking points that
- 11 Mr. Barnes spoke of with regard to porous paving. In
- 12 addition, there's a letter from -- GeoTech.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And the EPA study?
- MR. FEOLA: And the EPA --
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. FEOLA: -- position paper on it.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- MR. FEOLA: If that's okay? And then
- we're ready for cross examination.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Why don't we
- 21 do that then.
- Does the ANC have any cross? Ms. Gates?
- 23 Excellent.
- 24 MS. GATES: I suppose this is appropriate
- 25 for Mr. Barnes.

- One of your slides showed this number 26
- 2 space. It shows the Phillips Estate to the north.
- 3 MR. BARNES: Yes.
- 4 MS. GATES: Can you tell us how this site
- 5 relates to the recently approved Phillips Estate? And
- 6 what the environmental impacts will be on Whitehaven
- 7 Park now that we'll have this everything going into
- 8 Whitehaven from this St. Patrick's site. I'm not
- 9 quite sure what the directional flow is from the
- 10 Phillips Estate. But my question is, will flooding
- 11 the wetland stream in Whitehaven Park?
- MR. BARNES: I thank you. It's a good
- question and I'd be happy to address it.
- 14 The drainage problems in the Phillips site
- 15 which is largely a bowl that drains towards the
- 16 southeast substantially are confined to the existing
- 17 wetland area. There is in the Phillips property which
- is right there and its wetland buffer area which you
- 19 see rendered in green at this point. There is a
- 20 little bit of offside drainage to the east side, but
- it really is minimal and, of course, there's some
- 22 drainage down W Street to the north which is handled
- 23 by an existing storm water management system, storm
- water pipe anyway that runs into the park.
- So, the drainage in this point is both

- 1 controlled for quantity and quality with two outflows
- into the wetland here so I'd like to suggest that
- 3 because of the quantity storage which was rendered
- 4 higher than D.C. standards, that it is indeed feeding
- 5 that stream rather than flooding it.
- 6 All of the water from this part of the
- 7 site currently comes through the stream anyway because
- 8 it is the natural outflow point and collection point.
- 9 So, it continues to receive that same amount of
- 10 water. On the St. Patrick's side
- 11 there's actually a mini-continental divide that runs
- down through the edge of the field and through the
- 13 ridge through the old mansion site as this point. So,
- in fact, close to one half of the site actually drains
- off through the southern swale through another stream
- 16 that ends directly in foundry branch, the stream
- that's actually in the park to the east here, just a
- 18 few hundred yards away.
- 19 The northern part of the site currently
- 20 does all drain down into the wetland that exists
- 21 within Whitehaven Park now and will continue to do so.
- 22 And so the storm water management systems of which we
- 23 have two that catch the water from these areas here
- 24 will continue. I would like to say feed rather than
- 25 flood that stream because we do have quantity and

- 1 quality control and there's further natural quality
- 2 control because these outfalls are higher up in the
- 3 Dell situation here.
- 4 MR. BARNES: You mentioned earlier that
- 5 there would be 17 feet of fill in the southern swale.
- 6 Now, we do have this natural divide on the property.
- 7 How will the flow to the southern swale be handled
- 8 given the retaining walls and the fill? And what are
- 9 the implications here for excessive amounts of silt?
- 10 MR. BARNES: Perhaps I can ask Edith to
- 11 move to the -- could you just get a regular site plan
- 12 up here?
- 13 The drainage conditions for the southern
- 14 part of the site and that little divide as I mentioned
- 15 earlier runs through here. All of this area of the
- 16 site currently drains through the southern swale and
- 17 leaves the site at this little ephemeral stream right
- 18 here which is a small mostly dry ditch that's probably
- 19 18 inches in diameter at present. It's not really an
- 20 erotic condition and it wouldn't be when we're done
- 21 either.
- The 17 feet of fill is our most extreme
- 23 case over here and the fill is probably reduced to
- 24 about six feet by the time you get to the road over
- 25 here. So, it's a lessening fill condition as you go.

- All of these areas will still drain as they do now out through that stream system feeding the stream system that's there now because we have both quantity
- 5 provisions are beyond the District's requirements and

and quality control here. You know, once again the

- 6 that outfall is right here at the head of the
- 7 ephemeral stream right now.
- So, the retaining walls and the grading
  there are really just to control conditions within the
  site for the three reasons I mentioned earlier. They
  should have no net effect on the way in which the
  water leaves the site because the requirements are
- 13 quite exacting about how you control the quantity and
- so on.

21

4

- 15 I'll also mention that because the field
  16 is considered impervious and all that water is
  17 gathered, there's certainly no contaminants there and
  18 in cases of good sized storms, there's actually all
  19 this clean water coming off the field which actually
  20 is mixed with the other water that has to deal with
- MS. GATES: And will there be individual
- storm trenches for each of the property owners?

contaminants as it flows off the site.

- MR. BARNES: Not so much a storm trench.
- 25 Because the storm water management system is designed

- in quantity storage to deal with the needs of outflow
- of each of the sites, there would be a storm water
- 3 management connection in each site so the down spouts
- 4 and patio drains could go into them. And, of course,
- 5 the alleys and the roadways would end up in that same
- 6 system.
- 7 MS. GATES: But we're talking about
- 8 covering the site that drains naturally now into both
- 9 Whitehaven and Grover Archibald Park. We're talking
- 10 about covering it with 50 percent impervious surface.
- 11 Will there be impacts on the parks? I
- mean, I've asked you that before, but I really am not
- convinced that 50 percent coverage isn't going to have
- 14 an impact.
- MR. BARNES: Well, you're right. When one
- 16 develops land, there are inevitably -- there's a
- 17 change in the condition in which, you know, the water
- is collected and so on.
- 19 All I can say is that with the 50 percent
- 20 impervious demands considered with the engineering
- 21 that went into the storm water management design, we
- are exceeding the District's projected requirements to
- 23 control both the volume and the quality of the water
- 24 that is leaving -- certainly the volume of the water
- 25 that is leaving the site.

- In a huge storm event, you know, if one is
- 2 talking about the 250 year storm that I mentioned
- 3 earlier, there is no question the water will leave the
- 4 site quicker than it does not. This is inevitable in
- 5 any development in any area.
- 6 Scott Roser if you have something to add,
- 7 chime in at anytime. Our storm water management
- 8 engineer.
- 9 MR. ROSER: My name is Scott Roser. I'm
- 10 with the consulting firm of Macris, Hendricks and
- 11 Glascock.
- The sand filters that we're proposing do
- in some sense mimic the run-off conditions in that
- 14 they retain the water for a long period of time. And
- the water that's held in the sand and gravel columns
- 16 slowly reach out in some sense mimicking what you
- 17 would have from a ground water outflow.
- 18 And, in addition, the extended detention
- 19 we're proposing also slowly release the run-off from
- the site more than what the District requirements are.
- MS. GATES: Thank you. I'm finished.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Maybe I could jump
- 23 in on this.
- In the companion development, Phillips to
- the north, maybe you weren't involved in that one. We

- 1 came to the conclusion and had it in the order as I
- 2 recall, no more than two cubic feet a second would
- 3 come out of the estate, if you will, into the park.
- 4 Is that something you could achieve here?
- 5 MR. ROSER: It would depend on the -- I'm
- 6 not sure exactly under what frequency you're referring
- 7 to. My recollection on the design is if the two-year
- 8 event were less than that and we're way less than that
- 9 for the one-year event.
- 10 The fifteen-year event I'd have to check
- 11 to see if we can reasonably stay below that number.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'd like to
- 13 consider such a condition here but not negotiate
- 14 across the table here since we were able to achieve
- that there. Could you take a look at that?
- 16 MR. ROSER: Yes. If I could see the
- 17 specific condition, I could fairly easily determine
- whether or not we could comply with that.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, why don't we
- 20 start with what's being proposed. You have those
- 21 calculation. Correct? MR. ROSER: I do. And they've
- 22 been submitted.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So, we can
- 24 start at the baseline of what is actually being
- 25 proposed for this one up to even the 150 year event.

- 1 Kind of fascinating time period. Where are we going
- 2 to find that?
- MR. FEOLA: He's going to get that.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But we have it in
- 5 already. Correct? I mean, it's been submitted?
- 6 MR. FEOLA: I don't believe so.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 8 MR. FEOLA: I think what Mr. Roser was
- 9 suggesting. He submitted it to DOH as part of their
- 10 normal --
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, I see.
- MR. ROSER: This is pre-development
- 13 review.
- 14 MR. FEOLA: Yes. This was submitted to
- 15 DOH. Copies are also provided to the ANC you know.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. When we
- figure out where that is, we can make copies of it and
- we can get it up here and we'll take a look at it.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I didn't want to
- interrupt the flow here. I mean, we got all afternoon
- 21 to answer that.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You've thrown us way
- off track, Mr. Feola. We had a rhythm going here.
- Let's move ahead then.
- MR. FEOLA: My colleagues won't forgive

- 1 me. Let's move on.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But a simple
- 3 calculation on however you do, one, two, fifteen,
- 4 twenty-five, fifty, whatever.
- 5 MR. FEOLA: Yes. It's all here.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good. Any
- 7 other follow up questions from the Board?
- 8 Let's move ahead then. Does any of the
- 9 other parties--
- MS. GATES: I'm sorry.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Indeed.
- MS. GATES: I forgot Marty Wells.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: How could you forget
- 14 Mr. Wells?
- MS. GATES: I'm back.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Mr. Wells.
- MS. GATES: I want to preface this with
- 18 the Board that the Foxhall Road plan that was shown
- 19 today is different from the plan the ANC considered.
- The earlier plan showed a narrowing of the
- 21 northbound lane at the school driveway. What is being
- 22 proposed and I'm not opposing this. I'm simply asking
- 23 some questions about it, leave the current
- 24 configuration place.
- Mr. Wells, I guess my first question would

- 1 be. Are you aware of the danger currently facing
- 2 motorists traveling north as you mentioned that 25
- 3 miles per hour is only a suggestion on Foxhall Road
- 4 and what happens when two cars reach Whitehaven
- 5 Parkway at the same time and are suddenly faced with
- 6 one lane?
- 7 MR. WELLS: I'll answer that by first
- 8 going to an image that we showed earlier of that
- 9 intersection of Foxhall and Whitehaven.
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Do you want the
- 11 detailed one? The slide?
- MR. WELLS: No. It's the photo.
- 13 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Can you tell me
- 14 what the number is?
- MR. WELLS: I've got it 38 in my -- it's
- 16 2.
- I know I'm interrupting the flow here, but
- 18 -- There.
- 19 I'll speak directly to the point of danger
- in just a moment, but I think the condition that is
- 21 being referred to here is that in the northbound
- direction if you're in the right land, you continue
- 23 through. You can see the through lane on the other
- side of Whitehaven Parkway.
- 25 If you're in the left lane here, you're

- 1 compelled to turn left here. In other words, you
- 2 cannot go through. You can see how it tapers from
- 3 four lanes to two lanes.
- 4 This has sometimes been, I think,
- 5 incorrectly called a head-on condition. There's no
- 6 head-on condition in the sense that there's a clear
- 7 travel path here for the southbound traffic, which
- 8 does not align with the left turn lane.
- 9 What we're proposing is to basically
- 10 augment what is there today to retain the two lane
- 11 configuration but to better sign and have better, more
- 12 appropriate pavement markings. I think, Edith, you
- 13 had a slide that showed our suggested -- I know this
- is terribly difficult to read. But if you will bear
- 15 with me. We're suggesting different signage here to
- 16 make it very clear that the right lane is a through
- 17 lane and the left lane is a left turn lane. You don't
- 18 have to read anything. You don't have to understand
- 19 English. All you have to understand that these arrows
- 20 point you in the appropriate direction.
- MS. GATES: Would it be on the pavement
- 22 or --
- 23 MR. WELLS: Excuse me. And then we're
- 24 suggesting additional arrows, left turn arrows, and
- then additional lane lines to emphasize that left turn

- 1 lane.
- 2 And on the subject of danger, I did go
- 3 back and look at the accident history here. A concern
- 4 here is that somebody in the left lane may not
- 5 understand I'm supposed to turn left and they may make
- an abrupt movement, side swipe a car beside it.
- 7 The metropolitan police tell us that in
- 8 2003 there was only one accident at this intersection
- 9 involving property damage.
- In 2004 there were two accidents.
- 11 2005 there were three accidents.
- 12 Fortunately, only one of them involving injury. So,
- 13 it is not a dangerous intersection. I think it's
- 14 something -- the lane arrangement is something we have
- to be mindful of and make more clear what is intended
- of drivers. So, that was our thinking.
- MS. GATES: I would like to put on the
- 18 record it is a very dangerous intersection. And it is
- 19 clearly practice for cars in that left lane to speed
- 20 up and pass at that intersection.
- We've ben trying to get DDOT to do
- 22 something and we thought we had found the answer.
- 23 However --
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What's the answer?
- 25 MS. GATES: Having the road narrow further

- 1 back. That did not meet with the communities --
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
- 3 MS. GATES: They felt they wouldn't be
- 4 able to get out of their driveways.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
- 6 MS. GATES: Which may well be true. But
- 7 it is a very -- I think it's -- it's not an accident
- 8 waiting to happen because it's already happened. But
- 9 it is a very dangerous --
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
- MS. GATES: -- intersection.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. I think
- 13 that's excellent to bring to this forum. Obviously, I
- 14 haven't heard you make the statement. You haven't
- presented your case yet. But I don't see anything
- 16 presented today that this project is actually creating
- 17 that condition.
- MS. GATES: Correct.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But obviously we
- 20 have some ability as we're looking at the road
- 21 realignment here and Mr. Laden in our presence, so
- that you can make your point.
- MS. GATES: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good.
- MS. GATES: Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON	GRIFFIS:	Anything	else	from
---------------	----------	----------	------	------

- 2 the ANC? If there's nothing else in the cross
- 3 examination, let me ask for all those parties that
- 4 have cross examination questions to come up at this
- 5 point.
- 6 Parties?
- 7 You're not on the record, so I'll try and
- 8 answer you and have your question.
- 9 The point is whether a point can be made
- 10 now in rebuttal to or addressing the issues at hand?
- 11 No. This is straight cross examination. We need to
- 12 call witnesses, hard-hitting questions on their
- 13 testimony.
- 14 If you like, whatever. It's up to you or
- 15 you can take a time -- a moment in your case
- 16 presentation or whatever you would like. Okay.
- 17 Is there any cross questions of the three
- 18 parties?
- 19 Opposition? None. Very well.
- I know, but I can't have you. You have to
- 21 be on the mike. That's right. You're still a party.
- 22 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: We're not in
- 23 opposition.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's okay.
- 25 I'll call you up for statements. No

- 1 problem at all. Okay.
- 2 I'm just not used to having a school with
- 3 no cross. So, I'm trying to get comfortable with
- 4 that.
- 5 MR. WELLS: We always like to throw you a
- 6 wrinkle and keep you off --
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed.
- 8 That being said then, that is the case in
- 9 chief of the Applicant. We now would go to the ANC.
- 10 I'm going to ask the ANC's indulgence if we could, you
- 11 know, call the District agencies first and then go to
- the ANC and then the last District agency would be the
- Office of Planning if that is amenable to the Office
- of Planning as they're used to sitting through out
- 15 exciting hearings.
- With that then, why don't we bring up
- 17 DDOT. I believe we have DOH also represented. Am I
- 18 correct? Excellent.
- 19 Why don't I have all of -- why don't I
- 20 have the two agencies up to the panel at this time
- 21 then.
- We'll start with DDOT.
- 23 Make note for those participants. Our
- 24 record indicates that Exhibit 60 and Exhibit 80 are
- 25 submissions from the Department of Transportation of

- 1 the District of Columbia. The Department of Health
- 2 has also submitted Exhibit 74. You can make reference
- 3 to that and we will also pull them up.
- 4 Good afternoon.
- 5 MR. LADEN: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.
- I was not sworn in at this morning's
- 7 session. I don't know if we need to go through that
- 8 formality?
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. That
- 10 would be great. Actually, with that, if you would
- 11 stand and give your attention to Ms. Bailey, she'll
- 12 swear you in. If anyone else that is planning to
- 13 participate this afternoon that was not sworn in this
- 14 morning could stand and give your attention to Ms.
- 15 Bailey if you're going to address the Board with
- 16 testimony.
- MS. BAILEY: Would you please raise your
- 18 right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the
- 19 testimony that you are about to give will be the
- truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Very
- 22 well.
- 23 I would note your last submission is
- 24 Exhibit 84, I believe. It is our Exhibit. It's dated
- June 9<sup>th</sup>. Is that what your records also show?

- 1 MR. LADEN: That is correct.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Thank you
- 3 very much.
- 4 MR. LADEN: Thank you members of the
- 5 Board. My name is Ken Laden. I'm the Associate
- 6 Director for Transportation Policy and Planning in the
- 7 D.C. Department of Transportation.
- 8 We have been working with both the
- 9 Applicant and various community groups within the
- 10 neighborhood to try to review this application, come
- 11 up with some recommendations and suggestions that we
- 12 thought would help improve traffic conditions in the
- 13 neighborhood.
- 14 I think our first testimony was forwarded
- in February. And as you just mentioned, there was a
- subsequent correspondence forwarded on June 9<sup>th</sup> which
- 17 reflected some of the changes that have been described
- at the morning session for this particular case.
- 19 Particularly, we are looking at the right
- turn lane for northbound traffic as a means of getting
- 21 that turning vehicles out of the main travel lanes and
- thereby hoping to reduce congestion and reduce the
- 23 potential for accidents.
- In looking at the overall application, I
- 25 think we're very supportive of what the school has

- 1 attempted to do or the Friends of St. Patrick's has
- 2 attempted to do in terms of addressing traffic
- 3 concerns, trying to reduce the number of automobile
- 4 trips, coming up with designs that would improve both
- 5 vehicular circulation as well as pedestrian
- 6 circulation in the area.
- We're happy with the internal road system
- 8 in that it does provide adequate room for cars to que
- 9 and not be extended out into the travel lanes.
- 10 We like the idea of the demand activated
- 11 traffic signal. And, again, the latest edition, this
- 12 proposed right turn lane, which would be located
- 13 within the District's right-of-way we think is a good
- 14 compromise solution that would help keep traffic
- moving safety throughout the neighborhood.
- I really don't have any prepared statement
- 17 other than just to reflect what we've previously
- 18 provided in written testimony. So, at this point, I'm
- 19 available to try to answer any questions the Board may
- 20 have or anyone else may have regarding traffic issues
- 21 on this particular case.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 23 you very much.
- 24 We do appreciate you being present for
- questions and also to present your analysis.

- 1 Is it your understanding in this right
- 2 turn lane that has been spoken about that the sidewalk
- 3 would continue along and adjacent to that side?
- 4 MR. LADEN: Correct. The sidewalk would
- 5 move in slightly closer to the Applicant's property.
- 6 But, yes. We would expect the six-foot wide sidewalk
- 7 to continue throughout the entire frontage of Foxhall.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And did you have an
- 9 opportunity in all that was being put together here in
- 10 terms of this tree planting plan along the public
- 11 right. Have you looked at that and would that change
- the site lines or in any way disrupt your support or
- 13 the street alignment on that intersection or at that
- 14 intersection?
- MR. LADEN: No. I have not looked
- specifically at the street tree proposal and we would
- 17 probably want to have our traffic -- I'm sorry, our
- 18 urban forestry group take a look at the street tree
- 19 proposal as well as our traffic services folks.
- I think one of the things we've stated in
- our testimony is as the Applicant prepares the design
- 22 plans for the right turn lane for the traffic signal
- 23 for the sidewalk, we would like to see copies of the
- 24 design plans. And at that point, we can comment on
- 25 specific tree locations or specific tree types.

- 1 I can't envision it providing any
- 2 significant problems if you've got sort of a small
- green space after the curb lane. And then you've got
- 4 a six-foot wide sidewalk. Then you've got street
- 5 trees planted. It should prevent it -- you know,
- 6 shouldn't provide any sort of significant problem in
- 7 that we typically have street trees right at the curb
- 8 line throughout the city.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- MR. LADEN: Okay.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And in your analysis
- there was no difficulty in terms of the residential
- ingress and egress vehicular on the Hoban Road, that
- 14 singular entrance?
- MR. LADEN: Well, again, it's always a
- 16 challenge I think to pull out into Foxhall Road,
- 17 especially at certain times of the day. But we don't
- 18 think adding the additional traffic coming out of
- 19 these new residential units would provide a
- 20 significant challenge. And, again, there are several
- 21 different alternatives for traffic to get in and out
- of that new residential development.
- 23 So, the short answer is no. I don't think
- it will present any significant problems.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Excellent.

- 1 Any other questions? Follow-up from the
- 2 Board? Okay. Very good.
- 3 Let's go straight for cross.
- 4 Mr. Feola, questions? No questions.
- 5 Ms. Gates, the ANC? Parties? Any
- 6 questions? Yes? Come on up.
- 7 MR. HEBERT: My name is Jay Hebert, again,
- 8 1717 Foxhall.
- 9 Mr. Laden, I just wanted to confirm DDOT's
- 10 position with respect to the two lanes northbound,
- 11 Foxhall in between the school entrance and Whitehaven.
- 12 Do you object to it continuing two lanes? In other
- words, continue in the way it is today?
- 14 MR. LADEN: I think our recommendation is
- that there would be two lanes in front of the school
- 16 as it's approaching Whitehaven northbound. I believe
- 17 one of those through lanes becomes a left turn lane at
- 18 Whitehaven which we think would be beneficial overall.
- 19 MR. HEBERT: Right. So, basically your
- 20 plan would be for it to stay the same as it is right
- 21 now? Northbound Foxhall in between Whitehaven and the
- 22 school entrance. Correct?
- MR. LADEN: Correct.
- MR. HEBERT: Okay. Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is Hoban road one

- 1 way now currently to Foxhall?
- 2 MR. LADEN: Correct. I believe Hoban is
- one way. At that point I guess it would be eastbound.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And you were
- 5 supporting or recommending that that become two way
- from 45<sup>th</sup> to Foxhall?
- 7 MR. LADEN: Correct.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And that's
- 9 obviously to give all alternatives for exit from the
- 10 new residential?
- MR. LADEN: Absolutely. And for the
- 12 existing neighborhood as well.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. Sure.
- MR. LADEN: Through 45<sup>th</sup> Street.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good.
- Anything else? Any other questions?
- 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I have a question.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Do you know why
- Hoban Street is one way?
- MR. LADEN: I believe that was before my
- time, but I believe it was -- at least I was told by
- 23 staff that it was in order to cut down on potential
- 24 cut through traffic that might turn left on Hoban to
- get to Reservoir without having to go through the

- 1 traffic signal at Foxhall and Reservoir.
- I'm sorry, it would be the P.M. traffic
- 3 coming -- yes. The P.M. traffic coming n the opposite
- 4 direction. But basically they're cut down or reduce
- 5 the amount of cut through traffic through that
- 6 residential neighborhood.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Thank you
- 9 very much. Appreciate it. Let's move ahead.
- 10 We have DOH here. Is that correct? Let's
- bring it up and then, Mr. Murphy, you were also going
- 12 to also provide testimony. Is that correct. We'll
- have you up also and then we'll move on to the ANC.
- 14 MS. DOUGLASS: Good afternoon. My name is
- 15 Stan Douglass. I'm with the D.C. Water Quality
- 16 Division.
- 17 And I'm here primarily in relation to the
- 18 water bodies that are on the site.
- 19 The Water quality Division submitted
- 20 initial comments on February 27, 2006, about the
- 21 project to the D.C. Office of Planning. Subsequently,
- we received a permit application dated May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2006,
- 23 to impact the wetlands spring and stream at the site.
- 24 Basic information available so far, the
- D.C. Department of Health claims jurisdiction over the

- 1 wetlands spring and stream located at the site. We
- 2 understand that the development claims 100 percent
- 3 impact of the wetland spring and stream.
- 4 As the District has a policy of no net
- 5 loss of wetlands and protects the streams, St.
- 6 Patrick's has submitted an application to impact these
- 7 areas and provide mitigation for them as another on-
- 8 site location.
- 9 An application also was submitted to the
- 10 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers as the mitigation area is
- 11 adjacent to the stream under the Corps of Engineers
- 12 jurisdiction.
- 13 As far as the Water Quality Division is
- 14 aware, the Corps of engineers has not commented on the
- 15 application. It is our policy to comment after the
- 16 Corps does.
- We understand that an environmental impact
- 18 screening form also will be submitted for this
- 19 project.
- 20 At this time, we expect to get more
- 21 detailed plans and drawings for the proposed wetland
- 22 which you will examine and submit official comments.
- 23 That is our standard policy.
- 24 In the interim, three issues were
- 25 apparent. The first is that the ephemeral stream

- 1 under the Corps jurisdiction will be permanently
- 2 converted into a new wetland. No mitigation is
- 3 proposed for the stream.
- 4 The second is that the 25 foot buffer that
- is supposed to be around the stream will actually be
- 6 used to create the new wetland.
- 7 Further, the 25 foot buffer is not
- 8 completely maintained around the stream. There is one
- 9 place where house lots appear to be directly adjacent
- 10 to the stream without a buffer.
- 11 Finally, the proposed spring mitigation
- 12 would create new wetlands instead of it facing the
- 13 last feature. These issues clearly need to be
- 14 resolved in addition to any others that may be
- 15 identified when additional details and plans are
- 16 submitted as part of the environmental impact
- 17 screening form to the District.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 Questions?
- 21 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. So, what
- you're saying is the stream doesn't have an adequate
- 23 buffer as shown on the drawings we now have before us,
- 24 25 feet?
- MS. DOUGLASS: The buffer that is proposed

- around the stream is actually being used to create the
- wetland. The new wetland will be in the buffer area.
- 3 That is not what we expected.
- 4 Also, the buffer does not completely go
- 5 around the stream towards the most upgraded part of
- 6 the stream. That area is directly abutting the
- 7 property line for the housing lots as far as I can
- 8 tell. And at a site fitting towards the end of May,
- 9 the consultants actually drew the line for me and
- 10 confirmed that this was the case.
- 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, are you
- suggesting we try to cure that or you cure that in the
- permitting process?
- MS. DOUGLASS: These issues normally are
- not brought at the BZA level. They usually come up as
- part of the environmental screening process.
- 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Right.
- 18 MS. DOUGLASS: And we discussed that with
- 19 them at that point. But since we were asked to
- 20 testify, we're pointing out issues that we see
- 21 immediately.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But you don't feel
- a necessity for us to try to help at this point?
- We're a lot clumsier. There's more of us.
- 25 I mean, is that your point that this would

1 be taken care of in the permitting process?

2

- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is it possible for
- 4 this to be remedied at the level after this if this
- 5 was approved?
- 6 MS. DOUGLASS: If the project as it is is
- 7 approved, because there are regulatory issues at
- 8 stake. The issues would have to be resolved before
- 9 the project could move forward from our office.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I understand that.
- 11 Are we approving something that would preclude you
- 12 from remedying the situation that you see as a
- potential for this stream and the buffer area?
- MS. DOUGLASS: I would hesitate to say
- 15 primarily because the stream is also under the
- 16 jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers. And they
- 17 speak first --
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I need you to said
- 19 for their comments.
- 20 MS. DOUGLASS: I can't speak on their
- 21 behalf.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What do you do to
- remedy a situation like this?
- MS. DOUGLASS: Site by site basis. We try
- 25 to work with the developer to see if there are

- 1 alternatives that can be used. And so far we've
- 2 managed to --
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The Corps was the
- 4 last one you worked on. What were some of the
- 5 alternatives that were used? Did you do a concrete
- 6 trench and make an artificial stream bed? I mean,
- 7 what are we talking about here?
- 8 MS. DOUGLASS: More than likely in this
- 9 case, we would first of all try to understand --well,
- 10 the 25 foot buffer presumably once the stream will get
- 11 destroyed if it's being replaced by a wetland. If the
- 12 Corps was to agree to that, then the 25 foot buffer
- now needs to be put in place around the new wetland.
- 14 And at some point we would probably negotiate to find
- 15 out how we can best do that. We may not get it
- 16 exactly the way we want, but usually there is some
- 17 negotiation.
- 18 There are other issues that are on the
- 19 table like the stream mitigation and the spring
- 20 mitigation where I'm not certain how the Applicant
- 21 plans to resolve those, because neither are being
- addressed adequately in the proposed mitigation plan.
- 23 And it's not typically something that we do. We
- 24 don't tell them what to do. They propose and we
- 25 comment and approve or reject.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- 2 Anything else? Very well. If there are
- 3 no other questions from the Board -- did you want to
- 4 address?
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: No.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Very well.
- 7 Let's move ahead then. Mr. Feola, any cross?
- 8 MR. FEOLA: No, sir.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any ANC parties?
- 10 Very well. Thank you very much. We do appreciate you
- 11 being here with insightful information. Okay.
- MR. BARNES: Mr. Chairman, if I could just
- comment on one thing that Ms. Douglas said.
- 14 We do have a 25 foot buffer around the
- 15 entire stream. Some of it may be on private property,
- 16 but it would be subject to all the protection
- 17 easements, but a buffer would require of it. It
- doesn't have to be part of private property to be a
- 19 buffer. So, we do provide the buffer that is
- 20 required. It just happens to be part of it. It's on
- 21 the corner of the site that would be subjected to
- 22 overlay protections.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And there's
- other comments. I thought we'd probably bring this up
- in rebuttal in your closing. So, we'll get to it, but

- 1 I appreciate that. Okay.
- 2 I'm sorry, did you --
- 3 MR. KERRI: My name is Tim Kerri, also
- 4 with DOH.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- 6 MR. KERRI: And I'm just here primarily to
- 7 speak on behalf of storm water management.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Perfect.
- 9 MR. KERRI: And the erosion and sediment
- 10 control and dealt with the wetland, but we went on
- 11 site on January the 27<sup>th</sup> and also on June the 1<sup>st</sup>. We
- were on a site visit. We met with the Applicant and
- 13 the design engineer for storm water management. And
- 14 you all had a detailed presentation.
- We have looked at the conceptual design
- 16 for storm water management and we are in agreement
- 17 with the designs for storm water management. We don't
- have the competitions yet, but we do know from the
- 19 concept that the District normally allows for a two-
- 20 year frequency release. The project is going into a
- 21 one-year release which is better for us because it
- 22 kind of protects down stream, you know, properties and
- down stream where streams down stream from erosion.
- 24 At a latter day pont we get the
- 25 competitions through the EIS or environmental review

- 1 process. We can take a look at it to confirm as to
- whether all these things that are -- have been put on
- 3 the concept plan actually what is really there. So,
- 4 that is my comment on the process.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 6 you very much.
- 7 Questions? Any questions from the
- 8 participants? Applicant? ANC? Parties? Very well.
- 9 MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 My name is David Murphy. I'm representing the
- 11 National Park Service.
- 12 I think it's very simply said that the
- 13 National Park Service is downstream on two full
- 14 quarters of this project and you've just heard
- 15 testimony as to the storm water, spring water,
- 16 wetlands and we must rely on the district's
- 17 regulations to insure that our interests downstream
- are protected by upstream events.
- 19 I think Mr. Tim Kerri comments about the
- one-year event does indicate that the erosive factors
- 21 that are inherent in this sort of landscape are of
- 22 strong concern. They are a strong concern to us as
- 23 they were in the development of the Phillips Estate
- 24 where much of the drainage went through an existing
- 25 deteriorated culvert on parkland and they are working

- 1 to correct that.
- 2 But that also generated the concern about
- 3 the two cubic feet per second rate of flow. So, we
- 4 would be very interested to be aware of the
- 5 calculations from this tract to see how that total
- flow through that culvert may be. We are hardened by
- 7 the high water or storm event splitting of the
- 8 drainage from the soccer field because it was an issue
- 9 that we were concerned that that essentially
- 10 impervious service would generate a very flash
- 11 condition.
- We will be very interested to find what
- 13 the District indicates is needed to be done with the
- 14 spring. We've understood that it was not a spring or
- it was not flowing, but it appears that perhaps the
- 16 District has a different view on that.
- We would suggest that downstream from the
- 18 spring which is in the meadow, that flow probably
- 19 works its way down into the existing drainage that
- 20 waters of the United States and then waters of Glover
- 21 Archibald Park. So, we'd be very curious about how
- that plays out.
- 23 We would suggest as we've done in other
- 24 projects and suggested that if there is a viable
- 25 groundwater spring seep that it be conveyed and not

- 1 co-mingled with a storm water run off. And that is
- 2 simply a piping exercise and a release rate
- 3 downstream.
- 4 Very interested to see the plan conceptual
- 5 sediment control plan which I'm not aware we had seen
- 6 before which has -- this is interim. One of the
- 7 problems of being downstream in a park is we watch not
- 8 only the final development but while it's being
- 9 developed. And with a seven-year projected
- development plan, these sediment controls will be very
- 11 much of focus to our rangers in he field downstream.
- 12 We will have to rely on the District of
- 13 Columbia for very careful monitoring to assure that we
- are not impacted by inadvertent silt releases.
- The one question that came up and
- primarily my purpose of being here today is to listen
- 17 to the testimony, to understand it. Because there
- 18 were some pieces that were not clear. And I think
- 19 there needs to be a clarification on Area B which is
- 20 the -- I've forgotten the terminology.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The Dell?
- 22 MR. MURPHY: I'm sorry? The Dell. Thank
- 23 you.
- 24 The Dell is to be as we understand now to
- 25 be under the control and management of the school.

- 1 And there were some retained -- apparently a desire by
- the school to retain rights to do something on their
- land and we presume that also means in the Dell.
- 4 The Dell is a very important feature to
- 5 the park. We understand it's a very important feature
- to the development, the school and the community as a
- 7 whole. We would hope that there would be some
- 8 assurance that beyond the sited releases of controlled
- 9 releases of storm water and possibly a walkway, which
- 10 we have not seen on a drawing, but there was an
- illusion to a possible walkway connecting the buses to
- the soccer field. But that would be the extent of
- "development" in the Dell.
- 14 We had been -- we felt an assurance that
- that was not in questions, but now there may be some
- 16 need to clarify that.
- 17 I think that is the extent -- frankly are
- 18 pleased with the reaction by the Friends of St.
- 19 Patrick's and Anthony Barnes to react to our comments.
- The reduction in buildings in the corner we think
- 21 will reduce the bulk of presence in the corner of
- 22 parkland. The setback of the retaining wall sounds
- 23 trivial, but we have to live with things 50 to 75
- 24 years and it's nice to know that the construction and
- 25 repair of things can be undertaken, not having to use

- 1 parkland.
- 2 We're reasonably assured by fencing,
- 3 judicious fencing in places that we will not have
- 4 encroachment into parkland by well meaning, but
- 5 sometimes aggressive neighbors deciding they'll come
- 6 over and improve the park for us.
- 7 The issue of trails was perhaps perplexing
- 8 to some. We are continually approached to have new
- 9 trails in a park system. And I think I want to spend
- 10 sometime on the quality of the park in Grover
- 11 Archibald.
- 12 I have worked in this region for 30 years.
- 13 I have walked nearly every square foot of all the
- 14 parks in the system, in the District of Columbia. And
- 15 as a forester and looking at the forest quality of
- this, this is a highly unusual area. It is a very
- 17 special area.
- 18 One way to measure as far as quality is to
- 19 look at the forest floor to see what's on it and what
- isn't on it. There aren't exotic plants. There's a
- 21 very good layer of forest duff, leave litter and so
- on. It's a very clean, very old growth forest. The
- 23 trees are in exceptionally good shape for an urban
- 24 area and it's always a -- my hat is off to the Glover
- 25 and Archibald families who have donated and

- 1 contributed this resource.
- 2 The idea of adding more trails is --
- 3 sounds laudable, but what happens is, the more trails
- 4 the less forest, the more activity. We can control
- 5 the trails we have. Our goal is to be in there to
- 6 maintain them so nobody knows that we're maintaining
- 7 them. And you feel you have an experience in there
- 8 that is forest primeval if you can have that in an
- 9 urban area.
- 10 We are heartened by the Applicant's
- 11 withdrawal of a proposal for a staircase and trail in.
- 12 This area is served by a W Street trail head and a T
- 13 Street trail head off of Hoban. There is then a trail
- 14 along the creek in Glover Archibald and there is a
- 15 volunteer or a casual trail along the ridge. And we
- are at this moment looking at making that part of the
- 17 trail system. When we do and if we do we will
- 18 eliminate any of the other meanders and adventuring
- 19 trails that are in the area.
- 20 And I think I'm available for questions
- 21 but that's the sum of my comments.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 23 you very much.
- 24 Ouestions from the Board? Cross? ANC?
- 25 Parties?

- 1 Very well. You mentioned that one access
- from the field across the Dell or the other parcel.
- 3 Did you look at the recent plans that were submitted
- 4 to us today? Do you have a copy of those?
- 5 MR. MURPHY: There's no indication of
- 6 trails if that's what --
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Or sidewalk or the
- 8 walking access.
- 9 MR. MURPHY: No. We had not seen the
- 10 sidewalk unless -- is that sidewalk --
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I thought you were
- 12 saying you were concerned that --
- MR. MURPHY: Well, I heard earlier
- 14 testimony that perhaps there would be sidewalk along
- the contour connecting the bus stop on the loop road
- 16 over to the soccer field. And we didn't see it on the
- 17 drawing.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, let's get a
- 19 quick clarification on it. That's something --
- MR. BARNES: Mr. Murphy is correct. We
- 21 plan to have a path but it's not shown. It would
- follow the contour that would connect the end of the
- loop of the road and our campus green space here with
- 24 the field, so there would be the one trail that we've
- 25 envisioned as useful for the use of the students. It

- is not shown on the drawing because of the sheer scale
- of the drawings.
- We could add such a thing if it adds
- 4 clarity for you.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What kind of trail
- 6 would it be surfaced? I mean, what --
- 7 MR. BARNES: It would probably be an
- 8 impervious surface trail. I'm sorry a pervious
- 9 surface trail, you know, three feet wide just to
- 10 accommodate pedestrian traffic between the two.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I took that
- 12 comment totally differently and so just for quick
- 13 clarification.
- 14 There seems to be as you enter into the
- 15 site, and on the right side, it looks like there's a
- sidewalk that ends just to the right a little bit more
- in the site there. What is that?
- 18 MR. BARNES: There would be a sidewalk
- 19 going down the road on both sides of the entrance
- 20 road. There is a turn at this pont where a stair
- 21 would lead you down to the level of the field.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, that's one other
- 23 access point?
- MR. BARNES: It's another access point.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.

- 1 MR. BARNES: You can from the sidewalk and
- 2 walk down to the field. So, if the one of the
- 3 neighbors is a parent and there's a game, they can
- 4 walk over and drop down onto the field as a
- 5 pedestrian.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. Okay.
- 7 Excellent. Very well. Thank you very much. We
- 8 appreciate your comments and your ever vigilant on the
- 9 forest primeval. An interesting phrase. Got to keep
- 10 that with me. Okay.
- 11 Let's move ahead then.
- 12 Did you have an easel? Someone can help
- 13 you with that. I think they're easily maneuvered.
- 14 MS. GATES: Good afternoon, Chairman
- 15 Griffis and members of the Board.
- I am Alma Gates, Chair of ANC 3D. I live
- 17 at 49 Ashby Street, NW.
- 18 With me today is Commissioner Ann Haas
- 19 representing the single member district in which the
- 20 1801 Foxhall Road property is located.
- 21 Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3D has
- 22 filed two letters reporting the Commission's
- 23 recommendations in support of Application 17429.
- 24 Since the Commission's last special meeting on May
- 25 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006, meetings have continued between the Friends

- of St. Patrick's, the Colony Hill Neighborhood
- 2 Association and the Foxhall Community Citizens
- 3 Association. And the postponement of the hearing from
- 4 February 28<sup>th</sup> until today has resulted in agreements
- 5 between those parties.
- 6 Such agreements are what the BZA and
- 7 Commission would like to see as a matter of practice.
- 8 While most conditions outlined in the
- 9 Commissions's letter are reflected in the neighborhood
- 10 agreements, there remain a few areas that have not
- 11 been included.
- 12 Without question, transportation related
- issues have been the common thread running through all
- 14 ANC meetings with the school and neighbors. The
- 15 Commission -- this is in addition to what we
- recommended.
- 17 The Commission found that a DDOT approved
- 18 traffic management plan for all stages of construction
- 19 would be required to prevent community and commuter
- 20 impacts on public roads.
- 21 An overview of the final St. Patrick's
- traffic management plan by DDOT and ANC-3D would be
- required prior to the opening of the 1801 Foxhall
- 24 campus and would include at a minimum proposed shuttle
- bus routes, pick up locations, the school's contract

- 1 with parents and the student driving policy. And
- 2 annual reports of the school's success in meeting its
- 3 goals would be furnished to DDOt and ANC-3D.
- 4 Immediately east of the playing field lies
- 5 parcel B. The northern Dell or swale that separates
- the school from the housing development. The Dell is
- 7 a 1.53 acres swath of undisturbed land that traverses
- 8 the property and ends at Whitehaven Parkway.
- 9 Earlier today you heard Alan Ward describe
- this area as the most beautiful site on the property.
- 11 It is clearly worthy of preservation.
- 12 It is forested with mature walnut trees
- 13 and natural vegetation that aid in hydrologic
- 14 cleansing for the site.
- In its February 18<sup>th</sup> letter to the Board,
- 16 page 8, the Commission specifically conditioned the
- 17 northern Dell shall be preserved as open land and for
- 18 wild life by the school in perpetuity in the form of a
- 19 binding covenant and easement pursuant to Title 42 of
- 20 the D.C. Code as a part of the real property
- 21 degradation before the recorder of deeds.
- It would be naive to believe that St.
- 23 Patrick's will not at some future time wish to
- 24 increase its student body and facilities and the Dell
- 25 provides space for such expansion.

- 1 However, a representative of the Friends
- of St. Patrick's recently informed the Commission that
- 3 the property cannot be encumbered until it is
- 4 transferred to the church and the church would have to
- 5 encumber it.
- If the intent of the Friends of St.
- 7 Patrick's is to have the Dell remain as open space,
- 8 the BZA would have to insure that intent is met by
- 9 including a condition in its final order requiring the
- 10 preservation of the Dell as open space in perpetuity.
- 11 Such a condition would encourage the
- 12 church to encumber the property once it has been
- 13 transferred.
- 14 Before we battle progress, environmental
- impacts of the proposed development will move the
- 16 special attention of the National Park Service and the
- 17 Environmental Health Administration giving it 50
- 18 percent of the entire property will be covered with
- 19 impervious surface.
- 20 Storm water chemical applications and silt
- 21 run off will require appropriate controls and
- filtering to protect the natural wetlands, Whitehaven
- 23 and Glover Archibald Parks offsite streams and the
- larger Potomac watershed.
- 25 In conclusion, ANC-3D approves the

- 1 application of the Friends of St. Patrick's for a
- 2 theoretical lot subdivision for 19 hours and the
- 3 creation of a middle and upper school at 1801 Foxhall
- 4 Road, assuming the implementation of a DDOT approved
- 5 traffic management plan and the preservation of parcel
- B in the form of a binding covenant and easement.
- 7 The number of students shall be capped at
- 8 440 and faculty and staff at 100. Additional
- 9 conditions of approval have been addressed in
- 10 agreements worked out with the neighboring communities
- and have the support of ANC-3D.
- 12 MS. HAAS: My name is Ann Haas. I'm
- 13 Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for ANC-3D/09. My
- 14 single member district includes the St. Patrick's
- property at 1801 and Foxhall Village, Colony Hill and
- 16 the Georgetown Reservoir area.
- 17 This property is the old Brady Estate
- about to be developed.
- 19 Just up the street on Foxhall at 2101,
- tree removal has begun at the old Phillips Estate.
- 21 Together, development on these two estates will
- 22 convert 35 acres of awarded sloping landscape on
- 23 Foxhall Road overlooking Grover Archibald Park and
- 24 Whitehaven Park from green space to six dozen houses
- and a new private high school and junior high.

- 1 As a result of this development, Foxhall
- 2 Road will have five traffic lights from Reservoir road
- 3 to the Field School. That's 6/10th of a mile.
- 4 St. Patrick's new school will add to the
- 5 seven private schools within a mile of the site.
- 6 We've got a map that shows them. It's on the last
- 7 page of your copies.
- 8 They account for a total of 2,600 students
- 9 and an uncounted number of staff.
- 10 Our neighborhood is not seeking economic
- 11 development. It is seeking controls on growth.
- 12 Frankly, we could use some relief.
- 13 Already traffic at Foxhall and Reservoir
- is at the F level of service. The joke is. What's
- 15 worse than F?
- 16 People who live on Foxhall above Reservoir
- have difficulty leaving their driveways after 8:00 in
- 18 the morning from Monday through Friday. Two more rush
- 19 hours follow after school and in the evening.
- The cumulative effects of the new projects
- 21 will change our community significant, adding more
- 22 cars to what is, except for two short section, a two-
- 23 lane residential road increasing its institutional
- 24 character and creating environmental pressures on
- 25 Federal parkland downstream.

- 1 These plans challenge what the Rock Creek
- 2 West area element of the Comprehensive Plan calls
- 3 neighborhood conservation.
- 4 Traffic. Thanks to negotiations between
- 5 representatives of the Foxhall Citizens Association
- and the Friends of St. Patrick's, the parties have
- 7 reached agreement on some important aspects of school
- 8 operations including traffic. We heard about those
- 9 earlier.
- 10 Right now, Foxhall Road carries 19,500
- 11 cars a day. Connecticut Avenue, a four-lane major
- 12 arterial has 35,000.
- 13 Police Captain Paula Edmonston recently
- 14 comments that traffic, not crime, is what people ask
- 15 about at citizens association meetings in Cleveland
- Park and elsewhere in Ward 3.
- 17 In recent months, the Office of Zoning has
- 18 helped to address a complaint from a neighbor about
- 19 cut-through traffic and parking related to Georgetown
- 20 day school's lower school.
- I just learned that during the school year
- 22 MacArthur boulevard experiences traffic back-ups from
- 23 Q Street to the Safeway. That's a mile away.
- I applaud the Board of Zoning Adjustment
- 25 for its order for the Field School at 2301. The

- 1 traffic cap emphasized accountability in the interest
- of the community. The Board cited density, 320
- 3 students and 74 staff on a 10-acres site, noting that
- 4 the figure was consistent with those of other private
- 5 school in the northwest quadrant of the city.
- 6 St. Patrick's is seeking more. Four
- 7 hundred and forty students and 100 staff on eight
- 8 acres. St. Patrick's may already have outgrown its
- 9 site.
- 10 Environmental Impacts. I'm concerned
- about the impact of building a junior high school and
- 12 high school gymnasium, auditorium, parking garage and
- 13 playing field in addition to what are now 28 houses on
- this site.
- The property drains to the two Federal
- 16 parklands. Foundry Branch and Grover Archibald Park
- is a tributary to the Potomac River which in turn in a
- 18 tributary to the Chesapeake Bay.
- 19 This project will convert 50 percent of 17
- 20 acres to impervious surfaces. As a comparison, the
- 21 Field School property is 27 percent impervious. I'd
- like to see the spring house and southern dell
- 23 protected from the 17-foot retaining wall that we
- understand is planned to prevent erosion.
- In its letter of February 18<sup>th</sup> to the Board

Zoning Adjustment, ANC-3D called for 1 of conditions for environmental protection in reference 2. to the school. That included preserving in perpetuity 3 Dell, treating Whitehaven parkland 4 the environmental education opportunity 5 asset and by working with the Park Service to insure that there's 6 7 no encroachment on the park during or 8 construction of the new campus, requiring the school to work with the Environmental Health Administration 9 10 develop storm water management practices minimize adverse downstream environmental effects. 11

Conditions in the theoretical lots subdivision include establishing a disturbance buffer area in coordination with the Park Service for lots that abut Federal property and protecting the buffer.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Other conditions require the St. Patrick's wish to develop an approved species plant list in consultation with the Park Service and to plant trees and shrubs that are on the approved list in the buffer area, also using environmentally friendly products.

Finally, St. Patrick's is required through our ANC recommendations in consultation with the National Park Service and the District's Environmental Health Administration to implement best management practices to control erosion and protect the natural

- drainage channels, waters of the U.S. and the adjacent
- 2 parklands. Modify the forester water discharges to
- increase bio-filtration and ground water recharge.
- 4 The Field School's storm water management
- is one aspect that didn't perform as expected. I'd
- 6 like to be sure that the old Brady Estate will not
- 7 experience similar erosion and flooding.
- 8 One resident downstream of the Field
- 9 School had a crevice at the foot of her lot. Run off
- 10 filled the crevice and created a pond that a pair of
- 11 mallards then moved into and called home.
- 12 The school to its credit resolved the
- issue by regrading the site and installing pipes.
- 14 Water damage fits the description of otherwise
- 15 objectionable conditions.
- I endorse the environmental actions that
- 17 the Friends of White Haven recommended in its letter
- 18 to the Chair of the Board of Zoning Adjustment on this
- 19 proposal.
- 20 Private school's expansion. On July 14<sup>th</sup>,
- 21 2005, the Washington Post explored the effects of
- 22 private schools on residential communities in a story
- 23 entitled "Some Schools With No Where to Grow". The
- 24 reporter noted that during the last five years, at
- least a dozen schools have either sought to expand

- 1 their facilities or increase their enrollment.
- 2 The story cited the National Child
- 3 Research Center and the Board's action in saying no to
- 4 a request for an increase in the student cap. Again,
- 5 I applaud the Board.
- 6 The story also mentioned another school
- 7 that was looking for a satellite campus having
- 8 outgrown its first campus. St. Patrick's may need yet
- 9 another campus.
- 10 This is not an unusual situation. The
- 11 Field School has a second campus. Georgetown Day
- 12 School does and so does Rock Creek International.
- 13 With 440 students and 100 teachers, St.
- 14 Patrick's will be the single largest combined facility
- in our area. More than 1,000 people will come and go
- 16 each day.
- 17 Public Benefits. The fact that Sibley
- 18 Hospital is contemplated a significant expansion of
- 19 the medical office building and in return we need to
- 20 provide benefits for the surrounding neighborhood made
- 21 me think that it would not inappropriate for private
- 22 schools operating in residential districts to do the
- 23 same. That is, private schools may seek ways to help
- 24 the community in which, as one philosopher has said,
- 25 they are quests. Granted they're not commercial

- 1 enterprises. They do, however, benefit from an
- 2 infrastructure provided by taxpayers while enjoying an
- 3 exemption from taxes that enable the city to operate.
- 4 What can St. Patrick's offer as a
- 5 contribution to the community? Monitor Foundry Branch
- 6 and Grover Archibald Park with ecologists and
- 7 hydrologists from the Center for Urban Ecology, help
- 8 the National Park Service clean up Whitehaven Park,
- 9 plant trees to prevent erosion and clean the air,
- 10 paint a house or a public building that's in need of
- 11 attention, tutor local students, mow lawns or rake
- 12 leaves for senior citizens, invite neighbors to
- evening lectures by area specialists.
- 14 I look for St. Patrick's to demonstrate
- its commitment to being a good neighbor. I look for
- 16 ways to forge a partnership in that goal.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 18 you very much.
- 19 How about opening up their fields for use
- of church-related groups or other organized sporting
- 21 events?
- 22 MS. HAAS: We'd like to look into that a
- 23 bit, I think.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Actually, it
- 25 goes right to that. That's one of the previous ANC

- 1 conditions that it was prohibiting.
- 2 You talked about just in your ending
- 3 comments about how this could be a good neighbor or a
- 4 participant in the community.
- 5 What other aspects outside of doing things
- for sites off campus, how do you see the campus
- 7 actually being integrated into the surrounding area?
- 8 MS. HAAS: Well, I feel sure that parents
- 9 of St. Patrick's students represent a wealth of
- intellectual capabilities and interesting professions.
- 11 I think they could -- maybe there would be a
- 12 discussion forum that they'd like to sponsor.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: At the school in the
- 14 evening?
- MS. HAAS: Possibly, yes. I guess the
- 16 parkland is my real interest. And I think with all
- those kids there, there's a lot of potential.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. Sure. Okay.
- 19 Excellent. Thank you very much. Do appreciate that.
- 20 Questions from the Board? Cross?
- 21 Parties? No questions.
- 22 Excellent. You wouldn't have a reduced
- copy of that, would you?
- 24 MS. HAAS: It should be in the last page
- of your testimony.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good.
- MS. HAAS: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The testimony you
- 4 handed in today?
- 5 MS. HAAS: Yes. It's stapled to the back.
- 6 Just keep going.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We got one sheet.
- 8 MS. HAAS: One sheet?
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's up here. Did
- 10 you give it to the reporter?
- MS. HAAS: No. I gave 15 copies with --
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: To who?
- MS. HAAS: Yes. I did.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MS. HAAS: Here they come.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: There it is. We'll
- 17 give them to Ms. Bailey who is incredible effective in
- 18 getting them to us. Okay.
- 19 If there's nothing further then, thank you
- 20 very much.
- Let's move ahead and say a very good
- afternoon to Mr. Lawson from the Office of Planning.
- 23 MR. LAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Members
- of the Board.
- 25 My name is Joel Lawson with the D.C.

- 1 Office of Planning and I'm here today actually
- 2 representing Maxine Brown Roberts who is the
- development review specialist who is in charge of this
- 4 project.
- 5 I'm just going to give a very brief update
- 6 in summary of the OP analysis.
- 7 OP continues to support this proposal.
- 8 The Applicant has adequately addressed the
- 9 requirements of Section 206 to allow the private
- 10 school on this site. The siting design and
- 11 programming agreement should minimize potential noise
- 12 or traffic impacts.
- OP particularly supports efforts to
- 14 encourage alternatives to single car drivers to the
- 15 school such as car pooling, shuttles services and
- 16 bicycling.
- 17 The Applicant has also adequately
- 18 addressed the requirements in Section 2516 to allow
- 19 the theoretical lots subdivision with some of the
- 20 buildings not fronting onto public streets.
- The single family dwelling form of
- development is permitted in this zone and individual
- 23 lots will conform to zoning requirements and be
- 24 consistent with the area character.
- 25 Finally, OP has no concerns with the

proposed changes to Foxhall Road and reducing the 1 number of the units by one. We also have no concerns 2 with the Operations Plans and architectural guidelines 3 provided today resulting from agreements between the 4 Applicant and area residents. And I should note that 5 6 the Applicant and the neighborhood are to be commended 7 for their many hours of hard work in reaching these 8 agreements. We don't always see that and it's always 9 nice when it does happen.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With regards to the conditions proposed in Office of Planning the Report attached to our recommendation, OP has not had an opportunity to fully assess them in detail against today's submissions by the Applicant, the Operations Plan and the Architectural Guidelines. However, they appear to be fully consistent, although in the OP Report the school quidelines number 5 should read "No new activities shall begin on the field after 5;30 for p.m." consistency with the submissions of today.

If the BZA includes the Applicant's Operations Plan and Architectural Guidelines in the order, it would not seem necessary to separately address these issues as conditions as recommended in the OP recommendation because they are addressed through the Applicant's submissions and would also be

- 1 in the order.
- 2 However, further discussion at today's
- 3 meeting today has made me think that I might want to
- 4 note a couple of conditions where that OP would agree
- 5 with and would seem to be consistent with the
- 6 discussion today and also consistent with the
- 7 intentions of the Applicant.
- 8 First of all, Condition Number 13 on OP's
- 9 Report was monitoring a tree protection during
- 10 construction by certified arborists. It may be
- 11 appropriate to expand that a little bit to say
- 12 something to the effect of "that the approval of
- 13 protective measures by a certified arborist, such
- 14 measures to be installed prior to construction for
- individual trees and for groupings of trees as well as
- 16 for monitoring of these protection measures by a
- 17 certified arborist. And this would be to insure that
- 18 those protective measures were installed adequately
- and installed in time so that they're in place before
- 20 any construction damage could happen to the trees
- 21 which is when usually the damage actually happens to
- 22 those trees.
- 23 And, lastly, as Commissioner Mann's
- 24 suggestion, OP would certainly not be opposed to a
- 25 condition to assure that the installation of gates at

- 1 the entrances to this site does not happen.
- 2 And that concludes my testimony and I'm
- 3 available for questions.
- 4 Thanks.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 6 you very much. Do appreciate it and it's an excellent
- 7 update on your conditions.
- I have some questions on your last
- 9 condition prior to 13, actually look at 8 through 12.
- 10 They're going towards the design, perhaps integrity
- of what's being proposed.
- 12 I'm not sure -- can you speak to those a
- 13 little bit? I'm not questioning them necessarily,
- just not understanding why it would be critical of one
- 15 to have a requirement of 30 percent of any facade
- 16 facing Hoban to be fenestrated. Also, again,
- 17 dimensional limitations of that type.
- 18 MR. LAWSON: I think it's a valid concern
- 19 because the Office of Planning usually doesn't
- 20 involved in that level of design detail in our
- 21 conditions. I think in this case we included it in
- the report because we weren't quite sure what kind of
- 23 agreement was going to happen between the Applicant
- 24 and the neighborhood. We knew that these were
- 25 concerns of certainly elements within the neighborhood

and so we felt it was appropriate that they be included as conditions in our report.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We believe, again, this is my cursory analysis the submissions today, of that these conditions are more than adequately addressed in the submissions from the Applicant. And that's why I'm suggesting that maybe you don't want to include these conditions to make sure that there's no inconsistency between the two and to make sure that they're the more detailed and agreed to condition between the Applicant and the neighborhood are what's actually what's kind of included in the order.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. And it was your understanding the basis of these came from the discussions from the Applicant and where the basis of the creation of the HOA agreement that we have before us today. And it is to maintain what kind of architectural character of what would be developed?

MR. LAWSON: It is to address the architectural sort of character concerns that are being raised by the surrounding neighborhood, as well as discussions with the Applicant. To address some of those basic concerns in our report because, again, we weren't quite sure how much agreement there would be prior to this hearing between the Applicant and

- 1 whether they should be addressed in the Office of
- 2 Planning Report just in case agreements weren't
- 3 reached with the neighborhood.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Excellent.
- 5 And window openings not exceeding four
- 6 feet by ten feet precludes any contemporary
- 7 architecture. Is that --
- 8 MR. LAWSON: I should note that the actual
- 9 criteria themselves, the actual guidelines. The
- 10 Office of Planning doesn't have any major concern one
- 11 way or another, other than making sure that the
- 12 character of the buildings is in conformance with the
- 13 surrounding character and, you know, in support of
- 14 the agreements that have been reached between the
- 15 adjacent neighbors.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
- 17 Excellent. Thank you very much, Mr. Lawson, and we
- appreciate you being here to present this.
- 19 Questions from the board? Additional
- 20 clarifications? Very well.
- 21 Cross? No cross. ANC, yes.
- MS. GATES: Mr. Lawson, this is really a
- 23 point of clarification.
- 24 Did I understand you to say that the
- Office of Planning would withdraw conditions that are

- 1 not covered? Excuse me. Would withdraw conditions
- 2 that are covered in the agreements between the
- 3 community groups and the Friends of St. Patrick's?
- 4 MR. LAWSON: Good afternoon, Ms. Gates.
- 5 It's really the Office of Planning would
- 6 have no objection not duplicating these conditions.
- 7 If the conditions are duplicated between what the
- 8 Office of Planning had drafted or if they're
- 9 inconsistent with the agreement between the
- 10 neighborhood and the Applicant, we believe that it's
- 11 appropriate for the agreement between -- that the
- agreement that the neighborhood came up with to be the
- one that's adopted into the order.
- MS. GATES: Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 16 you very much.
- I take a note just because the Office of
- 18 Planning does address it and I think it's an important
- 19 aspect that I've seen to this application. And that's
- the introduction of sidewalks which seems to be a very
- 21 simple thing and very kind of common sense in an urban
- 22 area. But I think it's phenomenal, one, to see it
- 23 through the site. The Office of Planning picks this
- 24 up in terms of the Bicycle Initiative and also the
- 25 communication and ingress and egress of pedestrian,

- but just along Foxhall. But more importantly, we've
- 2 seen numerous re-developments and theoretical lots and
- 3 even in developments in the r-5 which needs to come to
- 4 the Board in terms of multiple houses. And it's often
- 5 not the first thing that a developer would look at
- doing as putting actual pedestrian walks through this.
- 7 I think it's even more critical in terms of the
- 8 varying people that will be utilizing it and not just
- 9 those that are going in and out of the school site and
- 10 the residential site. But those that will be passing
- 11 by. Obviously, we are aware. Things are happening to
- 12 the north and are in existence to the south. And I
- 13 think it is very well thought out on how it connects
- from that level to itself and to the surrounding area.
- That being said, I believe we have gone
- 16 through all of the Government reports and agency
- 17 reports unless I have missed any others. If anyone is
- aware of anything, bring it to our attention at this
- 19 point.
- Not noting any others then, let us move
- ahead.
- Let me see a show of hands of persons
- 23 present that would like to provide testimony in
- 24 support of the application. Are the persons present?
- 25 Very good. We're going to do -- good. We're going

- to hold the parties to the side so just persons. And
- then I'll take persons in opposition. And then I'd
- 3 like to bring the parties altogether if you don't
- 4 mind. I'll take them a little bit out of order, but
- 5 let's bring persons.
- 6 So, persons who will provide testimony in
- 7 support of the application can come forward at this
- 8 time. Bring up everybody. Excellent.
- 9 One, two, three. Do we have anyone else
- 10 that would like to provide testimony? Good. We still
- 11 have a few of the expensive seats on this side and
- then we'll go the cheap seats. Either way. We'll
- 13 fill them out. Perfect. Perfect.
- Okay. And as I said, we'll just have you
- 15 state you name and address to the record prior to
- 16 moving forward. Hopefully, you put the cards into the
- 17 recorder. If not, you can do that after your
- 18 testimony. You are limited to three minutes. I'll
- 19 keep watch up here. We won't turn the buzzer on
- 20 because it's terribly disruptive. And why don't we
- 21 start on my left with you, sir?
- Well, we can start it a different way.
- 23 And you can just turn the microphone on. Perfect.
- 24 MR. KEFFER: Good afternoon. My name is
- 25 Jeff Keffer. And I live at 5332 2 Carolina Place, in

- 1 the Palisades.
- 2 I've lived there for 15 years. My wife
- and I have three children who attend St. Patrick's
- 4 there rising second, fifth and sixth graders and this
- is our eight year of association with the school.
- Additionally, I'm a former trustee of the
- 7 school and I've been here before. I was active in the
- 8 community and neighborhood discussions about the
- 9 middle school on MacArthur Boulevard and Ashby some
- 10 years back.
- I'm a key supporter of St. Patrick's,
- 12 specifically in building schools generally, I think
- 13 schools belongs in neighborhoods where the kids are.
- 14 And, in particular, I support schools where there's a
- demonstrated need as there is for a new coed Episcopal
- 16 high school in the District.
- So, with that as background, I'd just like
- 18 to address a few point that have been on my mind.
- 19 The first is that clearly the property at
- 20 1801 Foxhall will certainly be developed in the near
- 21 future if not by Friends of St. Patrick's then by
- 22 somebody else. It's just too attractive a parcel and
- 23 too large a parcel to not be put to some use fairly
- soon.
- 25 It's my observation that St. Patrick's has

- 1 learned a great deal with its experience working with
- the ANC and the other neighbors near MacArthur and 2
- Ashby on the middle school proposal a few years ago. 3
- I think the neighbors and St. Patrick's would agree 4
- that the relationships there are strong and that St. 5
- Patrick's has honored its commitment to the neighbors 6
- 7 and has fully complied with the conditions of the BZA
- 8 order government the middle school.
- As to its planning for a high school on 9
- the Foxhall property, the Friends of St. Patrick's
- endeavored to develop 11 group has its proposal
- diligently and as transparently as possible, freely 12
- sharing information on the website and through a 13
- 14 continuous series of meetings with interested parties.
- This intense, honest community dialogue 15
- St. Patrick's desire 16 demonstrates to develop
- proposal that is workable for all parties and best for 17
- 18 the neighborhood.

10

- The BZA, ANC and the neighbors have an 19
- opportunity to work with a trustworthy partner. 20
- Patrick's has demonstrated a deep commitment to the 21
- 22 neighborhood and with the neighbors surrounding the
- middle school, has developed a track record of working 23
- closely and productively with the community to address 24
- 25 and resolve concerns. It's not likely that the ANC or

- the abutting neighbors will find a better partner with
- whom to work in developing the 1801 Foxhall property
- 3 than St. Patrick's.
- It seems to me that the ultimate question
- 5 then is not whether the construction of a new high
- 6 school and 20 plus homes will cause some change in the
- 7 neighborhood. Unquestionably, it will as will any
- 8 development of the property.
- 9 In my view, the correct question is
- 10 whether St. Patrick's is a partner that the neighbors
- and the ANC can trust and work with to achieve the
- 12 best possible outcomes in terms of traffic,
- 13 residential architectural esthetics, preservation of
- 14 green space, sensible land use and housing density,
- 15 attention to environmental concerns, use of parkland
- 16 as an educational resource and special circumstances
- 17 attendant to schools.
- 18 I firmly believe that St. Patrick's and
- 19 the Friends of St. Patrick's are worthy partners of
- 20 the community in the suburb and I thank you for
- 21 hearing my comments.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- you very much. Do appreciate it.
- 24 Yes.
- 25 MS. CARTER: My name is Christina Carter

- and my address is 4941 Tilden Street in Spring Valley,
- N.W. Washington.
- I'm proud to say I'm a resident of the
- 4 District of Columbia for 25 years. And the reason I'm
- 5 here today is not just to support the St. Patrick's
- 6 high school. I am very, very involved in education in
- 7 our city and I feel very passionately about education
- 8 for our children, whether that's public and my
- 9 children have all attended a public school, Horace
- 10 Mann Elementary School, charter schools, private,
- 11 special needs schools.
- 12 We as residents of the District of
- 13 Columbia in northwest Washington really do need
- 14 educational options for our children. And, frankly,
- 15 I've been a little shocked at hearing some of the
- 16 discussion about wetlands, forest, maintaining certain
- 17 forests and doing all these sort of things and I
- 18 haven't really heard a whole lot of discussion about
- 19 what this is all about, the education options for our
- 20 children.
- Because the fact of the matter is that we
- 22 moved from Capitol Hill to northwest Washington to
- 23 raise our family and we need to educate three children
- 24 and we need educational options for our three
- children.

- As I said, we have chosen to send our children to Horace Mann Elementary School, a D.C.
- 3 public school which is a beautiful, wonderful,
- 4 educationally superior school and one that's
- 5 culturally diverse. But the fact of the matter is,
- 6 that school only survives because the community has
- 7 put resources together and the parents are actively
- 8 involved financially and involved in the operation of
- 9 the school.
- 10 It ends at sixth grade. I will be here to
- tell you that the option for sixth grade and I had one
- going into sixth grade, was Hardy. Now, I'm sure that
- everyone in this Board has seen Hardy Middle School.
- 14 It's in desperate, desperate need of renovation. It's
- 15 sub-standard in terms of its academic performance and
- 16 there aren't that many options available to middle
- 17 schoolers. And that's why I heartedly approve that
- 18 you finally approved the St. Patrick's Middle School
- 19 because there are not that many options. And out of
- 20 the 29 sixth graders graduating last year from Horace
- 21 Mann, not one chose the Hardy Middle School or the
- 22 other deal which is the other school.
- 23 And the fact of the matter is I understand
- 24 all the individual homeowner's concerns about the
- 25 impact on their particular property. I really do. But

- I think I speak for the silent majority of us who are going to have to make a decision as to whether we can stay in the District of Columbia. We need education
- 4 al options for our schools. I mean for our children
- 5 to go to school.
- And I for one applaud St. Patrick's for 6 7 going through this incredible process to put in a high 8 school. I mean it is really quite daunting. And the amount of things to placate individual neighbors. 9 10 hope this Board really seriously considers the silent majority of us who really need options for our 11 12 children. And that includes a nursery school and that includes a special ed situation. And that includes a 13 14 charter school. And I think St. Patrick's has done a 15 great job of making concessions to the neighbors and trying to do a wide variety of things. But after all, 16 we are talking about a school. And we need schools or 17 18 we will not be able to retain families in the District and we will not be able to attract families. 19
- Luckily, my child was accepted and this
  was long before in the seventh grade at St. Patrick's.

  And it has been an absolutely fabulous experience for
  her. But I will tell you that one of the very
  critical issues that I have as a resident of northwest

  Washington is I want my three children close by.

- 1 After 9/11, I decided that I was not going to be in a position where I was going to send my children out to 2 various schools in Maryland and Virginia. 3 And I will tell you that on my corner alone, seven buses roll by 4 starting at 6:45 in the morning until 7:30 taking kids 5 out to Virginia and Maryland. But I want my children 6 7 close by. And I have to have those options or I'm 8 going to have to move.
- And the threat is still very real. 9 Ι 10 mean, just two weeks ago the D.C. public school system had a lock down. A security lock down. The result of 11 some sort of security breach which I don't know about. 12 And it's what I'm talking about and what I'd really 13 14 like the Board to seriously consider is, I'm not the only one that feels this way. I really speak for a 15 majority of people who have children that live in our 16 neighborhood. And I hope you don't look at this as a 17 18 private school or an episcopal school. It is one educational option. And it's one that we need. 19
  - And to be honest with you, my property value, the unrenovated center hall, four bedroom colonial with a 1928 kitchen really does depend on the education options for our children.
- So, I applaud all the effort that everyone has made to look at the environmental impact and the

20

21

22

23

- 1 traffic impact. But let's no lose sight of the fact
- that this is a school and this is one school. And you
- 3 cannot compare a nursery school to a high school.
- 4 So, thank you for your time.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much,
- 6 Ms. Carter. Good afternoon.
- 7 MS. KLINGENBERG: My name is Kankunda
- 8 Klingenberg and I live on 4589 MacArthur Boulevard.
- 9 I've taught at St. Patrick's Day School
- 10 for 15 years and lived in the neighborhood and
- 11 attended church at St. Patrick's church for more than
- 12 20 years.
- 13 In the 15 years that I've worked with the
- 14 school intimately, I can say without a shadow of doubt
- 15 that the commitment of St. Patrick's surrounding
- 16 community has grown.
- 17 As a faculty member, neighbor,
- 18 parishioner, I feel I can speak to the unwavering
- 19 commitments of St. Patrick's school and church at the
- 20 student, academic and community service level.
- 21 St. Patrick's is a pillar of the community
- 22 that carries great concern for both its immediate
- 23 neighborhood and the greater Washington community.
- 24 For example, outreach programs like grade
- 25 patrol ministering to the elderly, both through the

- 1 church and school -- I'm talking about grade patrol.
- 2 The kids go out and serve the homeless. And upper
- 3 school kids visit the elderly at -- house.
- 4 And we also have a program where the kids
- 5 bag food for St. Phillips in Anacostia.
- 6 From the very early planning stages of the
- 7 1801 Foxhall project, St. Patrick's has incorporated
- 8 the necessary characteristics needed to give our
- 9 community a long lasting asset to the neighborhood and
- 10 the Washington, D.C. area.
- It is my sincere hope that you will give
- 12 St. Patrick's the same opportunity to be a good
- 13 neighbor, 1801 Foxhall, as they have been at the Ashby
- 14 MacArthur campus offering a nearby alternative for
- families with children of middle and high school age a
- 16 new and enlargement of the school commitment to the
- 17 education of the community's children.
- 18 As a school, we believe that St. Patrick's
- 19 will take this stewardship beyond the bounds of our
- 20 school into the community at large.
- 21 Speaking as a member of both the church
- and school community, I can say with a conviction that
- 23 the relationship of the church and the day school is
- 24 central to a mission in both outreach and education.
- 25 It is the foundation of our commitment to the

- 1 students' moral and spiritual growth and the source of
- their participation in service to others.
- With the help of students and their
  families, I run the grade patrol program serving the
  community in this way teaches them not only the
  importance of service as it makes them a service, feel
  good, but how to truly be of a service to the
  community around them.
- We hope that the fullest expressions of the day school Episcopal and spiritual identity are evident day in and day out in a nourishing and loving community that cultivates caring and acceptance of one another as unique individuals and children of God.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- This fall St. Patrick's will celebrate its 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary providing nursery and elementary education to Washington area children. We will look back with pride on a half century of excellence, examine with enthusiasm fresh way to make them into our talented young people in the present and extend our efforts to build an exciting new future for St. Patrick's school.
- 22 strongly ask you to approve St. application to 23 Patrick's zoning build the best educational Episcopal middle and upper school. 24 You 25 will be doing the city and surrounding areas a great

- 1 service.
- 2 Thank you
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much.
- 4 MR. FENNIGAN: Does this work? Yes. I
- 5 guess it does not.
- To start off with, my apologies for my
- 7 voice. Last night I caught kind of a nasty little
- 8 microbe courtesy of my very lovely daughters. So, if
- 9 I sound a little rough, please excuse me.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I thought you might
- 11 be screaming at the Nationals game. I was there. It
- wasn't so exciting.
- 13 If you would just state your name.
- 14 MR. FENNIGAN: My name is Robert Fennigan.
- 15 I live on 4525 Salem Lane. And Salem is -- that
- 16 location is adjacent to the Foxhall and the Reservoir
- 17 intersection.
- 18 So, I live right at the point of
- 19 contention here with traffic and everything else that
- we have at St. Patrick's.
- So, I'd just like to say just a few
- things.
- I'm a member of the community. I live
- 24 adjacent to this Reservoir intersection so I'm
- 25 familiar with the issues here. We moved here over 10

- 1 years ago from the Woodley Park/Cleveland Park area to
- 2 be close to St. Patrick's because we sent our children
- 3 there.
- 4 And I have substantial experience with the
- 5 school because I've been there quite awhile with the
- 6 community and the association, with the traffic and
- 7 with raising children.
- 8 Furthermore, I just wanted to mention that
- 9 I have followed this issue. I've attended association
- 10 meetings. I've listened to both side with what I hope
- and believe is an open mind. I believe I have a good
- understanding of the issues that concern both the St.
- 13 Patrick's community and members of my community
- 14 because I'm on both sides of the table. And I care a
- great deal about both and I've tried, even though I
- 16 have a lot of friends at St. Patrick's and a lot of
- friend in the community, I've tried to stay as apart
- 18 as I could from both sides so that I could take an
- 19 objective look and give me, either advice or point of
- view, and without being considered a partisan.
- 21 And I think having listened to all the
- 22 discussion, my belief is that the high school
- 23 development on the Foxhall property is, I think,
- 24 probably the best development option that we have in
- 25 that community. And the reason I think that is as

- 1 follows.
- 2 St. Patrick's has been there for quite
- 3 awhile. They are a very good set of people. They've
- 4 proven themselves to be an excellent steward of their
- 5 current facilities and they've proven themselves to be
- a highly responsible and responsive neighbor.
- 7 You know, we've had experience with lots
- 8 of other people in the area. George Washington has
- 9 come in and we put the lab school and we've got
- 10 Georgetown Day School. And I think a lot of people in
- 11 the community are a little gun shy because,
- 12 particularly with the GW experience often times it was
- 13 -- they felt that, you know, they weren't necessarily
- dealt with in the most appropriate way.
- I think at St. Patrick's my feeling is
- 16 that with all the talk out of the way that their
- 17 performance has been excellent. We had a problem
- 18 early on when they developed the middle school and
- 19 parking. The community was very concerned about it.
- 20 I was concerned about it. They instituted -- St.
- 21 Patrick's instituted some change, managing the car
- 22 pooling and the rest and that was about, you know,
- 23 five to eight years ago. And I've got to say that
- 24 with a middle school with significantly more people,
- it is the case now that the traffic is less onerous

- 1 than it was.
- 2 And when we're exchanging the information,
- 3 representations back and forth, I think it's very
- 4 useful, I guess, to be able to highlight some place
- 5 where we can actually look at a real track record.
- 6 What have they actually done.
- 7 In that regard, I know from being a parent
- 8 that, you know, Peter Barrett and the rest of crowd,
- 9 they are very, very much on top of us as parents to
- 10 conform to the car pool plan so that we don't increase
- 11 traffic in the neighborhood and they've been very
- 12 assiduous about that. And the results are clear.
- 13 There's less traffic with more students.
- So, I think that's a very significant
- point here. I think if we're going to have a high
- school, we want to make sure we have a set of people
- 17 that can run it well, that have a track record of
- 18 running it well, that are concerned about the
- 19 representations they make, that work hard and
- 20 competently to keep those representations. And St.
- 21 Patrick's has actually don't it. So, I think that's a
- 22 significant issue.
- 23 The other issue is that I think the high
- 24 school in general is badly needed. I mean, many of us
- 25 who are in the District, particularly when you go to

- the high school level and you apply for your children,
- what you find out is the quality of good high schools
- around is remarkably scarce. And the amount of seats
- 4 available at the high school level is remarkably
- 5 scarce. And I think that has implications for D.C. as
- 6 a whole.
- 7 We have often times thought about
- 8 potentially moving out to Maryland where there are
- 9 more available high school and lots more space. We've
- 10 decided to stay here because St. Patrick's was here,
- 11 because Georgetown Day was here, but if the high
- 12 school is there, that's is a non-trivial magnet. And
- 13 I think in the larger context of thinking what's good
- 14 for Washington, D.C. as well as the lower Foxhall
- area, I think that's a non-trivial consideration.
- The other thing is that this high school
- 17 would be really a Class A high school. We have, and
- there's a real deficit of Class A high schools around.
- 19 And there's no question that this will be one.
- The quality of the education at St.
- 21 Patrick's now at the nursery level and the elementary
- level is outstanding. And I don't see that changing
- 23 at all for any reason.
- So, if we have to have a high school, let
- 25 it be a very good one. And let it be as strong a

magnet as possible, run by people who are as reliable and community oriented as we can possibly get. And I think that's what we have here.

The very last thing is that I think the quality generally of the school, the way they are structured on the school grounds is quite compatible with the area. There will still be a lot of green left, like they've tried to do it right. They haven't tried to institute any of the cheap buildings or kind of cut corners in the design. And I think that's important because it's an upscale area. The buildings and the ground should reflect that and I think they have.

And so all the way around I would just like to say, I think in closing that I think more than any other development option that we have available to us in the community, this is the one. And I think generally when I've got meetings of the Foxhall Citizens Association, it isn't always those people that speak up, but I think in general when we talk about if St. Patrick's were to provide assurances on the basics, which is traffic, which is very mettlesome at the Foxhall intersection and parking which they have done. The parking is on site. And if they make commitments to keep the kind of the noise and

- disturbance level off hours at reasonable levels, my
- 2 general feeling is most of the community is very
- 3 comfortable with St. Patrick's.
- 4 And so I think I'd like to just say that I
- 5 think I stand with the rest of them.
- And that's the representation I'd like to
- 7 make. So, thank you.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 9 you very much.
- 10 Questions from the Board? Any cross?
- 11 Applicant? ANC? Parties?
- I want to thank you all for coming down
- and giving your testimony. Of course, it's very
- 14 critical for the Board to hear from you.
- We've heard numerous letters in support of
- the application and hearing it in person also is an
- 17 excellent opportunity from talking about eight years
- 18 association and the development being imminent to the
- 19 development that's been chosen as a good high school
- 20 and a good option. Something with a real track
- 21 record. I think that's important for us to
- 22 understand.
- 23 When we look at being good community
- 24 members, certainly that's something that the school
- 25 has been brought forth in their testimony. And, Ms.

- 1 Carter, when we look at the substance of it and what's
- before us, I think that is a factor. However, do not
- 3 go away thinking that we've lost our minds because
- 4 we're not delving into the quality of education here.
- 5 Unfortunately, or maybe fortunately, we
- are stuck with being responsible only for that in DCMR
- 7 11, Title 11 which is Zoning, which doesn't always
- 8 become the most fascinating. But what we're charged
- 9 with doing and actually measuring is almost from a
- 10 negative standpoint, I would say. Section 206 of
- which we're here for today charges us with assessing
- 12 and judging whether the location of a school might
- create some objectionable conditions.
- So, no where have I found in our
- 15 regulations the ability for us to judge the quality of
- 16 education that is provided. Of course, that factors
- 17 in. It's good. I know your point, but I don't want
- 18 it to be lost in the fact that we are often times just
- 19 tied with the more dry and mundane.
- 20 MS. CARTER: I understand that. But it's
- 21 still very important to evaluate individual objection
- that particular neighbors are making.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Certainly.
- 24 Certainly.
- 25 MS. CARTER: Vis-a-vis, the import on the

- 1 rest of us who have a vested interest too and will
- 2 impact our property values as well.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
- 4 MS. CARTER: They're just not vocal.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And I think that's a
- 6 critical point.
- 7 MS. CARTER: And that was sort of what I
- 8 was trying to get at.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No. And absolutely,
- and it's not lost on me and I don't think it's lost on
- 11 the Board. There is always a screaming quietness of
- 12 testimony in all applications. But we are also
- charged with looking at the very substantive elements.
- 14 And this is unique in terms of other 206
- 15 applications that we have. Is we have relief
- 16 requested under 2516 which is a theoretical lot
- 17 subdivision, which is long and laborious. It's a huge
- 18 section actually and an incredible amount of
- 19 specificity that has to be either referred to or
- agencies have to analyze and, of course, we're charged
- 21 with making sure that all happens. And then we are
- charge with looking at the specifics in it.
- So, with that, we get into all those.
- In the end, all we're doing today, of
- course, is establishing and creating an entire record

- 1 which the Board will then diligently and look at,
- 2 review and then render our judgment.
- But, again, I thank you all for being
- 4 here. It's very helpful and indeed very substantive.
- 5 And with that, there is still time left to
- 6 enjoy a beautiful day, if you would like. If not,
- 7 certainly hang around ane listen to the rest of this.
- 8 Let's gather anyone else that wants to
- 9 provide testimony.
- 10 Are there any others in support that came
- 11 in?
- 12 I'd like to get the panel next in
- opposition. Persons in opposition.
- 14 And then as I said, we'll bring up the
- parties again for presentation of their cases as they
- 16 have evolved.
- Good. Others to provide persons?
- 18 Let me just be clear because often I am
- 19 not.
- If anyone is here that is anticipating
- 21 presenting testimony, either for, against, neutral,
- good jokes? Very well. We have nothing further then,
- 23 it is all you.
- 24 MS. FERGUSON: My name is Sidney Ferguson
- and I live at 4508 Hoban Road, directly across from

- the intended development by Friends of St. Patrick's.
- I am here today as an individual person
- 3 who lives across the street. I have been a party to
- 4 more than 60 or 70 meetings as a member of the
- 5 Architectural Covenants, but I come here as a single
- 6 homeowner today and not representing CHNA.
- 7 I'm concerned about the BZA after
- 8 listening to many of the comments today because many
- 9 of the concerns that were brought up by the Park
- 10 Service, ground water run off and a variety of other
- issues were not actually brought to the attention in
- the meetings that I have attended.
- 13 And more importantly, I think it's
- important for you all to understand that the Colony
- 15 Hill Neighborhood Association came to an agreement
- 16 with the Friends of St. Patrick's after having made
- 17 many, many agreements on homeowner's association
- 18 covenants on architectural review and what not.
- 19 I'm alarmed after sitting here all day to
- hear that, in fact, while I think it's a wonderful
- idea in concept that the school may be used for other
- 22 purposes knowing that our group made concessions and
- 23 went with St. Patrick's on this having been agreed
- 24 that there wouldn't be games on Sundays, that things
- 25 would stop at the school around 7:00 at night. And a

- 1 lot of these things, it concerns me greatly.
- 2 Our property values for those of us who
- 3 live directly across the street on the top of Hoban
- 4 will be severely impacted by the seven-year
- 5 construction time. But also just by the fact that an
- 6 entire forest will leaving as they put all these
- 7 houses in.
- 8 We all came to an agreement because the
- 9 neighborhood negotiated for those specific things
- 10 about when the games would be, how many games it would
- 11 be. It doesn't sound like a very big deal. But
- 12 actually we have a terrific amount of noise from the
- 13 airplanes overhead and there is probably more noise
- 14 specifically where we are than in many other parts of
- 15 the District just because of the airport noise of the
- planes. Particularly, when the weather is bad.
- So, I urge you as you look at what it is
- that we're agreeing to and knowing that they want to
- 19 come back later on to talk about a summer camp, and
- these other things, we have to consider the agreements
- 21 that were made, some at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour and the people
- that agreed to those.
- I'd also like to urge you to consider the
- 24 traffic situation. We don't have a consensus that
- 25 Hoban should be a two-way street. I know it will take

- 1 another hearing.
- 2 Moving at the top of that street now I
- 3 almost get run over every morning by somebody cutting
- 4 through about 40 miles an hour. I do have two
- 5 children. I have a dog who I walk. And I think if
- 6 it's changed to two-way, it's going to be very
- 7 difficult for all of us.
- I understand that progress must go on. I
- 9 just want to be sure that Friends of St. Patrick's
- deals with the neighbors in a neighborhood fashion.
- We had trees. We had terrible lightening
- 12 -- terrible wires that came down in the street which
- 13 started a fire. Those wires were on the street from
- 14 January until March and the trees were down in the
- 15 neighborhood until June. And the fence still isn't
- 16 back up. And there's a lot of teenagers that like to
- go over there and party.
- As a parent of two young teenagers, I
- don't like having mobs of teenagers in an abandoned
- 20 piece of property across the street from me.
- I've heard a lot about St. Patrick's being
- a great neighbor today, what a great neighbor they'll
- 23 be in the future, but I encourage them to be a great
- 24 neighbor now, right now, 4:00, 5:00. And I also
- 25 encourage them to try to live up to the agreements

- that they made with our neighborhood. And I hope that
- 2 they will try to do that.
- Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 5 you very much. I think it's critical to hear.
- Any questions? Cross?
- 7 Excellent.
- 8 Yes, sir?
- 9 MR. AVERY: Can I ask a question.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Certainly, but you
- 11 have to be on a microphone.
- 12 And while he's coming up to ask a
- 13 question, which of course will be procedurally, I'll
- 14 have the parties come up and get ready for their
- presentation of their cases they've put together.
- 16 Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. AVERY: I'm Bob Avery. I'm the
- 18 President of the Foxhall Citizen's Community
- 19 Association.
- We are not technically a party.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. AVERY: But I've been actively engaged
- in the negotiations. And as part of really responding
- 24 to some of the issues that came up today, I would like
- to speak to those and we've agreed with Colony Hill.

1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Now would be a

- 2 perfect time for you to do that.
- MR. AVERY: Okay.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, don't leave.
- 5 MR. AVERY: No. I'm just getting --
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I know. Getting
- 7 some -- good. Would the association questions allow
- 8 you the flexible five minutes as we've allowed the
- 9 persons the flexible three and then parties will get
- 10 to you.
- Is there anyone else that hasn't fit into
- any other category that I've called?
- 13 Excellent.
- MR. AVERY: Then I'll follow up on that.
- 15 As I said, I'm Bob Avery. I'm the
- 16 President of the Foxhall Citizens Community
- 17 Association.
- We did not choose and in part listening to
- 19 your suggestions to be a formal party because we saw
- interests as coinciding with those of Colony Hill,
- 21 Camille Comeau who is also on our board, she has been
- 22 a separate party and we felt that that represented our
- 23 interests.
- 24 It also chose initially not to be part of
- 25 the negotiations. We felt it was better for St.

- 1 Patrick's to deal with one organization which was
- 2 Colony Hill.
- 3 Ultimately, however, we did choose to
- 4 become engaged, in part, because it did not appear
- 5 that negotiations had led to a solution.
- 6 After the first BZA hearing when I twas
- delayed, we went back to our members, the Foxhall
- 8 Citizens Community, the Board voted to oppose the
- 9 school on the basis of traffic and unless traffic and
- 10 operations conditions were imposed.
- We took the issue to our membership in
- 12 April at our meeting and they voted 36 to 1 to endorse
- 13 our action.
- 14 We followed up with the ANC, took our
- issues to the ANC in a special May meeting in which
- 16 they basically supported most of what we had asked
- 17 for.
- 18 We also actively entered into negotiations
- 19 along with Colony Hill and Camille Comeau who is
- 20 really the brains behind the whole operation, I must
- 21 say. And the one who really had the right -- a lot of
- the reason that we are all here today in agreement is
- 23 because of Camille. We all owe her a great debt of
- 24 gratitude.
- So, why did we did engaged in this? What

- 1 is it from out standpoint that we're really focused
- 2 on?
- And our main concern, first and foremost,
- 4 and really mainly is traffic. I think as people have
- 5 alluded to, the traffic on Foxhall Road during the
- 6 morning peak hour and now in the middle of the
- 7 afternoon has reached the point of congestion of
- 8 overload.
- 9 Ann Haas was wrong before. There are now
- 10 10 -- this will be the 10<sup>th</sup> private school within 2
- 11 mile of the corner of Whitehall and Foxhall. Ten
- 12 private schools, two universities.
- 13 It's not zoned that way. Each of the
- 14 decisions, each of these schools or most of these
- 15 schools has come back to the BZA incrementally for
- 16 either putting in a new campus or expanding during the
- 17 last 10 years that I've lived in this area.
- 18 Each of these incremental decisions may
- 19 have made sense in isolation. Each school, if you
- look at their marginal impact, it was small. However,
- 21 collectively when we wake up at the end of 10 years,
- in many ways the character of your neighborhood has
- changed.
- 24 Each day we estimate more than 1,500 cars
- 25 drop off students within that half mile radius.

- 1 Fifteen hundred cars return.
- 2 As Ann Haas said, there's only 19,500 cars
- 3 that go on Foxhall each day. That's a significant
- 4 part of that Foxhall traffic.
- 5 Many -- it is true, many of these students
- do come from the District, but many also come from
- 7 Maryland and D.C. And I should say in response to
- 8 earlier comments, I have an eight-year old son. He
- 9 goes to public school. He went to St. Patrick's camp.
- 10 They have a terrific camp. So, I can also see both
- 11 sides of the issue.
- 12 St. Patrick's is on the unfortunate
- 13 position of being the new marginal school. Our
- 14 neighborhood felt in its voting that the Field School
- 15 represented a real model. The basis feedback I got
- 16 was whatever the BZA did, whatever was done with the
- 17 Field School works. Whatever was done with the Lab
- 18 School and Georgetown Day, doesn't work. Those are
- 19 the schools that people complain about.
- There are differences in the structure.
- 21 We were drawn into the process, not with the intent of
- stopping the school. That really would not have been
- 23 a realistic objective. What we wanted was -- our
- 24 charge was to impose on the school or have the BZA
- 25 impose on the school the same kind of conditions which

- our neighborhood feels seem to work at field School.
- We see that in the long run as win/win. We did not
- 3 see those elements in the school's original BZA
- 4 application.
- 5 We entered into negotiations and I must
- 6 admit we did not see -- we were not optimistic that
- 7 that process would necessarily lead to a solution.
- 8 And as you all understand, that pessimism proved to be
- 9 unfounded. We did reach an agreement.
- 10 The conditions we sought were significant
- 11 restrictions on the original operations and traffic
- management plan that BZA imposed. And it's important
- that the BZA understand that.
- 14 We were asking for a lot. In my view what
- 15 has been delivered by the school meets those
- objectives. And it's not a matter of reluctantly us
- 17 accepting them. I think that the school ultimately
- 18 came to us, accepted the kind of conditions that we
- 19 sought or demanded, if you wish. And having met those
- 20 conditions, it is my belief now that this school has
- 21 an operations and traffic management plan that are
- 22 comparable, that I can go to my constituency and
- 23 credibly arque, it's comparable to those of the Field
- 24 School.
- 25 And it's my belief that with those kinds

- of conditions this school and its basis design, the
- 2 fact that there is one point of ingress, the fact that
- it has underground parking, elements that are not --
- 4 there are elements of this design which are superior
- 5 to those of the school, meet the conditions that we
- 6 required.
- 7 I am concerned and remain concerned that
- 8 this incremental growth, I would perhaps not be as
- 9 amenable the next time a school would come back to the
- 10 BZA and ask to expand within a half mile radius. Our
- 11 neighborhood probably has to be prepared to really be
- 12 more vigilant.
- So, I enthusiastically support the
- 14 Operations Plan that's been proposed and agreed to. I
- think it is one that the community can feel satisfied
- 16 with, not just satisfied but enthusiastic. We have
- done better than I ever expected we were going to be
- 18 able to do.
- 19 Now, let me address one additional issue
- and it may be moot at this point. But it is relevant
- 21 because I think there's a quid pro quo in this
- 22 process.
- 23 If the school accepts the kinds of
- 24 conditions that we have demanded of them, it's
- 25 critical that the community not be frivolous or petty

- in the things that it imposes on the school. I think
- 2 that is the appropriate quid pro quo.
- 3 One of the clauses in the ANC's original
- 4 request to the BZA in its February 18<sup>th</sup> letter stated
- 5 that a transportation report should be required for
- 6 each subsequent year until the school reached it's
- 7 full capacity. And any requests for an increase in
- 8 students beyond the initial enrollment, shall be based
- 9 upon the successful implementation of the school's
- 10 transportation plan.
- Now, that's a contingent growth. It means
- that the school cannot grow unless it goes back each
- year to the ANC and meets this criteria.
- 14 And I understand the purpose of a clause
- like that, because it's a check. It means that the
- school has to come back and show that it's operating
- in good faith and in compliance.
- 18 But it is my belief that the traffic
- 19 management plan that's been agreed to really is not
- going to be relevant until the school is close to full
- 21 capacity. So, this condition, in my view, doesn't
- 22 serve any value to the community. It is not a
- 23 condition in which -- it's easy to meet so to speak.
- 24 It's not a condition in which the community is really
- 25 having any meaningful redress.

- 1 But, however, the clause may serve to
- 2 hamper St. Patrick's ability to gather funding because
- 3 it restricts -- it places conditions upon the school
- 4 reaching its ultimate size.
- 5 I would ask the BZA to strike the last
- 6 part of that clause or not accept the recommendation
- 7 from the ANC. So, it should simply read that the
- 8 transportation report shall be required for each
- 9 subsequent year until the school reaches full
- 10 capacity. Period. And just drop the part of that
- 11 clause that relates to the condition.
- 12 And so I repeat. I'm happy with this
- 13 agreement.
- I'm sorry, one additional thing I wanted
- 15 to mention.
- There were statements raised earlier.
- 17 Questions about St. Patrick's retaining the right to
- 18 come back on certain conditions, particularly, the
- 19 summer camp and the open campus.
- It is my interpretation, having been on
- 21 the other side of the table, when that agreement was
- reached, what in interpret that as, they can come back
- 23 to you without prejudice. Exactly, I think, what the
- 24 chairman said.
- 25 If they come back, we're not going to be

- bent out of shape and say, you're coming back and you
- 2 promised you wouldn't. They can come back, raise it
- 3 as a new issue with full prejudice. I retain the
- 4 right to argue against it at that point, but I'm not
- 5 going to say that they don't -- that they've said that
- 6 they wouldn't come back.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 9 you very much. Very pertinent informational
- 10 testimony. We appreciate you taking an effort to
- 11 provide that.
- 12 Let's move ahead then and then we'll have
- everyone cross the testimony or the panel.
- I'll start with you.
- 15 MR. LYLE: My name again is Edward Lyle,
- 16 Colony Hill Neighborhood Association.
- 17 For us, as for the Applicant, this an
- 18 accumulation of about a year's worth of dialogue back
- 19 and forth in one form or another from the time that
- they initially asked us and small groups to come in,
- 21 get a briefing on what they proposed to do through the
- 22 run up to the hearing in February where we were a
- 23 party in opposition. And we were fully prepared to
- 24 put on a case in opposition to subsequent dialogue
- 25 that we've had with citizens and Ms. Comeau and Mr.

- 1 Hebert and Mr. Forrer here.
- 2 And we've come a long way in terms of
- 3 modifying our position to the point where as I stated
- 4 today, we are now a party in support.
- 5 We are a party in support because the
- 6 application has been changed. And it's been changed
- 7 in some very fundamental ways, not only in terms of
- 8 the traffic and school operations, which you've
- 9 testimony on here today, but also in terms of the
- 10 architectural controls. And those are three areas
- 11 which are very important to us. And the school
- operations because of the noise and light impacts on
- our community in various ways and trying to reasonably
- 14 control those or get some kind of agreement that both
- 15 sides could live with on that.
- The traffic because of the loading on
- 17 Foxhall Road. I think there's been ample discussion
- 18 here today about the conditions on Foxhall Road. And
- 19 so anymore of a loading on it is of very significant
- 20 concern to us. And also the traffic would come
- 21 through Colony Hill in the context of the residential
- 22 community to be built.
- 23 The architectural provisions are very
- important to us because many of them are qualitative
- 25 in nature. They basically tried to provide that what

- will be built will be compatible with what is already
- 2 there on the opposite side of the street in Colony
- 3 Hill right now.
- 4 Clearly, there will be a reduction in
- 5 property values on our side if what is built there is
- 6 very different and sort of out of style with the
- 7 neighborhood and so forth. It will be a very jarring
- 8 effect architecturally.
- 9 And so thanks to the gentleman on my left,
- 10 Mr. Forrer, and others we have written and reached an
- 11 agreement on a fairly elaborate set of architectural
- 12 controls designed to provide that conformity to what
- is already present in the area.
- 14 And they are very much of essential
- 15 character to us in terms of why we have modified our
- 16 position.
- 17 And so things which might disrupt the
- 18 balance which we have reached tentatively with the
- 19 Applicant in this case, will be of concern to us. As
- 20 was raised today, the possibility of the Applicant
- 21 allowing the field or other facilities to be used by
- other groups in the neighborhood -- other groups come
- in one way or another -- outsiders. That is a prime
- 24 concern to us because it disrupts the calculus. We
- 25 don't know what that would mean and quite frankly we

- 1 think hat the impacts coming from the school and the
- 2 residential community are going to significant enough
- 3 as they stand.
- 4 The other point to be made is, as was made
- 5 by several people here, the number of schools in our
- 6 area is very, very significant at this point. And in
- 7 the larger context, allowing the schools to be opened
- 8 up for others to come in and use, is going to provide
- 9 more disruption into the community where we already
- 10 feel that these schools are already having enough of
- an impact on us right now.
- So, that is another reason why we would be
- 13 very concerned about any alteration of the provisions
- 14 we've negotiated and with due respect to the BZA's,
- 15 you know, leave way to do whatever it wishes it do,
- 16 but it will be a concern to us if those kinds of
- things are changed, because it changes the basis on
- 18 which we have changed our status in this proceeding
- 19 from opposition to being in support.
- Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 22 you very much.
- MR. FORRER: John Forrer again.
- 24 Much of what I was going to say has been
- said by one of my colleagues to my right and I won't

- take your time to repeat it. I would just like to
  emphasize two points.
- The architectural controls that have been put to you by the Applicant that were negotiated with us, are somewhat unusual. They're unusual in the sense that they apply to lots that are not even in the theoretical lot subdivision. They apply to the nine lots that are along Hoban Road which are matter-of-right lots.

- But they address a critical element of the re-development or the in-fill development in an urban area which all of the Governments in this area need to wrestle with or have been wrestling with. Montgomery County has already addressed it. Arlington tried to and didn't. We have dealt with it here in a very small area just affecting nine lots, but lots that are critical to us because they will effect the visual completion of Hoban Road.
  - What happens on the interior 18 lots, those of us that live in Colony Hill don't have to see if we don't choose to drive in there. But we will every day drive by or in the case of a few of us, look out of our windows and see the lots along Hoban Road.
- So, it was critical to us that they be architectural harmonious with Colony Hill. Not exact

- 1 replications of a 1935 house when most of our houses
- were built in the `30s, but harmonious with them and
- 3 at the same time adopting modern building techniques
- 4 and standards that are appropriate to expensive houses
- 5 today.
- I urge you to adopt them and I urge you to
- 7 adopt also the enforcement procedures that go along
- 8 with them, because they are particularly significant
- 9 to me.
- 10 We have agreed upon a process by which
- when a set of building plans is prepared and submitted
- for a building permit, the copy will come to us. We
- 13 will have two weeks to review it and comment on it.
- 14 If we think that they don't conform in any way with
- the restrictions that were imposed, there will then be
- 16 a mandated period of discussion between us and the
- 17 builder. And if we cannot reach agreement, it will
- 18 then be -- there will then be an opportunity to take
- 19 them to the DCRA. And if necessary, back to the BZA
- 20 here as the ultimate arbiter.
- We have agreed that you are the court of
- 22 last resort and neither side would appeal your
- 23 decision. You will have to consider whether you are
- 24 willing to accept this honor. I hope you will. If
- not, we will have to work out some other mechanism for

- 1 resolving any disputes.
- 2 And then the last thing I'll say is, I've
- 3 been involved in the development business for 25
- 4 years. I've been involved in many discussion between
- 5 the developer and the neighborhoods. Prior to today,
- 6 I've always been the developer. I've always worn the
- 7 black hat. This is my first time as a member of the
- 8 neighborhood.
- 9 I hope that in all of my previous
- 10 negotiations I approached it with the same integrity,
- 11 with the same spirit, with the same goal of
- 12 cooperation toward a joint goal that the Friends of
- 13 St. Patrick's and the developer they chose, Elm
- 14 Street, have proposed to have entered into these
- 15 negotiations with.
- 16 It has been a credit to work with them.
- 17 In particularly with Katherine Bradley and with Jim
- 18 Perry from Elm Street. Without them we could not have
- 19 achieved the agreement that we've reached.
- They have my total respect and gratitude
- 21 for the manner in which they have handled the very
- 22 difficult but profitable, I think, for everyone
- 23 negotiation.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much.

1 MR. HEBERT: I'm Jay Hebert, at 1717

- 2 Foxhall.
- And I also want to repeat what I said
- 4 earlier to express my thanks to the Friends of St.
- 5 Patrick's and to the school. Without Ed Murphy and
- 6 Terry Armstrong and Katherine Bradley, we wouldn't
- 7 have made the process we've made. I greatly
- 8 appreciate the way in which they worked with us and
- 9 with the neighborhood associations.
- 10 Our agreement -- we were as the residents
- of 1717 Foxhall Road and, you know, the first southern
- 12 most driveway from this development, are very
- 13 concerned about the traffic impacts of this
- 14 development and about the noise impacts of this
- 15 development. And we think that the three components
- of our fundamental agreement are important to all of
- 17 those.
- 18 We agreed to a traffic cap with the
- 19 school. Frankly, ours was a little bit more lenient
- that the neighborhood associations. But I think the
- 21 traffic cap agreement that the associations worked
- 22 with the school are integral to what we're looking at
- 23 on Foxhall Road.
- 24 The road configuration itself we think is
- 25 vitally important. With all due respect to Ms. Gates,

- 1 there will be a pinch somewhere on Foxhall Road. question is whether it is north of the school entrance 2 or at Whitehaven. There will be a pinch. 3 question is where that pinch will be safest and where 4 that pinch will least harm the traffic on Foxhall 5 We believe leaving it at the Whitehaven/Foxhall 6 7 intersection with proper signage, best mitigates the 8 safety concerns and best mitigates the traffic back up concerns that pinch ultimately presents to Foxhall. 9
- 10 And finally the Operations Plan.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- We think all of the issues raised in the
  Operations Plan deal with traffic and noise issues and
  in a duration of nuisance issues.
  - Let's not kid ourselves. We're going to have a middle school and a high school there. You know, there will be a little bit more noise. There will be, you know, games in the evening. There will be things going on that don't go on today. But we think the Friends of St. Patrick's and the school have done yeomen's work with us to try to come to a reasonable accommodation to protect our property values, to protect our use of our property. But also to maintain their insistence that they have a school of excellence. And we don't disagree with that.
- 25 St. Patrick's has been a great community

- 1 neighbor at the lower school and middle school level
- and I'm very hopeful that if all of these agreement
- 3 are adopted and the school is developed, that it is
- 4 going to be a wonderful neighbor with respect to the
- 5 middle school and the high school as well.
- And with that, I'll risk my wife's ire by
- telling you, if you do want that joke, I'll give you
- 8 one, if you need one.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Save it for when the
- 10 record closes.
- MR. HEBERT: All right.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: All that applause
- and you're not going to say anything?
- MRS. HEBERT: I'll say something. I'm not
- much of a public speaker, but I want to say that when
- I came here on February 28<sup>th</sup>, I was worried about the
- 17 school. I was worried about the development. I was
- 18 worried about traffic. I was worried about
- 19 operations.
- 20 Everything that we as a community
- 21 addressed with St. Patrick's they came back to us and
- 22 dealt with us fairly, respectfully and they have
- assuaged with these revised plans, with the operations
- 24 agreement, the architectural agreement. They have
- assuaged every concern that I have. And, moreover,

- when I looked at the larger frame work in which this
- 2 school will exist, the private school orders in the
- 3 past, private school operations plans, traffic caps
- 4 and traffic plans, I feel like St. Patrick's has gone
- 5 very far to advancing the ball to create what should
- be a benchmark for other schools when they look at
- 7 what they should do reduce their impact on the
- 8 community.
- 9 And I'm proud of all of us.
- 10 That's it.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 12 you very much. Thank you all very much.
- 13 And let me just address a couple of things
- 14 as we've gone through.
- 15 I think this last presentation is
- 16 excellent in formulation. One, just beginning with
- 17 some of your filings. I mean all of your filings,
- 18 those that filed.
- 19 My questions I think maybe raise a little
- 20 bit of concern in terms of some of the uses in off
- 21 hours. But I want to assure that we're not going to
- go in as we take this record together and second guess
- what has happened and what has been agreed upon. I
- 24 think it is incredibly important. I've said it
- 25 already, that we need to understand all those element.

- 1 They aren't new elements in generality to the Board,
- 2 but they are specific and that's just what we're
- 3 trying to reach.
- So, with that, I don't think, although we
- do have the power to do anything we wish as you said,
- 6 I don't think we often invoke that or use it. So, I
- 7 want to assure you that we will get into all the
- 8 substantive elements and the issues that are brought
- 9 forth here.
- I also wanted to say it's excellent how
- 11 you've approached just this limited testimony because
- it's very important to us and you've broken it out
- 13 just as we had asked the Applicant to do and that is
- looking at the specific provisions under 206, whether
- you realized you were doing it or not.
- 16 But it dealt with the school itself and
- 17 those issues that may have arised. And you have found
- 18 that they would, in fact, not arise into any
- 19 conditions that would be detrimental or could not be
- dealt with in order to be remediated.
- 21 And then also on the 2516, which goes into
- 22 theoretical lot subdivisions, which goes to the
- 23 residential. Very clearly distinct and different for
- 24 our purposes. Maybe not for yours, but you've broken
- 25 that out, I think, very articularly, and it's

- 1 important for us to understand those architectural
- 2 controls and the reasoning behind those. We have them
- all the time and some of us may want stronger and some
- 4 of us may want weaker. For this and the specifics
- 5 that we've seen here are very well said. And I have a
- firm belief that we understand exactly why they are
- 7 what they are and now it's our charge to weight those.
- 8 The appeal process which was brought up
- 9 which I think is an interesting point. Just to be
- 10 clear, it isn't a separate system that essentially is
- 11 based in your agreement as I have gleaned over a salad
- this afternoon reading this.
- But it is, in fact, an appeal of the
- 14 Zoning Administrator, the DCRA's official decision if
- 15 it was not to be agreed with. And, therefore, it
- 16 would come to us, if I'm not mistaken as an actual
- 17 appeal and then obviously we'll be able to hear that.
- 18 It's an interesting idea to put together.
- 19 I'm not sure we've ever run across that in any other
- 20 situation. And so it will be fascinating to dig into
- 21 that a little bit further.
- 22 That's all I have at this time, unless
- 23 others have comments.
- 24 Is there any cross then from the
- 25 Applicant? Good.

- 1 ANC have any cross? And you are all the
- 2 parties.
- 3 So, we do appreciate it and we appreciate
- 4 your testimony also.
- 5 Thank you very much. I believe, unless
- 6 there is anyone that has now gotten the courage to
- 7 address the Board that didn't have it before, it would
- 8 end our testimonies at this time.
- 9 Thank you all very much.
- 10 What last we have then is for any sort of
- 11 rebuttal witnesses from the Applicant and conclusions.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 With that, Mr. Feola, are you ready to
- 14 move right into that?
- MR. FEOLA: Yes, sir.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Good.
- We've just gotten a news flash that Brazil
- is up 1 nothing. It's about time.
- 19 Okay.
- MR. FEOLA: Well, after the United States
- 21 showing yesterday --
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We got to find
- another team to watch. Okay.
- 24 MR. FEOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 Again, Phil Feola for the record.

- 1 We just have a couple of things we'd like
- 2 to cover in rebuttal. The first is not really
- 3 rebuttal, but Mr. Roser, our Civil Engineer, has had a
- 4 chance to look at the condition that Mr. Parsons
- 5 suggested we look at in the Phillips' case and
- 6 prepared a comment on that. He did the calculations
- 7 back there so, I'd just ask Mr. Roser to explain
- 8 whether or not we can accommodate that condition and
- 9 the why and where for.
- 10 MR. ROSER: Again, my name is Scott Roser.
- 11 I'm with the consulting firm of Macris, Hendricks and
- 12 Glascock.
- Mr. Parsons, Members, would you want me to
- 14 kind of read this condition that you're referring to
- 15 before I --
- MR. FEOLA: It would probably be good for
- 17 the record. MR. ROSER: Okay.
- MR. FEOLA: For the other.
- 19 MR. ROSER: I've qot a copy Mr. Feola
- 20 presented to me. This is Condition Number 11, page
- 21 18, Application Number 17276, which I understand is
- 22 the Phillips Park Application which is the adjacent
- 23 project.
- 24 Condition Number 11 reads "A storm water
- 25 drainage system shall be constructed in accordance

- 1 with Exhibit 50, Tabs 2, 3, 10, 11 and 12 of the
- 2 record. Where possible filteras and bio-tension
- 3 systems shall be installed as proposed and water will
- 4 be captured at the 15-year post-development rate and
- 5 released at the two-year pre-development rate.
- And, I believe, Mr. Parsons, this is what
- you're kind of alluding to, that particular condition.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes.
- 9 MR. ROSER: At least in part.
- shall 10 The Applicant enter into maintenance agreement for the annual maintenance and 11 12 upkeep of al storm water management systems including filteras, bio-tension and 13 bay saver 14 capacities of retention structures and surface water 15 release rates onto parkland being not greater than a flow rate of two cubic feet per second. 16 And I think that was kind of the second aspect of your point you 17 18 were trying to make.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes.
- MR. ROSER: In my understanding of the
  District rules and what it seems like was done here,
  that 15-year -- what I would call the 15-year, 2-year
  management requirement where you take the 15-year
  post-development storm run off and you release it as a
  2-year rate, is a requirement that the District

- 1 imposes on those sections of the District where you
- 2 are released to the combined sewer system.
- And my understanding the reason for that
- 4 is that obviously you don't want to overwhelm that
- 5 combined sewer system to the point where you have
- 6 releases into the natural environment of both the
- 7 storm water run off and whatever sewage happens to be
- 8 in the system at that time.
- 9 And so I'm not sure that, at least in my
- opinion, that this is necessarily the appropriate way
- 11 to address, I think, the problem that we're trying to
- 12 address and that being channel erosion.
- 13 What we did is we took the District's
- 14 standard requirements from management and then we
- 15 added to them what we believe is the state of the art
- 16 method of providing management to control erosion.
- 17 And that is the extended detention of the run off from
- 18 a one-year storm. It's what the State of Maryland has
- 19 just recently adopted. And the basis behind that is
- that you're taking a more frequent event and you're
- 21 releasing it at such a small rate that there's no
- 22 erosion related to it.
- In my opinion what you're doing in that
- 24 15-year, 2-year type of management, you're basically
- 25 taking -- the 2-year rate is generally considered to

- 1 be in approximately a bank full run off event where
- 2 the run off from that is going to fill up a stream
- 3 channel. And those type of events are generally
- 4 considered to be the most erosive.
- 5 Larger events will go out onto the over
- 6 bank and not very frequently and there's enough
- 7 vegetation and things that you won't get a lot of
- 8 erosion.
- 9 So, what you're in a sense doing is you're
- 10 taking every single storm and you're releasing it at
- 11 what is the most erosive event.
- Now, in putting this 2 CFS cap on that, in
- 13 some cases that issue has been addressed. But we
- 14 believe that by providing that one-year, 24-hour
- 15 extended detention of the one-year storm, we've done a
- 16 better job of addressing that erosion condition and it
- 17 would be our recommendation that a condition more in
- line with what we're proposing be adopted.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, you don't think
- there's any wisdom to give it two cubic feet, three
- 21 cubic feet, four cubic feet?
- MR. ROSER: To me, it's an arbitrary
- 23 number. I mean, one would look at maybe what rate is
- 24 associated with an erosive velocity. But it's
- 25 somewhat of a --

- 1 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Certainly you can
- 2 measure a discharge as to how many cubic feet a second
- 3 is coming out of it. Right?
- 4 MR. ROSER: You can. Yes.
- 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But you haven't
- 6 done that here?
- 7 MR. ROSER: No. We have.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And what would that
- 9 rate be?
- 10 MR. ROSER: Well, it varies depending on
- 11 we have what I would say are three distinct locations
- 12 -- well, three distinct locations of outfall into the
- 13 park property.
- 14 There's the northern Dell. There's the
- small area at the northeast corner of the property. If
- 16 you recall that small area of drainage where there's
- 17 no other way to release it. And as well as the
- 18 southern swale release area.
- 19 And it varies. The northern Dell being
- the one where it would be most difficult to do the CFS
- 21 -- the 2 CFS rule requirement. Plus we're not doing
- that 15-year, 2-year type of management. We're doing
- 23 -- we're releasing the 15-year storm at a 15-year pre-
- 24 development rate as opposed to reducing it down to a
- 25 two-year pre-development rate.

L COMMISSIONER	PARSONS:	I'm	sorry.	]
----------------	----------	-----	--------	---

- thought I asked you how many cubic feet a second?
- 3 MR. ROSER: In the northern Dell? It's
- 4 slightly -- the 2-year rate right now, the pre-
- 5 development 2-year rate that we would be releasing at
- is -- it's probably on the order of about 3 to 4 CFS.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: On all three
- 8 discharges?
- 9 MR. ROSER: No. Just at that one
- 10 location.
- 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Northeast corner.
- MR. ROSER: If you summed them all up,
- we're probably on the order of 7 to 10 CFS.
- 14 What we've also done is we've distributed
- 15 the release at multiple locations around the site to
- 16 try to mimic the existing run off conditions and
- 17 pattens.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: There's two under
- 19 the Dell, one in the northeast and one in the
- 20 southwest? Southeast corner?
- MR. ROSER: Yes. One in the northeast and
- one in the southeast corner. Yes.
- 23 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I think it would be
- 24 helpful if you'd provide a concise memorandum of what
- 25 you just said.

- 1 MR. ROSEN: Okay.
- 2 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
- MEMBER ETHERLY: So just very quickly as a
- follow up, Mr. Feola. So, I might understand that the
- 5 condition that Mr. Parsons was contemplating in
- 6 laymen's terms is essentially too aggressive and
- 7 unnecessary or overkill? I'm just trying to perhaps
- 8 get to that concise shall we say summary of what you
- 9 just shared.
- 10 I think I get it, but I want to make sure
- 11 I'm clear.
- 12 MR. ROSEN: I don't -- not knowing the
- 13 exact run off conditions that you had at that location
- on the Phillips site, I'm not sure whether it -- it's
- 15 difficult for me to say that it meets the requirement
- or not. I believe if they had done what we had
- 17 proposed, it would meet the requirement.
- 18 MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay. Okay.
- 19 MR. ROSEN: I mean, it would result in the
- desired outcome, which is not erosive release rates.
- 21 MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. BARNES: Can I add one comment, Mr.
- 23 Etherly?
- 24 MEMBER ETHERLY: It may be helpful.
- 25 Mr. BARNES: At the Phillips site, the

- 1 discharge essentially for the whole site was at a
- 2 single point which is at the wetlands. We actually
- 3 have three major points here, four different
- 4 collectors. So, the ability of the receiving streams
- 5 to deal with these and the dissolution of the water
- 6 that comes out at different points is clearly quite
- 7 different. It was all concentrated and I think that's
- 8 why the CFM might have been a more appropriate
- 9 measurement there whereas dealing with the different
- 10 storm events the way he described may actually be
- 11 better from an environmental point of view on this
- 12 site.
- MR. BURCHICK: If I could please add to
- 14 expand on --
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You have to identify
- 16 yourself.
- 17 MR. BURCHICK: My name is Mark Burchick
- 18 and I work for Environmental Systems Analysis in
- 19 Annapolis. And I am a Systems Ecologist.
- To expand on what Scott just said, in the
- 21 State of Maryland when they created their new storm
- 22 water management manual and went to one-year
- 23 management controls, the Center for Watershed
- 24 Protection who recommended that particular item for
- 25 their storm water management manual said that about

- the one and a half to two year storm event is the
- 2 molding bank full flow that creates the appearance of
- 3 how a stream channel looks. And by Macris Hendricks
- 4 going down to one year management controls, what the
- 5 literature is suggesting that through ecological ties,
- 6 because this is such a modest flow amount, banks can
- 7 start to achieve some level of repose. They can relax
- 8 and lay back their slopes because the non erosive
- 9 forces -- the erosive forces have been addressed.
- 10 So, that's why Maryland's manuals is
- 11 considered so good and their design work has exceeded
- 12 the District standards and gone to the Maryland
- 13 standards for that issue.
- So, we expect good things down the road to
- 15 the receiving streams.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's kind of
- 17 tranquil picture of a stream bed reposing. Just relax
- 18 a little bit.
- 19 Excellent. Okay.
- 20 Anything else? Good.
- MR. FEOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 22 Actually, the next couple of questions I'm going to
- 23 address to Mr. Birchick and Mr. Barnes and a little
- 24 bit out of order, out of sequence. But since the issue
- 25 came up from the Department of Health, we thought we

- should cover some of it to make the record complete.
- 2 Typically, as you know as you indicated,
- 3 any wetland issues are done through a permit stage as
- 4 Ms. Douglass from DOH had indicated, we had filed for
- 5 that permit. We haven't gotten comments back. We
- 6 haven't gotten comments back from the Army Corps. But
- 7 the issue has been open, I think we feel like we need
- 8 to address it a little bit. And so first I think --
- 9 we've passed in Mr. Burchick's resume just for your
- 10 knowledge and understanding of who he is and what he
- 11 does.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: A little bit further
- 13 direction on that. Because there are agencies that
- 14 will look seriously at the substantive elements of all
- that. Will get into it a little bit.
- I guess what would be critical for us is,
- is there anything that would impact what's proposed
- 18 obviously.
- MR. FEOLA: Exactly.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. FEOLA: And that's what we'd like to
- 22 address.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
- MR. FEOLA: Mr. Barnes may comment.
- 25 MR. BARNES: If I might make a few general

1 comments about the testimony you've heard there and

2 I'll just ask Edith to give me a general plan.

The elements that were mentioned by the 3 Department of Health, their comment that there's a 4 rather than a spring which is really a seepage, 5 The ephemeral stream which is a dry stream 6 7 bed which we did mention. And there's a small 8 isolated wetland as well that are all mentioned, are all things that we had seen, we had factored, we had 9 10 presented on a walk through with the Army Corps of Engineers and the D.C. Department of Health a year 11 And it was the Corps decision to just take 12 jurisdiction over the stream. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the integration, all these elements in the design, the circled old spring house is a small stone ruin which exists at that point which we'd identified as an attractive feature that spoke to some history over the site during the Phase I archeological study of the site. This and other features of the site were made known to the D.C. and Federal historians that deal with this District by EDO who did the Phase One archeology. Neither of them saw any particular historical significance in this, but we liked the little stone structure and plan to erect it and restore it with a little plaque that talks about

- 1 its probable history in the development of the site.
- 2 As Dave Murphy mentioned earlier, the
- 3 seepage which is apparent right at the base of it,
- 4 it's certainly not a spring. The seepage there is
- 5 something that he's asked us to not run into the storm
- 6 water management because it's clean, natural
- 7 groundwater. So, we intend to pipe it around the
- 8 storm water system and delivered as it does now. Of
- 9 course, I've lost my pointer there, to feed the little
- 10 wetland. I have no pointers that work. To feed the
- 11 little wetland, the little stream to the east of the
- 12 site.
- 13 With that said, I mentioned that we do
- 14 have a buffer. It just happens to be over some
- private land and it would have all the control son it
- 16 that would allow it to be maintained in the
- 17 appropriate manner.
- 18 I'm going to let Mr. Burchick talk about
- 19 more details.
- MR. BURCHICK: So, in knowing that we were
- 21 going to be moving forward with the wetland permit
- application to the U.S. Army Corps of engineers, they
- 23 performed the jurisdictional determination of the
- 24 wetlands and waters on this project site.
- The area that we've been calling the

- 1 northern Dell is one of three fingers of a swale that
- 2 feeds in to a persistent perennial stream and the
- 3 majority of the base flow of that spring seep
- 4 headwater is coming from the Phillips Estate, the
- 5 Phillips Park property.
- There's a second drainage spur that comes
- 7 down from Whitehaven Parkway which is National Park
- 8 Service land, and then the third spur which is the
- 9 northern Dell of St. Patrick's. These three fingers
- 10 come together and they have a substantial drainage
- area as water flows at the drainage divide of Foxhall
- 12 Road and splits two ways.
- So, there's a pretty good drainage area
- 14 that helps provide ground water discharge that is this
- 15 perennial seep. The Park Service, the natural
- 16 resources management office at Rock Creek Park who
- 17 manages Glover Archibald Park says this is probably
- 18 the only persistent spring in all of Foundry Branch.
- 19 The stream that goes through Glover Archibald Park.
- 20 So, they really like it because it's persistent and
- 21 probably has good aquatic critters and other elements
- 22 that they really want to try to protect into the
- 23 future.
- 24 So, with that said, the water was so
- 25 reliable, it was the source of water for the Phillips

- 1 Estate because it was on well and septic.
- 2 So, our recommendation all along was to
- 3 avoid and minimize any and all impacts into that swale
- 4 footprint so that we can try and maintain the
- 5 integrity of that entire receiving stream.
- Now, with that said, there is a southern
- 7 swale. Now this swale is entirely different.
- 8 At the discretion of the Corps of
- 9 Engineers, they didn't have to take an ephemeral
- 10 channel.
- Now, ephemeral means it only flows in
- response to direct precipitation. So, in a big storm
- event -- in this picture, this was the Army Corps of
- engineers performing their JD to determine what they
- 15 would or would not take. Because if there's any
- 16 impasse in decision making of what constitutes
- 17 wetlands or waters. If we're having a contentious
- 18 argument maybe with DOH, the arbiters would be the
- 19 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Whatever they say
- that's final.
- So, in the southern swale area, right at
- the Park Service boundary fence begins a channel about
- 23 six inches deep, about a foot wide. And when flows
- finally concentrate, they'll go into this channel way.
- 25 And all the way down to Foundry Branch it remains a

- dry channel. So, it's just a conveyor of storm water.
- 2 Up above that, the drainage area has been
- 3 highly manipulated through cultural -- from a cultural
- 4 position. It's been terraced. It's been cut. It's
- 5 been filled. What is now a meadow was always
- 6 maintained lawn. And then the landowners did a lot of
- 7 nursery operations and grew trees.
- 8 So, this whole area has always been under
- 9 some form of intensive utilization of the swale.
- 10 This spring house on the several times
- 11 that I've seen it has had just a wet footprint in the
- 12 end of the non-growing season when seasonal
- groundwater is at its highest elevations.
- Right now when you go there, it's bone
- 15 dry. And the important thing is that there's no swale
- or discharge that shows that even seasonable waters
- 17 come out of the spring house footprint. And as you go
- down the slope you can look at topography well below
- 19 you that express no wetlands and waters. And then
- 20 you have an isolate wetland.
- So, this spring house and this isolate
- 22 wetland that is marginal at best. It contains most
- 23 all upland plants, is what the District has claimed
- 24 authority over and o help compensate for that, we've
- 25 put together a wetland mitigation package where we're

- 1 taking the head ephemeral channel enhancing it in a
- 2 matter of ecological restoration to create a wetland
- 3 cell.
- 4 And by discharging our pre-treated
- 5 redundant storm water practices to this wetland cell,
- 6 we can achieve good enough hydrology to sustain a
- 7 wetland in the perpetuity and dedicate that land into
- 8 perpetuity as a natural area that's going to be
- 9 protected.
- 10 We had a 25-foot buffer established around
- that and now that we're enhancing it, we can maintain
- that 25-foot buffer for the majority of it. Where we
- 13 can't, we're asking DOH if we can compensate for that
- 14 buffer lost and flip it onto the southern side where
- we can continue to expand if DOH would like us to.
- So, that's the emphasis of our mitigation
- 17 package and what's going on in the southern swale
- 18 area.
- 19 MR. FEOLA: So, Mr. Burchick, to address
- the Chair's question, is there anything in this plan
- 21 if it were to be approved by the BZA that would
- 22 prevent us moving forward with the current permit
- 23 before DOH and in effect not do damage to the
- 24 environmentally sensitive areas?
- 25 MR. BURCHICK: No. I believe Diane

- 1 Douglas has been rather receptive to the permit
- 2 package to date. And the Corps of Engineers will be
- 3 providing their comments. I'm sure that we'll be able
- 4 to address all of their concerns within the mitigation
- 5 package.
- I think one of her main concerns to date
- 7 is how do you compensate for the footprint of the
- 8 spring house? She wanted to talk to peers on her
- 9 staff to get a better handle if it would be a one-to-
- one replacement scenario or possibly two to one. And
- I think that's probably the main issue that we're
- 12 currently negotiating. And we'll be able to address
- 13 that and incorporate that into our design work.
- MR. BARNES: That footprint is about 40
- 15 square feet. Fifty square feet.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What would you
- 17 replace it with in two to one?
- 18 Mr. BURCHICK: Well, one thought that
- 19 Diane has, is if there is any seasonal base flow,
- 20 maybe we could find a way to dig a well, capture that
- 21 water, pipe it and send it to the wetlands or
- downstream so that the natural receiving channel can
- 23 still --
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So, you're replacing
- 25 water?

- 1 MR. BURCHICK: Yes, sir.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. See how far
- 3 lost I was. Okay. Good.
- 4 Anything else?
- 5 MR. FEOLA: Sorry, just one last comment
- and question for Mr. Ed Murphy who is a members of
- 7 Friends of St. Patrick's. You may want to introduce
- 8 yourself.
- 9 MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. My name is
- 10 Ed Murphy. I'm the proud father of two children at
- 11 St. Patrick's, a parishioner and one of the founding
- members of the Friends of St. Patrick's.
- 13 MR. FEOLA: Now, Mr. Murphy, you've heard
- 14 some testimony today about the northern Dell. I just
- 15 want to ask you directly.
- Does Friends of St. Patrick's or St.
- 17 Patrick's School have any plans to build anything in
- 18 the so-called northern Dell?
- MR. MURPHY: No. We don't.
- MR. FEOLA: You've heard some suggestions
- 21 from the ANC that this property should be placed in
- 22 some sort of permanent open space conservation
- easement.
- 24 Could you care to explain to the Board why
- 25 that may not be possible as we speak?

- 1 MR. MURPHY: Yes. As I think you know
- from John Delaney's presentation this morning, we've
- 3 owned the property in more than two years now.
- 4 Up until I would say a few months ago, we
- 5 really didn't know how the rezoning process -- how
- 6 favorable or unfavorable the rezoning process was
- 7 going to end up.
- 8 We started gaining confidence as we
- 9 thought we were seeing more and more success in our
- 10 negotiations with our neighbors.
- 11 At that point, we then felt comfortable --
- the Friends of St. Patrick's then felt comfortable to
- convey a gift agreement to the church and the vestry
- of the church of the additional 1.53 acres. The
- 15 reason why we held it back was, if the rezoning
- 16 process looked like it was going unfavorable, the last
- 17 thing we wanted to do was donate that buffer of land
- around residential lots that may need again some more
- 19 rezoning treatment. So, we held it back.
- So, about four weeks ago we presented
- 21 almost a duplicate gift agreement to the vestry of the
- 22 church for the 1.53 acres, duplicate to the previous
- 23 7.72 acres.
- 24 That gift agreement is now going through
- 25 its normal, pardon the expression, bureaucratic

- 1 process inside, not only the vestry of the church, the
- 2 rector, then has to go through the diocese.
- 3 All of that church leadership is now on
- 4 sabbatical, on retreat, until the end of June. So,
- 5 the earliest time at which the diocese will even be
- 6 presented the gift agreement will be end of June,
- 7 early July.
- 8 At that point, then the gift will be
- 9 convened and we'll then as the 7.72 acres will then be
- owned by the vestry of St. Patrick's. At that point,
- I think it would be appropriate for them, the vestry
- and the church and the school, to comment on its long-
- 13 term outcome.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
- MR. FEOLA: That concludes our rebuttal.
- 16 And 30 seconds there to wrap up and --
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Any
- 18 questions?
- 19 Any cross on the testimony we've heard
- 20 from the ANC or any of the parties?
- 21 Questions? Cross?
- Very well. Let's go to it.
- MR. FEOLA: I'll keep it very, very short.
- 24 It's been a long day. We appreciate the Board's
- 25 diligence in this in first of all giving us a full day

- 1 really makes it a lot easier to present the whole case
- 2 and hear the whole picture at the same time.
- But we're really please to be part of this
- 4 application. I think at the end of the day, as you've
- 5 heard, we will as a community, as a city, end up with
- a needed and respected middle and high school. We'll
- 7 end up with facilities for that school that are
- 8 appropriately designed in creating minimal impact on
- 9 its surroundings. It will accommodate all of its
- 10 needs on site, traffic, parking, queuing, the whole
- 11 normal scenario that we usually argue about ad
- infinitum in front of this forum.
- 13 And we will end up with 27 single family
- 14 houses that are essentially designed, respecting their
- 15 natural environment and the natural terrain and create
- 16 an extension of a really handsome, comfortable
- 17 neighborhood that is now Colony Hill.
- So, I think with that, there is nothing
- 19 more I can add that has not already been said today
- 20 and we appreciate your time and effort and look
- 21 forward to your decision.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
- 23 you very much and thank everyone for their
- 24 participation today. And the work that happened
- 25 before that.

- 1 With that, let's get some procedural
- 2 elements out of the way.
- First of all, we have two aspects that we
- 4 are requesting. First, was the full PowerPoint
- 5 presentation today which I think we have in now.
- 6 MR. FEOLA: yes. That was turned in.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. And then
- 8 the last was Mr. Parsons was requesting a concise memo
- 9 regarding the run off, so we'll have that in. Great
- 10 emphasis on concise.
- 11 Ms. Bailey, are you aware of any other
- 12 elements that were open for question of inclusion in
- 13 the record?
- MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the only thing
- that I can think of is perhaps findings of fact. Our
- 16 proposed conditions.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
- 18 MS. BAILEY: I don't know if those are
- 19 things that you would want.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Absolutely. Let's
- 21 get to it then.
- 22 We'll come back from a schedule. I see no
- reason why unless we can for scheduling purposes of
- 24 not being able to set this for a July decision which
- 25 is fairly quick. But we have an awful lot in the

- 1 record as it is.
- Okay. We're going to set it for the 11<sup>th</sup>.
- 3 So, moving back. That memo shouldn't take
- 4 much time at all, I imagine.
- 5 MR. FEOLA: We can have it in the record
- 6 by Friday.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: By this Friday.
- 8 Excellent.
- 9 Let's have that in by this Friday, close
- of business. Obviously, it will be served on all the
- 11 participants and the application.
- We'll keep the record open if there's
- 13 responses to that and I'm going to keep that open
- 14 until the last filing date which would be that for the
- proposed findings of facts or proposed conclusions.
- 16 So, if you have reactions or responses to that
- information that's in, you can bundle it altogether
- 18 and we'll put it in.
- 19 We would then set the last filing for --
- 20 we're either going to do it before the 4ht, which
- 21 would be the Friday before the 4<sup>th</sup> or the Wednesday
- 22 after the 5<sup>th</sup>. It doesn't seem like it buys you much
- 23 more time going to Wednesday after. But I'll leave it
- to the Applicant to decide.
- MR. FEOLA: Why don't you give us to the

- 1 5<sup>th</sup>.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The 5<sup>th</sup> at 3:00 then.
- 3 We'd have it in 3:00. That way we can utilize that
- 4 time and have it clocked in. Then that would be for
- 5 everybody. That's the last filing date.
- 6 What we're keeping the record open for, of
- 7 course, is a full draft order, which would be findings
- 8 and conclusions and proposed conditions.
- 9 It is very amenable to the Board if that
- 10 isn't done by all the parties. But if there are
- 11 conditions that are proposed that are put forth to the
- Board, I think that would be useful and helpful.
- In the past, we have given great
- 14 direction. I'll do again the same. That as we have
- proposed conditions that a small narrative discussing
- 16 why that is being proposed, what it's mitigating, if
- it isn't completely apparent. I think that's a very
- 18 important aspect and how it relates directly to the
- 19 testimony and the application that's before us.
- There are an awful lot of proposed
- 21 conditions out here, upwards of what could conceivably
- 22 be 30.
- 23 Questions? Procedural questions?
- 24 Schedule? Everybody is clear? Excellent.
- In which case, the schedule has been set.

1	If there are questions, of course, the Office of
2	Zoning staff is very available and will be able to
3	answer any of those questions.
4	Until then, we have two filing dates.
5	We'll look for that Friday. That memo and then the
6	last filings at the $5^{th}$ of July, $3:00$ .
7	If there's nothing further, Ms. Bailey, is
8	there any other business for the Board this afternoon?
9	MS. BAILEY: No, Mr. Chairman.
LO	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. If
L1	there's no other business for the Board this
L2	afternoon, let's adjourn and I wish you all a very
L3	good day.
L4	(Whereupon, the above matter was concluded
L5	at 4:27 p.m.)
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	